Galvanic Corrosion in 3.5 wt. % NaCl Solutions of Magnesium alloy AZ31 Coupled with Ni After Different Bonding Periods of Time
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The magnesium alloy AZ31(96Mg-3Al-1Zn alloy) was coupled with nickel using transient liquid phase bonding method at 520 °C for different periods of time varied from 5 min to 40 min. The corrosion behavior of the Mg alloy AZ31 without and with Ni coupled within it in 3.5 wt.% sodium chloride solution was reported using cyclic potentiodynamic polarization (CPP) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). The study was complemented by scanning electron microscope (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analyses. CPP experiments indicated that bonding the Mg alloy AZ31 with Ni increases its corrosion through increasing the values of corrosion current density (j_Corr) and corrosion rate (R_Corr) as well as decreasing their polarization resistance (R_p). Elongating the bonding time from 5 min up to 40 min led to further increases in the corrosion of AZ31. The EIS measurements showed that bonding the magnesium alloy with Ni and the increase of bonding time decreases the diameter of the obtained semicircle obtained by Nyquist plots, decreases the values of the impedance of the interface and the maximum degree of phase angle obtained by Bode plots. SEM/EDX investigations confirmed that bonding the Mg alloy with Ni increases the dissolution of the alloy via galvanic corrosion, which was found to increase with increasing the time of bonding.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The magnesium alloy AZ31 is known to be one of the most used alloys in the industry [1]. AZ31 is a wrought alloy that has low density, high strength per weight ratio, good ductility and machinability combined with corrosion resistance and weldability at room temperature as well as perfect for rolling and extrusion [1-3]. The alloy has been widely used in cell phone and laptop cases, aircraft fuselages, construction of vehicles, concrete tools and speaker cones [1-5]. The addition of Al and the increase of its content in the alloy AZ31 lead to increasing the yield strength, ultimate tensile strength, oxidation resistance and corrosion resistance. This on the other hand decreases the castability and weldability [4]. The percent of Zn in the alloy AZ31 does not exceed 1.0% and is for avoiding the occurrence of cracking of the alloy during its solidification and to improve the alloy’s castability and corrosion behavior [6].

Galvanic corrosion occurs between two different metals or alloys when are immersed in a corrosive environment and in electrical contact with each other. It is well known that the active metal or alloy with more negative potential will act as the anode and the other will be the cathode [7,8]. Usually, the anode material dissolves to protect the cathode. The presence of a conductive path between the two metals is a must for the occurrence of galvanic corrosion to allow the metal ions to move from the more anodic metal to the more cathodic one. An electrical path is also necessary for the corrosion to take place between the two coupled metals. The intensity of galvanic corrosion increases when there is a short distance and great potential difference between the two metallic materials. Also, the greater the area of the cathode compared to anode, the higher the severity of the galvanic corrosion [7-10].

Fabricating and joining of magnesium alloys are important to extend their use in the different fields in industry. One aspect to look at when joining magnesium alloys is the corrosion properties since magnesium is a reactive metal and has poor corrosion resistance. In this research work, two pieces of magnesium alloys were coated by Ni and then bonded together using transient liquid phase bonding (TLP) method at 520 °C and at various bonding times. Ni coating is expected to form eutectic liquid with magnesium alloy and diffuse at this bonding temperature. It is expected that the presence of Ni in Mg alloy will cause a galvanic corrosion. The effect of bonding time on the galvanic corrosion between the alloy AZ31 and the coupled Ni in 3.5% NaCl solutions is reported. The corrosion measurements were obtained using CPP and EIS techniques and the work was complemented by the SEM and EDX investigations.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

2.1. Initial preparation

Mg alloy AZ31 having a chemical composition of 96% Mg, 3% Al and 1% Zn in weight percent was used in this study. The alloy was cut into similar rods with diameter of 1 cm and heights of 1 cm. The magnesium samples were ground using SiC emery papers for gradual roughness up to 1000
grit finish. These samples were then washed by ethanol and distilled water and finally dried and kept inside a desiccator.

2.2. Coating Ni on Mg alloy by thermal evaporation

The magnesium samples were inserted into a chamber of thermal evaporation system (Edwards coating system E303A), which was equipped with a thickness monitoring as can be seen from Fig. 1. The components of the thermal evaporation chamber that was employed for the coating of Ni onto the surfaces of the magnesium alloy are also presented in Fig. 2. Where, a pure nickel wire was placed on the resistive tungsten basket then allowing the vacuum of the chamber to reach $10^{-5}$ torr level. Also, the current through the resistive tungsten basket was increased till the evaporation of the Ni wire took place. The time of evaporation was set to two minutes for obtaining a 5 µm coating layer thickness on the magnesium surfaces.

