Novel CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$ Structures for High Efficiency Photo-electrochemical Solar Cells
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Novel CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$ (CIGT; $x =$0, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7) chalcopyrite structures for photo-electrochemical solar cells have been successfully synthesized via a facile co-precipitation method. Briefly, tetragonal chalcopyrite structure was perceived with as-synthesized CIT sample whereas chalcopyrite phase was accompanied with minor impurity phases of cubic In$_2$O$_3$ and hexagonal Te metal with increasing the Ga$^{3+}$ ion ratio. Meanwhile, a slight increase in the unit cell volume of chalcopyrite crystals was observed with the substitution In$^{3+}$ ions with different ratios of Ga$^{3+}$ ions. Moreover, the microstructure characteristics of the as-prepared chalcopyrite samples were exhibited different morphologies with different Ga$^{3+}$ ion concentrations. Furthermore, the band gap energy and calculated refractive index were leaned on the Ga$^{3+}$ ion content. Generally, the J-V characteristic measurements were coherently performed for the corresponding photo-electrochemical solar cells using the as-prepared photo-electrode materials. Accordingly, CuIn$_{0.7}$Ga$_{0.3}$Te$_2$ sample was displayed the highest photovoltaic performance with conversion efficiency ($\eta$) $\sim$ 6.19 % and fill factor (FF) $\sim$ 24.98 %, respectively. The superior power conversion performance was discussed based on the electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) results which confirmed the enhanced electronic conductivities of the as-prepared photocathodes and exhibited well agreement with the obtained optical properties as well as J-V measurements.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The significant global warming prospects, the limited fossil fuel reserves as well as the anticipated decline in cost make appeal for large scale exploitation of clean renewable energy sources such as wind and solar is greater than ever. Therefore, photo-electrochemical cell (PEC) and photovoltaic (PV) are becoming among the most proactive solutions for harvesting the solar energy [1]. This is because the light energy may be converted into electrical and/or chemical energy in such
photo-electrochemical (PEC) solar cells [2]. In other words, it is known that the performance and effectiveness of a solar cell device mainly depend upon its design and the properties of the photovoltaic materials included especially the light absorbers and their connections to the external circuit [3]. In particular, ternary CuInTe$_2$ chalcopyrite (CIT) has been extensively utilized as photoanodes in photo-electrochemical cells (PECs) and photovoltaics (PVs) due to their high absorption coefficients, optimal band gap energy and good photostability [4-7]. Accordingly, a lot of synthetic strategies have been reported to prepare CuInTe$_2$ powders including melt-quenching [8], sol-gel [9], hydrothermal [10], solvothermal [11] and microwave methods [12, 13], as well as CuInTe$_2$ thin films were formed using different pathways including thermal evaporation [14, 15], graphite box annealing-rapid cooling [16], chemical vapor transport [17], molecular beam epitaxy co-evaporation [18] and electrodeposition techniques [19]. Besides, a plenty of studies are investigated the ionic substitution of In$^{3+}$ ion by different metal and non-metal ions such as Ga$^{3+}$ [15, 20, 21], Ce$^{3+}$ [22], Eu$^{3+}$ [23] and Sm$^{3+}$ [24] and B$^{3+}$ [25] in order to improve the power conversion efficiency of solar cells.

Herein, in the present work, we have developed a new facile co-precipitation method to synthesize micron and sub-micron sized CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$ particles ($x = 0, 0.3, 0.5$ and $0.7$) using NaBH$_4$ as reducing agent mainly for the first time.

Thereby, the as-prepared CIT and CIGT compounds of novel morphologies were fully characterized using X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) and field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM). Meanwhile, the effect of Ga$^{3+}$ ion substitution on the structural and optical properties of semiconducting powders was also studied. Furthermore, the photovoltaic performance, conversion efficiency and charge transfer resistance of the fabricated cells were extensively examined as well.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Materials

All the chemicals used in this study such as (copper (I) chloride CuCl Sigma-Aldrich-99.9%, indium nitrate hydrate In(NO$_3$)$_3$.xH$_2$O Aldrich-99.9%, tellurium (IV) chloride TeCl$_4$ Strem Chemicals-99.9%, gallium nitrate hydrate Ga(NO$_3$)$_3$.xH$_2$O Sigma-Aldrich-99.9%, sodium borohydride NaBH$_4$ Sigma-Aldrich-99.9% and sodium hydroxide NaOH Sigma-Aldrich -98.9%) were of analytical grade. Deionized water was used in the whole work.

