Indium Recovery in an Electrochemical Flow Reactor. Simulation and Experiment
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This study investigated the numerical simulation and experiment of a continuous indium removal process from wastewater by using an electrochemical flow reactor. The competition between hydrogen evolution and indium reduction was taken into consideration. The simulated residual indium distribution accompanying with flow field corresponds to the removal performance of experiments. The concentration field indicates a two-stage development between the electrodes. In the second stage, an apparent removal of indium takes place, but a steady state reached after a long-term incubation at a low flow rate. However, the removal ratio rises quickly and levels off after a short-term incubation at a high flow rate. At 762 mL/min, 90% or more of indium in wastewater can be removed, but only 50% or less of indium can be reduced at 7620 mL/min. The flow cell takes a compromise between throughput and efficiency if an optimal operation is adopted. The optimization on the basis of energy consumption and equipment cost shows that two flow cells in series operated at 3810 mL/min may be more economical than a unit cell at any flow rate in the influence of hydrogen evolution.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Indium tin oxide (ITO) is widely used to form transparent conducting film in flat panel displays because ITO film has a wide bandgap, a high transmissivity in the visible spectrum, and a low electrical resistivity [1, 2]. However, the limited source of indium, the 68th most abundant element in the earth's crust, has been an issue to be resolved with the growing usage. Although many researchers attempted to replace indium in transparent conducting film by the other abundant elements on Earth, the candidates have no superior to ITO [3]. Therefore, ITO are still the most widely used materials in optoelectronic displays, and meanwhile a continuous growth of indium price appears when its
application is getting involved in the field of solar cells, electrochromic devices and biochips [4-6]. Consequently, reducing the use of indium currently has few chances of success but recovering from a large amount of indium waste might be feasible. If not recovered, the waste may cause adverse impacts to the environment and public health. It is known that indium and its compounds might damage the heart, kidney, liver, joint or bone [7-9]. Therefore, indium removal from waste and then reuse in manufacture should be a best way for sustainable use and environmental protection.

The indium waste mainly comes from fabrication and disposal of optoelectronics including ITO films. The primary method of depositing ITO films on substrates is by sputtering. However, roughly 70% of indium leaves on the used target or falls on the sputtering chamber when the used target is replaced. Accordingly, the used target and chamber residue are the first type of indium recovery source. Deposited ITO film is patterned using lithographic methods during mass production, so the second recovery source of indium comes from the etching wastewater. Although the wastewater generally contains indium less than 2 wt%, the residual indium can be recovered straightforward using wet-type techniques. This type of wet techniques can be implemented in-line, but the solid waste only can be treated off-line. In addition, the third type of the recycling indium source can be found in discarded displays [10]. Although the procedure is more complicated than the treatment of used targets, the available amount of indium from an annual LCD disposal is considerable.

The first step to obtain indium powders from waste is mainly by scraping and grinding [11-13]. The powders experience size classification to enrich indium, followed by dissolving in aqueous solution for subsequent refining. The solution process includes extracting indium by solvents [14-17], leaching the scraps in strong acid [18-20], and adding alkaline to form indium precipitate [21-23]. Afterward the indium-contained solution experiences electrorefining to form highly pure indium. For the 2nd type of waste, recovering indium from etching solution is straightforward a wet process. During the ITO etching, a widely-used etchant includes HCl for breaking In–O bond [24]. The corresponding etch wastewater thus contains indium ions and follows an electrochemical path to produce pure indium.

Even though several methods of indium recovery operated at high temperature have been suggested [23, 25], the electrorefining is usually the last procedure to obtain highly pure indium metal from waste [26]. It is well know that a rotating electrode system is an important tool for electrolysis, electroplating or electrochemical analysis. In comparison with a stationary electrode, the rotation of an electrode induces fluid flow and convective mass transport. Several designs for rotating electrodes have been investigated, but the rotating cylinder system attracts much interest in electrochemical industry [27]. A rotating inner cylindrical electrode accompanying with a stationary concentric outer electrode is the most often adopted design. Although the governing equations of the transport phenomena and reactions can be used to describe an electrochemical system well, the closed form or exact solutions were rarely obtained. Conventionally, the mass transport in a practical electrochemical reactor was predicted via empirical analysis with dimensionless Sherwood (Sh), Reynolds (Re) and Schmidt (Sc) numbers. The definitions of these numbers are described as follows:

\[
Sh = \frac{k_{ad}d}{D}
\]

(1)
\[ \text{Re} = \frac{r d u}{m} \]  
\[ \text{Sc} = \frac{m}{r d} \]

In which, \( D \) is diffusivity, \( d \) is characteristic length, \( u \) is fluid velocity, \( r \) is fluid density, \( m \) is fluid viscosity and \( k_m \) is mass transfer coefficient. Since \( k_m \) is linearly proportional to limiting current, the electrochemical reaction term can be involved in this dimension analysis. The correlation of \( \text{Sh} \), \( \text{Re} \) and \( \text{Sc} \) corresponding to a specified system can be then obtained by experiments. The empirical correlations of a rotating cylinder system have been developed by Lévêque since 1928 [28]. Various types of modified correlations based on detail system geometry and operation parameters were then suggested [29]. Meanwhile, the work by direct numerical simulation of transport equations was also in progress to provide the message of potential, current and concentration distributions in an electrochemical reactor, so-called tertiary current distribution [30-33]. Prediction of time-dependent electrolysis and applicability of specified boundary conditions are regarded as the primary advantage of direct numerical simulation. Especially when the electrochemical process must involve the bulk materials change with time and the fluid flow profile with space, direct numerical simulation can assist the process design. However, little research has devoted on the continuous electrolytic process of metal recovery at transient state via direct numerical simulation of tertiary current distribution. Indium removal from wastewater was seldom investigated using a flow type of the electrochemical reactor. The issue of optimal operation based on energy consumption was rarely examined in past work of direct numerical simulation.