**Figure 1.** Edwards coating system type E303A that was employed as a thermal evaporator for Ni on the magnesium alloy AZ31.

**Figure 2.** The components of the thermal evaporation process of Ni onto the surface of AZ31 alloy.
2.3. Bonding (coupling) mg alloy samples

The bonding experiments between each two samples coated by Ni were done using Induction heating furnace, which is shown in Fig. 3. All bonding experiments were used under the minimum load of 1 MPa uniaxial presses on the samples. Induction heating was used as a heating source under a pressure of $1 \times 10^{-5}$ torr. A fast heating rate was used to achieve a bonding temperature of 520 °C in two minutes. The temperature of the joint was monitored by a thermocouple. The bonding time was used as the process parameter variable. After the bonding (coupling) experiment, each bonded sample was cut across the joint using wire cutting. The samples were then mounted in Bakelite for corrosion study. Fig. 4 shows the schismatic representations for the stages of sample preparation after bonding (coupling) the Mg alloy with the thermally evaporated Ni on its surface.

Figure 3. Assembly setup for the bonding (coupling) of the two Mg pieces containing Ni deposited on its surfaces.

Figure 4. Schismatic representations for the stages of sample preparation after bonding (coupling) the Mg alloy with the thermally evaporated Ni on its surface.
2.4. Chemicals and materials

Sodium chloride with 99% purity was purchased from Merck and was used as received. The rods of AZ31 Mg alloy without and with Ni bonded were welded to copper wires and cold mounted in epoxy and drying in air. The surface of the alloys to be exposed to the solution was ground using increased grades of emery paper of up to 800grit [11]. These electrodes were cleaned by distilled water, degreased with acetone; rewashed again using distilled water then dried using a tissue paper. A three-electrode configuration conventional electrochemical cell was employed; the Mg alloy without and with the Ni bonded, an Ag/AgCl electrode (in 3.0 M KCl), and a platinum foil were respectively used as the working, reference, and counter electrodes [11,12].

2.5. Electrochemical test measurements

All electrochemical measurements were performed employing an Autolab Potentiostat/Galvanostat (PGSTAT20 computer controlled) operated by the general purpose electrochemical software (GPES). Polarization data were collected via scanning the potential from -2.0 to -1.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl with a scan rate of 0.001 V/s. The potential was scanned again in the reverse direction at the same scan rate in order to complete the cycle test [13]. The EIS plots were performed at an open-circuit corrosion potential with a frequency range varied from 100000 Hz to 0.1 Hz. The ac wave was ± 5 mV peak-to-peak overlaid on a dc bias potential. The Powersine software at a rate of 10 points per decade change in frequency was used in collecting the EIS data [14]. All measurements were performed after 1 h immersion of the working electrode in the stagnant and freely aerated sodium chloride solution at room temperature.

2.3. Surface morphological investigations

The scanning electron micrographs (SEM) were collected using a JEOL model JSM-6610LV scanning electron microscope. The analysis of surface of the alloy AZ31 without and with Ni bonded after its corrosion was investigated using an energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) attached to the microscope.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Cyclic potentiodynamic polarization (CPP)

CPP is a polarization method that has been used in evaluating the corrosion phenomena of metallic materials in different corrosive environments [11-14]. The CPP curves collected for the magnesium electrode coupled with nickel for (a) 0, (b) 10, (c) 15, (d) 20, (e) 30, and (f) 40 min, respectively after its immersion for 1 h in the chloride solution are shown in Fig. 5. The corrosion parameters, i.e.; cathodic Tafel (βc), anodic Tafel (βa) slope, corrosion potential (E_{corr}), corrosion
current \( (j_{\text{corr}}) \), polarization resistance \( (R_p) \), and corrosion rate \( (R_{\text{corr}}) \) were obtained as depicted in Table 1. Most of these parameters were calculated as reported in our previous studies \([11-18]\). Also, the \( R_p \) and \( R_{\text{corr}} \) values were calculated from the following equations \([15-19]\):

\[
R_p = \frac{1}{J_{\text{corr}}} \left( \frac{\beta_c \cdot \beta_s}{2.3(\beta_c + \beta_s)} \right) \\
R_{\text{corr}} = \frac{j_{\text{corr}} k E_W}{d A}
\]

Where, \( k \) is the unit constant of the rate of corrosion \( (k = 3272 \text{ mm amp}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}\text{y}^{-1}) \), \( E_W \) the equivalent weight in g eq\(^{-1}\) of alloy AZ31 \( (E_W = 12.15) \), \( d \) the density in g cm\(^{-3}\) \( (d = 1.74) \), and \( A \) the surface area of the Mg electrode in cm\(^2\).