2.2. Preparation of light absorbing materials

CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$ precursors ($x = 0, 0.3, 0.5$ and $0.7$) have been prepared by mixing stoichiometric amounts of Cu$^+$, In$^{3+}$, Ga$^{3+}$ and Te$^{4+}$ solutions, respectively. The pH value of the solution was adjusted at the range 10-11 using 0.5 M NaOH as a base. The precipitated hydroxide precursors were stirred gently for 15 min to achieve a good homogeneity and to attain a stable pH conditions. After that, a suitable amount of NaBH$_4$ solution was added to the mixture to reduce the hydroxide phase to the metalloid alloy. After that, the mixture was stirred with heating at around 85 °C for 2 h. Then, the solution mixture was left overnight to cool and precipitate the metalloid alloy compound by
decantation. Thereafter, the precipitated powder was filtered out and washed by 1% of NaBH$_4$ solution followed by distilled water. Finally, the prepared powders were annealed at 350 °C for 2 h in Argon/H$_2$ (5%) atmosphere.

2.3. Fabrication of photo-electrochemical cells (PECs)

2.3.1. Preparation of photoanodes

The photoanode materials were fabricated by intimately mixing the active material (95 wt%) CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$, and polyvinylidene fluoride, PVDF (5 wt%) as a binder. Then, the powder mixture was dissolved in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone, NMP to form a colloid slurry paste. The mixed slurry was plated onto a conducting glass substrate coated with a thin film of indium tin oxide (ITO glass) using drop coating technique. The mass loading of active materials was 7 mg/cm$^2$ in the examined electrode. The coated ITO glass electrodes were dried under vacuum at 80 °C overnight.

2.3.2. Construction of PECs

The coated ITO glass acting as the working electrode against glassy carbon counter/ reference electrode was immersed in an electrolyte of iodine in potassium iodide. The active area of working electrode was 3 cm x 1.5 cm. The solar cell configuration was arranged: conducting ITO glass/ CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$ / 0.5 M KI + 0.5 M I$_2$ /C as shown in Fig. 1.

Figure 1. Schematic diagram for Photo-electrochemical (PEC) solar cell configuration under illumination
2.4. Characterization techniques

2.4.1. Physical characterization

The crystalline phases of different samples were identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a step size of 0.02° and at a scanning rate of 0.16°/min on a Brucker axis D8 diffractometer with crystallographic data software Topas 2 using Cu Kα (λ = 1.54056 Å) radiation operating at accelerating voltage and applied current were 40 kV and 80 mA, respectively. The diffraction data was recorded for 2θ values between 10° and 80°. The particle morphology was inspected with a scanning electron microscope FE-SEM (JEOL-JSM-5410 Japan). The optical measurements were implemented using UV–Vis–NIR scanning spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer Lambda 1050 Spectrophotometer, USA) using 1 cm path length quartz cell.

2.4.2. Photovoltaic characterization

Photocurrent-voltage J-V characteristic curves measurements were performed using the solar simulator Sciencetech SS150W- AAA. Light intensity of Xenon arc lamp (150 W) was adjusted at 100 mW/cm² using Air Mass 1.5 Global Filter. The calibrated reference cell consists of 20 x 20 mm monocrystalline silicon (model SC-LT) photovoltaic cell encased in 92 x 70 x 16 mm metal enclosure with a protective quartz window. The reference detector (SSIVT-refl) is effective in sensing wavelengths between 190 and 1100 nm and it is calibrated with the 1 Sun. The 1 Sun AM1.5 simulated sunlight reference spectrum according to an ASTM G173 standard was used. Solar decay and I-V curves were measured by Keithley 2400 multimeter connected to a computer and software. Parameters measured by I-V Software were open circuit voltage (V_oc), short circuit current (I_sc), maximum power (P_in) and filling factor (FF).