Therefore, this study investigated the numerical simulation of a continuous indium removal process using an electrochemical flow reactor. The tertiary current distribution accompanying with flow field can be obtained, and the effects of the geometry, operation parameters and side reactions in this system were explored. Especially the competition between hydrogen evolution and indium reduction was taken into consideration. The corresponding experiments of a flow process were then performed to compare with the transient simulation. Finally this study elaborated the optimal operation on the basis of energy consumption and cost.

2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The schematic diagram of the electrochemical flow reactor is shown in Figure 1. The indium recovery was conducted in a cylindrical container with 150 mm in length and 52 mm in diameter. The platinum cylinder electrode, wrapped in the indium foil with 12 mm in diameter and 50 mm in height, was connected to an electric motor with a rotation speed controller. The platinum foil as the counter electrode was mounted on the peripheral wall of the container. The anode was fixed at the level of 50 mm above the container bottom, while the cathode could be lifted or descended to investigate the effect of various flow patterns. Before the operation, both electrodes were immersed in 150 ppm Triton X-100 solution for 3 min to remove surface impurities, then rinsed gently with deionized (DI) water and finally dried by nitrogen gas.
The electrolyte containing 100 ppm indium was prepared using InCl$_3$ and 0.1 M HCl. Before the electrolysis, the prepared electrolyte flowed through the annular space and overflowed from the top peripheral edge of the cell continuously for 5 min at 298 K. Meanwhile, the rotating cathode initiated from the stationary state to the steady state at the required angular velocity. Afterward, a constant cell voltage was applied across the anode and cathode using a potentiostat (PGSTAT30, Metrohm Autolab B.V.). During the operation, the treated solution was sampled at the overflow position to detect the variation of residual indium content. The sampled time was selected in 3 hours to investigate the time dependence of removal ratio. After each sampling, the residual indium content was analyzed using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, Perkin Elmer 3100XL).

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram of flow cell for indium recovery. The electrolyte enters from the bottom of the cell and overflow out of the cell. The cathode rotates at 100 rpm but the anode is fixed. The setup is close to an axisymmetric system.](image)

3. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

The geometry of numerical simulation corresponds to the experiment of an electrochemical flow system. The inlet of the electrolyte flow is set at the center of the bottom plane, and the outflow is regarded as an overflow along the top of the periphery wall of the system. This system, therefore, can be axisymmetric about the axis of the rotating cathode. In the case of indium removal from wastewater, the initial indium content is often low enough to neglect the change in fluid properties...
during electrorefining, i.e. a steady-state flow field can be assumed in this study. When a low rotation speed is selected to operate the metal removal process, a laminar flow through the cell can be expected. Similar assumptions were used in the research of Mandin et al. [30, 31]. Therefore, Navier-Stokes equation in a cylindrical coordinate are employed:

\[
\hat{\nabla} \times (r \mathbf{u}) = 0
\]  

where \( \mathbf{u} \) is the velocity vector and \( p \) is the pressure, \( \rho \) and \( \mu \) are the density and viscosity of the electrolyte, and \( \mathbf{I} \) is the identity matrix. Even though the bulk indium content decreases with time, the density of the dilute electrolyte is regarded as a constant, meaning that the natural convection was neglected herein. However, the inlet flow and the forced convection from the rotating electrode must influence the materials balance in the electrolyte. The balance equation is expressed as:

\[
\sum_{i} \left( \frac{c_i}{f_i} + \hat{\nabla} \times \left( - D_i \hat{\nabla} c_i \right) - z_i m F c_i \hat{N} f_i + c_i \mathbf{u} \right) = 0
\]

where \( c_i, D_i, z_i, m \) are the concentration, diffusivity, charge number and mobility of ion species \( i \), respectively, and \( F \) is Faraday’s constant. This study considers four ions, including \( \text{H}^+ \), \( \text{OH}^- \), \( 3\text{In}^3+ \) and \( \text{Cl}^- \). All of these ion species are confined to the electroneutrality assumption:

\[
\sum_{i} z_i c_i = 0
\]

In Eq. (6), \( f_i \) represents the electrical potential in the electrolyte and the potential gradient \( \hat{N} f_i \) provides a driving force for ion migration. In addition, the concentration gradient \( \hat{N} c_i \) and fluid flow field \( \mathbf{u} \) produce the other driving forces for ion movement. These driving forces dominate the current density vector \( i_i \) in the electrolyte:

\[
i_i = \sum_{i} F z_i \left(- D_i \hat{\nabla} c_i - z_i m F c_i \hat{N} f_i + c_i \mathbf{u} \right)
\]