**Figure 5.** Cyclic potentiodynamic polarization curves obtained for the magnesium electrode coupled with nickel for (a) 0, (b) 10, (c) 15, (d) 20, (e) 30, and (f) 40 min, respectively after its immersion for 1 h in 3.5 wt. % NaCl solutions.

The cathodic reaction that takes place on Mg and its alloys has been reported \([20]\) to be the hydrogen evolution as follows:

\[
2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- = \text{H}_2
\]
While the anodic reaction is the rapid dissolution of Mg up on its immersion in the chloride test solution (Fig. 5a) according to the following equation [20]:

$$2 \text{Mg} = 2\text{Mg}^{2+} + 4\text{e}^-$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The resulted Mg cations (Mg$^{2+}$) react with the hydroxyl groups that presented in the solution and lead to the formation of Mg(OH)$_2$ as follows:

$$2\text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^- = \text{Mg(OH)}_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The formation of magnesium oxide can also take place in the anodic side of magnesium as follows [20]:

$$\text{Mg} + \text{O} = \text{MgO}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

These reactions explain the reason for the high corrosion of Mg, where the polarization curve in the anodic branch shows an active dissolution of the alloy with increasing potential. It is obvious that the current in the backward direction recorded higher current values than those recorded in the forward direction (Fig. 5a), which could lead to the appearance of a big hysteresis loop. This indicates that the AZ31 suffered severe pitting corrosion. Moreover, the intersection between the current in the backward direction and the cathodic branch of the forward direction confirms that the pitting potential of the Mg alloy is more negative than its protection potential [21,22].

### Table 1. Polarization parameters obtained from Fig. 5 for the different AZ31 electrodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bonding time</th>
<th>$\beta_c$/ V$_{dec}^{-1}$</th>
<th>$E_{Corr}$/ V</th>
<th>$j_{Corr}$/ $\mu$A/cm$^2$</th>
<th>$\beta_a$/ V$_{dec}^{-1}$</th>
<th>$R_p$/ $\Omega$cm$^2$</th>
<th>$R_{Corr}$/ mmy$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 min</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>-1.435</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>492.75</td>
<td>1.0967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>-1.430</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>382.45</td>
<td>1.5993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>-1.435</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>368.29</td>
<td>1.9420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>-1.360</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>168.97</td>
<td>3.6556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>-1.355</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>132.49</td>
<td>4.5695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 min</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>-1.430</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>96.556</td>
<td>6.3973</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The presence of Ni is noticed to increase the corrosion of AZ31 alloy, where the bonding of the alloy with Ni for 10 min (Fig. 5b) increased $j_{Corr}$ from 48 $\mu$A/cm$^2$ to 70 $\mu$A/cm$^2$ as well as $R_{Corr}$ from $\sim$ 1.1 mmy$^{-1}$ to circa 1.6 mmy$^{-1}$ as can be seen from Table 1. The increase of Ni content by the increase of bonding time from 10 min to further 15, 20, 30, and 40 min remarkably increased the corrosion of AZ31 alloy through increasing the parameters recorded in Table 1. The high corrosion of AZ31 Mg alloy coupled with Ni is due to the occurrence of galvanic corrosion, which accelerates the corrosion of the alloy [9]. This was indicated by the increase of cathodic and anodic currents as well as the increase in the values of $j_{Corr}$ and $R_{Corr}$ and the decrease in the values of $R_p$ in the presence of Ni and with the
increase of its content through increasing the bonding time. This was confirmed by the curves shown in Fig. 5 and the parameters depicted in Table 1.

3.2. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements

![Nyquist plots](image)

**Figure 6.** Nyquist plots obtained for the magnesium electrode coupled with nickel for (a) 0, (b) 10, (c) 15, (d) 20, (e) 30, and (f) 40 min, respectively after its immersion for 1 h in 3.5 wt. % NaCl solutions.