2.4.3. Electrochemical measurements

EIS measurements were accomplished for the fabricated photo-electrochemical cells under dark conditions using potentiostat (Parastat 4000 Princeton, USA). The impedance measurements amplitude was 20 mV and the frequency range was 1MHz-10 mHz.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Crystal structure

Fig.2 evinces XRD patterns of the as-synthesizd CuIn_{1-x}Ga_xTe_2 (x = 0, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7) powders. The main diffraction peaks of (112), (204), (312), (325/332) and (228/424) elucidated the chalcopyrite phase tetragonal structure (ICDD # 81-1937) at diffraction angles 24.85°, 41.14°, 48.75°, 65.57° and 74.98°, respectively were indexed. However, XRD data indicated the presence of minor
amounts of cubic In$_2$O$_3$ (ICDD 44-1087) and hexagonal Te metal phases (ICDD 78-2312) as secondary phases at $x = 0.3$, 0.5 and 0.7.

The crystallite size of CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$ phases was calculated for the most intense peak of (112) plane from X-ray pattern based on Debye-Scherrer formula [26].

$$D = \frac{K \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$  

(1)

Where $D =$ average crystallite size, $K =$ geometric factor (0.98), $\lambda =$ X-ray wavelength (0.154 nm), $\beta =$ FWHM of the diffraction peak (in radian) and $\theta =$ diffraction angle.

Table 1. Crystallographic features of CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$ samples annealed at 350 °C for 2 h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$</th>
<th>Crystallite size (nm)</th>
<th>$a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$c$ (Å)</th>
<th>$c/a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$V_{Cell}$ (Å$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuInTe$_2$</td>
<td>110.7</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>12.36</td>
<td>1.990</td>
<td>475.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuIn$<em>{0.7}$Ga$</em>{0.3}$Te$_2$</td>
<td>110.9</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>1.996</td>
<td>477.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuIn$<em>{0.5}$Ga$</em>{0.5}$Te$_2$</td>
<td>105.6</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>1.992</td>
<td>478.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuIn$<em>{0.3}$Ga$</em>{0.7}$Te$_2$</td>
<td>111.2</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>1.989</td>
<td>478.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The average crystallite size of pure CuInTe$_2$ powder was 110.7 nm, as depicted in Table 1. Evidently, it was found that the crystallite size values of 30 and 70% Ga$^{3+}$-doped samples were slightly increased into 110.9 and 111.2 nm due to the growth enhancement of particles. Meanwhile, the crystallite size value of 50% Ga$^{3+}$ ion content was slightly decreased into 105.6 nm. This lowering in crystallite size might be attributed to the larger extent of secondary phases which cause the imperfect growth of CuInTe$_2$ particles.

The lattice spacing is calculated using the Bragg’s formula [27]:

\[
d = \frac{\lambda}{2\sin\theta}
\]  
(2)

Therefore, the lattice parameters (a, c) and unit cell volume ($V_{\text{cell}}$) for the tetragonal are determined using the following relations [28]:

\[
\frac{1}{d} = \sqrt{\frac{h^2+k^2}{a^2} + \frac{l^2}{c^2}}
\]  
(3)

\[
V_{\text{cell}} = a^2 \times c
\]  
(4)

Obviously, one can note that the substitution In$^{3+}$ ions with different ratios of Ga$^{3+}$ ions causes a noticeable increase in the unit cell volume of chalcopyrite crystals as shown in Fig. 3.

![Figure 3. Effect of Ga$^{3+}$ ion content on different unit cell parameters of CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$ powders](image)

However, Vegard’s law states that the lattice constant “c” should be a linear function of the composition variable x. Therefore, the calculated lattice parameters for each composition of CuIn$_1$. 
,Ga,Te, are given in Table 1. Indium \((r = 62 \text{ pm})\) has a relatively larger ionic radius \("r"\) than gallium \("r = 47 \text{ pm}"\). Hence, the lattice parameter \("c"\) of the CIGT alloys was increased with increasing In\(^{3+}\) ion content. Moreover, another detectable decrease is estimated in \(c/a\) ratio from \(x = 0.3\) to \(0.7\) which confirms the formation of a homogeneous alloy structure. In other words, values of the lattice parameter \("c"\) increase linearly with decreasing gallium composition (increasing indium composition) and vice versa in case of lattice parameter \("a"\) \[29\]. Generally, all such crystallographic features were summarized in Table 1.

### 3.2. Crystal morphology

The microstructure characteristics of the as-prepared chalcopyrite samples were explored by FE-SEM images at low and high magnifications (30000 and 60000 X) as displayed in Fig. 4 (a-h). The particles of pure CIT exhibited a homogeneous closed-packed structures consisting of

![Crystal morphology of the as-synthesized CIT and CIGT powders at different gallium ratios](image)

**Figure 4.** Crystal morphology of the as-synthesized CIT and CIGT powders at different gallium ratios 
(a, b) \(x = 0\), (c, d) \(x = 0.3\), (e, f) \(x = 0.5\) and (g, h) \(x = 0.7\)**
uniform arrays of triangular prisms with facet planes as shown in Fig. 2 (a, b). It has an average particle size of 2-3 µm as well. However, two different types of morphology are clearly observed at Ga\(^{3+}\) ion molar ratio 0.3 as depicted in Fig. 4 (c, d).

Therefore, the small tetragonal crystals of average particle size ~ 0.5 -1.0 µm (corresponding to CIT particles) are found to be embedded between the large hexagonal crystals of average particle size ~ 3-5 µm (corresponding to Te metal particles). Moreover, at 50% of Ga\(^{3+}\) ion content, the dense particles morphology exhibited indistinct and irregular shapes of quite spherical-like crystals with smaller particles size range (0.2 - 0.3 nm) as illustrated in Fig. 4 (e, f). Furthermore, at high Ga\(^{3+}\) ion content (70%), the particles are slightly converted into the clear triangular pyramidal-like crystals of particles size range ~ 0.5 -1.0 µm as seen in Fig. 4 (g, h).

Consequently, the obtained FE-SEM investigations for the particle morphology and size of the as-prepared CIT and CIGT samples are in good accordance with the previous XRD results.

3.3. Optical properties

3.3.1. Band gap energy

Table 2. Optical properties of CuIn\(_{1-x}\)Ga\(_{x}\)Te\(_2\) samples annealed at 350 °C for 2 h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CuIn(<em>{1-x})Ga(</em>{x})Te(_2)</th>
<th>Band gap energy (E_g) (eV)</th>
<th>Calculated refractive index ((n))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuInTe(_2)</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuIn(<em>{0.7})Ga(</em>{0.3})Te(_2)</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuIn(<em>{0.5})Ga(</em>{0.5})Te(_2)</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuIn(<em>{0.3})Ga(</em>{0.7})Te(_2)</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. (a): Transmittance spectra of the as-synthesized CIT and CIGT powders
Figure 5. (b): Optical band gap energy of the as-synthesized CIT and CIGT powders at different gallium ratios (a) $x = 0$ (b) $x = 0.3$, (c) $x = 0.5$ and (d) $x = 0.7$

Figure 5. (c): Optical band gap energy versus the calculated refractive indices of CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$ powders
The optical properties of the synthesized CIT and CIGT particles are examined by UV-Vis spectrophotometer using integrating sphere unit and the obtained band gap energy results are listed in Table 2. For the measurement, the synthesized powders are well dispersed in distilled water and the resultant solutions were used for the measurement.

Specular transmittance measurements of CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$ samples have been carried out using UV–Vis–NIR Perkin Elmer Lambda 1050 Spectrophotometer in the wavelength range 250-800 nm at room temperature as shown in Fig. 5 (a).

Mainly, the transmission spectra are occurred between wavelength range 245 and 375 nm for these samples.

The optical absorbance A and the optical absorption coefficient “$\alpha$” have been obtained using the following relations [30, 31]:

\[
\log (1/T) = \alpha t \quad (5)
\]

\[
The \text{absorbance} \text{ } A = \log (I^0/I) = \alpha t \quad (6)
\]

\[
\text{Transmittance } \% \text{ } T = 100 \left( I^0/I \right) \quad (7)
\]

Where T is the transmittance of the spectra, t is the film thickness, $I^0$ and I are the intensities of the incident and passed light through the sample.