The Nyquist plots obtained for the AZ31 alloy electrode that was bonded with nickel for (a) 0, (b) 10, (c) 15, (d) 20, (e) 30, and (f) 40 min, respectively after its immersion for 1 h in 3.5 wt. % NaCl solutions are shown in Fig. 6. The EIS data were best fitted to an equivalent circuit model, which is depicted in Fig. 7. The values of the elements appear on the equivalent circuit were obtained and listed in Table 2. Here’s $R_S$ is the solution resistance, $Q$ is the constant phase elements (CPEs), $R_p1$ is the polarization resistance and may be expressed as the charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$), $R_p2$ is the
polarization resistance of the corrosion product layer that was formed on the surface of the AZ31 alloy with or without Ni coupled, and finally L accounts for the inductance.

Figure 7. Equivalent circuit model employed to the fit the data obtained by the EIS measurements.

It is obvious from Fig. 6 that all samples show on semicircle and that the magnesium alloy, AZ31, with no Ni coupled (Fig. 6a) recorded the biggest diameter. Bonding Ni with Mg alloy for 5 min (Fig. 6b) led to decreasing the diameter of the semicircle, which indicates that the corrosion resistance decreases in the presence of Ni. Increasing the bonding time resulted in further decreasing in the diameter of the semicircle, where the smallest diameter was observed for the alloy that was coupled with Ni for 40 min (Fig. 6f).

Table 2. EIS data obtained by fitting the spectra shown in Fig. 6 with the circuit depicted in Fig. 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bonding time</th>
<th>(R_S/\Omega \text{ cm}^2)</th>
<th>(Q)</th>
<th>(R_{P1}/\Omega \text{ cm}^2)</th>
<th>(R_{P2}/\Omega \text{ cm}^2)</th>
<th>(L/\text{ H})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 min</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>0.000041</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>276.2</td>
<td>125.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>0.000089</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>122.4</td>
<td>63.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>0.000123</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>66.73</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>0.000295</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>51.32</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>0.000336</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>30.87</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 min</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>0.000399</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>22.99</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 lists the impedance parameters obtained from fitting the data presented in Fig. 6 with the equivalent circuit depicted in Fig. 7. It is noted that the increase of bonding time significantly decreases the values of \(R_S\), \(R_{P1}\) and \(R_{P2}\). The acceleration of the corrosion of the Mg alloy in the presence of Ni is due the occurrence of galvanic corrosion, which leads to the rapid dissolution of Mg and this effect highly increases with the increase of bonding time as a result of the increase of Ni content with time. The values of CPEs (Q) represent double layer capacitors with their n values exactly
0.80 for all bonding periods of time [23,24]. The values of the CPEs increase with increasing Ni content by time and that was to cover the charged surfaces as a result of increasing the magnesium corrosion under the corrosive attack of chloride ions. Moreover, the inductive loop (L) provides a confirmation on the dissolution of the passive film and/or corrosion product layer at low frequencies even if the surface of the alloy develops thick oxide layers [24]. All impedance parameters indicated that the bonding of Ni with AZ31 Mg alloy led to a severe galvanic corrosion results in the rapid dissolution of Mg and this effect increases with bonding time.

**Figure 8.** Bode impedance plots obtained for the magnesium electrode coupled with nickel for (a) 0, (b) 10, (c) 15, (d) 20, (e) 30, and (f) 40 min, respectively after its immersion for 1 h in 3.5 wt. % NaCl solutions.
angles (\(\phi\)) showed that the alloy which had no Ni (Fig. 9a) recorded the highest maximum degree of the phase angle (\(\phi\)). Bonding Ni with and the increase of its content by the increase of bonding time decreased the maximum degree of \(\phi\) indicating that bonding and the increase of its time increases the corrosion of the Mg alloy \([25,26]\). The EIS data thus confirm the results obtained from polarization curves that the presence of Ni by bonding it with Mg increases the corrosion of Mg and that effect increases by the increase of Ni content through increasing the bonding time from 5 min to 40 min.

![Bode phase angle plots](image)

**Figure 9.** Bode phase angle plots obtained for the magnesium electrode coupled with nickel for (a) 0, (b) 10, (c) 15, (d) 20, (e) 30, and (f) 40 min, respectively after its immersion for 1 h in 3.5 wt. \% NaCl solutions.