Analysis of optical absorption spectra is one of the most productive tools for determining optical band gap of the film. Therefore, the band gap energy was determined by extrapolating the absorption coefficient ($\alpha$) to zero from the spectral data.

The absorption coefficient was calculated by the following equation

\[
(\alpha h\nu)^m = h\nu - E_g \quad (8)
\]

Where $\alpha$ is the absorption coefficient, $h\nu$ is the photon energy, $E_g$ is the band gap energy, $m = 1/2$ or $3/2$ for indirect allowed and indirect forbidden transitions, and $m = 2$ or 3 for direct allowed and direct forbidden transitions. Evidently, band gaps are calculated by plotting $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ against $h\nu$ and extrapolating the linear portion of the curve on $h\nu$ axis to $\alpha = 0$ as shown in Fig. 5(b). It is found that pure CIT and CIGT (70% Ga$^{3+}$) have lower $E_g$ values than those of CIGT samples at all 30 and 50% of Ga$^{3+}$ content. For instance, it is also seen that the $E_g$ value increased with decreasing ionic radius of doping ion (i.e. Ga$^{3+}$ < In$^{3+}$) [32, 33]. Overall, the measured $E_g$ values were in good agreement with the other previous reports [14, 23, 24, 34].

3.3.2. Refractive index

The refractive index ($n$) of semiconducting materials is very important in determining the optical properties of the material. Knowledge of $n$ is essential to design heterostructure lasers in optoelectronic devices as well as in solar cell applications. The refractive index ($n$) of the samples can be calculated using Moss relation [35], where $E_g$ is the energy band gap.

\[
E_g n_f^4 = 95 \text{ eV} \quad (9)
\]

The calculated averages of the refractive index for CIT and CIGT samples are listed in Table 2. Fig. 5 (c) shows the variation in the refractive indices against the band gap energies. It is indicated that the maximum refractive index ($n$) is about 2.875 at 1.39 eV for pure CIT. Moreover, it can be implied
that the refractive indices are shifted towards lower values at higher $E_g$ values. Such obtained results confirmed that the change in the refractive indices is mainly dependent on the absorption features of the semiconductors [33, 35, 36].

3.4. Photovoltaic Characteristics

![Figure 6. J–V plots of the photo-electrochemical cells of CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$ samples](image)

The total photoelectric conversion efficiency ($\eta$) was calculated according to Eq. (11):

$$\eta \% = \frac{P_{\text{max}}}{P_{\text{in}} \cdot A} \cdot 100 = \frac{J_{\text{sc}} \cdot V_{\text{oc}} \cdot FF}{P_{\text{in}} \cdot A} \cdot 100 \quad (11)$$

Where, open circuit voltage ($V_{\text{oc}}$), short circuit current ($J_{\text{sc}}$), maximum power ($P_{\text{max}}$), filling factor (FF), the light intensity (100 mW/cm$^2$ = 1 Sun) of the incident monochromatic light ($P_{\text{in}}$) and (A) active area of thin film.

The fill factor (FF) is the ratio between the maximum output power density available ($J_{\text{m}} \cdot V_{\text{m}}$) which given from the maximum power combining short-circuit and open-circuit situations (Eq. 2) and it describes the “squareness” of the J-V curve.

$$\text{FF} (\%) = \frac{J_{\text{m}} \cdot V_{\text{m}}}{J_{\text{sc}} \cdot V_{\text{oc}}} \cdot 100 \quad (12)$$

Where, ($V_{\text{m}}$) is maximum voltage and ($J_{\text{m}}$) is maximum current. The measurements were repeated three times for each sample, and the experimental error was found to be within ca. 5%.