### 3.3. SEM and EDX analyses

In order to understand the effect of Ni on the corrosion of Mg alloy AZ31 in the sodium chloride test solution, SEM and EDX examinations were carried out. Fig. 10 shows the SEM images obtained for the surface of (a) and (b) the Mg alloy AZ31 coupled with Ni for 0.0 min; (c) and (d) the Mg alloy AZ31 coupled with Ni for 40 min after 1 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution and performing potentiodynamic polarization measurements in the forward direction. It is clear that the magnesium alloy suffers general corrosion for both alloy samples. In the absence of Ni, Fig. 10a, the surface is covered with homogenous layers of corrosion products. Increasing the magnification as shown in Fig.
10b indicates that the surface developed a thick layer of corrosion products. The presence of nickel, Fig. 10c, led to the presence of different layers of corrosion products, which were better clearer with increasing the surface magnification as shown in Fig. 10d. This indicates that the corrosion products were thicker in the alloy containing Ni due to the occurrence of galvanic corrosion, which does not occur with the Mg AZ31 that had no Ni bonded.

![Figure 10](image_url)

**Figure 10.** SEM micrographs obtained for the surface of (a) and (b) the Mg alloy AZ31 coupled with Ni for 0.0 min; (c) and (d) the Mg alloy AZ31 coupled with Ni for 40 min after 60 min immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution and performing potentiodynamic polarization measurements in the forward direction.

The EDX profile analyses for the area shown in (a) SEM image (a) and (b) SEM image (c) depicted in Fig. 10 for the Mg alloy AZ31 bonded with nickel for 0 and 40 min are presented in Fig. 11a and Fig. 11b, respectively. The EDX analysis taken for the Mg alloy AZ31 after 0.0 bonding time (Fig. 11a) indicated that the surface of the alloy contains magnesium, aluminum, carbon, oxygen, chlorine, and sodium. The percent of the detected magnesium on the surface of the alloy 24.88 wt.% and for oxygen it recorded 36.82 wt.% This indicates that the main species formed on the surface were magnesium oxides. Moreover, carbon showed 15.17 wt. % and chlorine percentage was almost similar to that of carbon, 15.36 wt.%. The percent of Cl tells that some chloride compounds may also form on the surface of the alloy and cause the increase of its corrosion. The presence of sodium is most probably come from the sodium chloride test solution. Analyzing the data obtained from the EDX
profile analysis for the magnesium AZ31 alloy that was coupled with Ni for 40 min, indicates that the percent of Mg was increased to 40.27 wt.% as well as the oxygen content was increased to 51.89 wt.%. This confirms that the corrosion product layers formed on the surface of the alloy were magnesium oxides. While, the percent of carbon was decreased to be only 7.19 wt. %, the chlorine was decreased to recorded the least percentage, 0.64 with no sodium appeared on the profile. The presence of Ni even with very small amount indicates that the surface of the alloy was fully covered by thick layers of the corrosion products, which were mainly magnesium oxides.

Figure 11. EDX profile analyses for the area shown in (a) SEM image (a) and (b) SEM image (c) depicted in Fig. 10 for the Mg alloy AZ31 bonded with nickel for 0 and 40 min, respectively.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, two pieces of magnesium alloy AZ31 were inserted into a chamber of thermal evaporation system to be coated by Ni and then coupled together at various bonding periods of time using transient liquid phase bonding method at high temperature, 520 °C. The corrosion of the Ni-coupled alloys as well as the alloy with no nickel were investigated in 3.5 wt% NaCl using varied electrochemical and spectroscopic methods of investigations. CPP measurements after 1 h immersion in the test solution indicated that the corrosion of the AZ31 increases with the increase of bonding time from 5 min to 40 min through increasing \( j_{\text{corr}} \) and \( R_{\text{corr}} \) and decreasing \( R_p \) values. This is because the presence of Ni and the increase of its content by increasing the bonding time lead to the occurrence of the galvanic corrosion. The EIS measurements agree with the CPP ones that the increase of bonding time increases the corrosion of the magnesium alloy AZ31 by decreasing the values of resistances such as \( R_s \) and \( R_p \) as well as decreasing the absolute impedance of the interface \(|Z|\) values and the maximum degree of the phase angle (\( \phi \)). SEM/EDX investigations revealed that the corrosion products in the presence of Ni were thicker as a result of the strong dissolution compared with the alloy which had no Ni. All results confirmed each other that the increase of bonding time increases the Ni content in the alloy and this increases its dissolution due to the occurrence of galvanic corrosion.
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