Fig. 6 shows the I-V characteristics of the fabricated photoelectrochemical solar cells under illumination conditions. The corresponding basic solar cell parameters are summarized in Table 3.
Table 3. J–V characteristics of the photo-electrochemical cells of CuIn$_{1-X}$Ga$_X$Te$_2$ samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anode materials</th>
<th>Vmax (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>Jmax (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>Voc (V)</th>
<th>Jsc (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>FF(%)</th>
<th>η (%) per unit area</th>
<th>Active area (cm$^2$)</th>
<th>R$_{bulk}$ (Ω)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuInTe$_2$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>29.198</td>
<td>25.5045</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuIn$<em>{0.7}$Ga$</em>{0.3}$Te$_2$</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>37.321</td>
<td>24.0789</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuIn$<em>{0.5}$Ga$</em>{0.5}$Te$_2$</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>8.159</td>
<td>25.0699</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuIn$<em>{0.3}$Ga$</em>{0.7}$Te$_2$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>46.451</td>
<td>24.9798</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Obviously, the correlation between the photovoltaic performance parameters and the effect of In$^{3+}$ ion substitution by different Ga$^{3+}$ ion contents in the pure chalcopyrite CIT sample was evidenced. The short-circuit currents ($J_{sc}$) and open-circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) of the PECs were in the range 8.16–46.45 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 0.22–0.47 V, respectively. It can be noted that CuIn$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$Te$_2$ sample gave the highest overall conversion efficiency ($\eta$) ~ 6.19 % and lowest fill factor (FF) ~ 24.98 %, respectively at total active area 4.0 cm$^2$. The reason was based on the fact that the decrease of band gap energy of the absorber efficiently enhances the charge separations and light absorption resulting in a significant improvement in the solar cell performance [37]. On the other hand, the bulk resistance of anode materials can be obtained from the following relation:

$$R_{bulk} = \frac{V_{max}}{J_{max}}$$

(13)

3.5. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analysis

Figure 7. (a): Nyquist plots of the as-prepared CIT and CIGT photoelectrode materials at different Ga$^{3+}$ ion concentrations in the three electrode cell
Figure 7. (b): Equivalent circuit of the EIS model

The EIS graph is given in Fig. 7 (a). The impedance of four kinds of photoelectrodes are carefully studied using Nyquist plot in order to investigate the electron transfer at the ITO/CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$/electrolyte interface. Fig. 7 (b) shows the equivalent circuit that composed of a solution resistor with resistance ($R_S$), an electrode-electrolyte interfacial charge transfer resistance ($R_{CT}$) and a double layer capacitor ($C_{dl}$). $R_S$ value was obtained from the fitting as the intercept on the real axis of the high frequency range and $R_{CT}$ was estimated from the diameter of the semicircle. $R_S$, $R_{CT}$, and other fitting parameters are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Fitting parameters of the experimental impedance spectroscopy data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$</th>
<th>$R_S$ ($\Omega$)</th>
<th>$R_{CT}$ ($\Omega$)</th>
<th>$C_{dl}$ ($F$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuInTe$_2$</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>32.42</td>
<td>9.795 x 10$^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuIn$<em>{0.7}$Ga$</em>{0.3}$Te$_2$</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>23.19</td>
<td>1.369 x 10$^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuIn$<em>{0.5}$Ga$</em>{0.5}$Te$_2$</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>62.38</td>
<td>1.279 x 10$^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuIn$<em>{0.3}$Ga$</em>{0.7}$Te$_2$</td>
<td>1.597</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>1.899 x 10$^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is observed that CuIn$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$Te$_2$ has the lowest charge transfer resistance in comparison with the other samples. Consequently, the EIS data showed an explanation based on the charge transfer resistance, which was consistently coincided with the I-V measurements.

4. CONCLUSION

Novel highly ordered chalcopyrite structures were prepared form simple co-precipitation method to be implemented as high performance photoanode materials in PEC solar devices. The crystallographic and morphological features were easily controlled by varying the In$^{3+}$/Ga$^{3+}$ molar ratio. The as-prepared CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Te$_2$ showed tunable band gap energy and refractive index values especially that mainly depend on the absorption characteristics of photoelectrode materials. The highest conversion efficiency ($\eta$) ~ 6.19% and lowest fill factor (FF) ~ 24.98% have been accomplished for the fabricated PEC solar cell of chemical composition CuIn$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$Te$_2$. As
anticipated, the enhanced transport of photogenerated electrons has been achieved as a result of the microstructure; band gap energy (i.e. trap density) as well as the internal resistance minimization was responsible for the high photovoltaic performance.
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