On the electrode surface, the current density is determined by the electrochemical reaction rate. In this study, two cathodic reactions are considered. One is the reduction of indium ions, contributing to the indium removal from wastewater; the other is the evolution of hydrogen, competing with indium reduction.

\[
\text{In}^{3+} + 3e^- \rightarrow \text{In} \quad \text{in } (9)
\]

\[
2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \quad \text{in } (10)
\]

The reaction rate of indium reduction depends not only on the electrode potential \( f_s \) but also on the surface concentration of \( \text{In}^{3+} \), denoted as \( c_s \). The current density resulted from indium reduction can be expressed by the concentration dependent Butler-Volmer equation:

\[
i_i = i_{0,1} \left( \exp \left( \frac{(3-\alpha_1)F}{RT} \eta \right) - \frac{c_s}{c_b} \exp \left( -\alpha_1 \frac{F}{RT} \eta \right) \right)
\]

where \( i_{0,1} \) and \( \alpha_1 \) are the exchange current density and transfer coefficient of indium reduction, \( T \) is the temperature, \( R \) is the universal gas constant, \( \eta \) is the overpotential and \( c_b \) is the bulk concentration. The initial \( c_b \) is 100 ppm. Furthermore, the overpotential is defined as:

\[ h = f_s - f_i - E_{eq} \]

where \( f_s \) is the electrode potential and \( E_{eq} \) is the equilibrium potential estimated from Nernst equation.
The hydrogen evolution frequently takes place in the aqueous electrolysis. The current density corresponding to hydrogen evolution can be assumed to depend only on the applied potential due to a small difference between surface and bulk concentrations of H\(^+\) in a strong acid:

\[
i_2 = i_{0,2} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{(1 - \alpha_2)F}{RT} \eta \right) - \exp \left( \frac{-\alpha_2 F}{RT} \eta \right) \right]
\]  

(12)

where \(i_{0,2}\) and \(a_2\) are the exchange current density and transfer coefficient of hydrogen reduction. Hydrogen evolution consumes partial of the applied energy, leading to a decreasing current efficiency of the electrolytic process.

![Figure 2](image-url)  
Figure 2. Geometry of the axisymmetric flow cell in which the shaft immersion depth is \(d_s\) (adjustable), the electrode length is \(l_E\) (fixed at 50 mm), the distance from electrode to cell bottom is \(d_B\) (adjustable), and the distance between anode and cathode is \(d_E\) (fixed at 20 mm).

The total current density on the cathode determines one of the boundary condition of the electrolyte:

\[
i = i_1 + i_2
\]  

(13)

On the other hand, the total current density on the anode is related to the oxygen evolution:

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{H}^+ + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 + 2\text{e}^-
\]  

(14)

Under the assumption of excess H\(^+\) in the electrolyte, the current density on the anode is independent of H\(^+\) concentration:

\[
i_1 = i_{0,3} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{(2 - \alpha_3)F}{RT} \eta \right) - \exp \left( \frac{-\alpha_3 F}{RT} \eta \right) \right]
\]  

(15)

where \(i_{0,3}\) and \(a_3\) are the exchange current density and transfer coefficient of oxygen evolution.
On the container wall of the reactor, instead of the location of the anode, there are no fluxes of mass transport. Near the top cap of the cell, the materials only transport through the perimeter via overflow. To summarize, Table 1 shows all of the parameters and properties required in this simulation [34, 35].

The software COMSOL Multiphysics® 4.4 was used to solve this problem. Figure 2 indicates the domain and boundaries of the electrolyte. The electrolyte domain was divided into 61544 triangular elements with element sizes ranged from $5 \times 10^{-4}$ m to $5 \times 10^{-6}$ m. The mesh density in the vicinity of the boundaries was higher than that in the bulk region, facilitating the calculation of the high gradient conditions.

**Table 1.** Parameters used in the numerical simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cylindrical cathode</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radius of cathode: $r_c = 0.006$ m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of cathode: $l_c = 0.05$ m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of shaft: $r_s = 0.002$ m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density: $r = 1000$ kg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity: $m = 0.001$ kg/m-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial concentration of $\text{In}^{3+}$: $0.87$ mol/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusivity of $\text{In}^{3+}$: $1 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^2$/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial concentration of $\text{H}^+$: $100$ mol/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusivity of $\text{H}^+$: $9.31 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^2$/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial concentration of $\text{Cl}^-$: $100$ mol/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusivity of $\text{Cl}^-$: $2 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^2$/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature: $298$ K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anodic potential: $f_s = 1.5$ V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathodic potential: $f_s = 0$ V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode kinetics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exchange current density of indium reduction: $i_{0,1} = 4.2$ A/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer coefficient of indium reduction: $a_i = 1.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange current density of hydrogen evolution: $i_{0,2} = 0.001$ A/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer coefficient of hydrogen evolution: $a_i = 0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange current density of oxygen evolution: $i_{0,3} = 100$ A/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer coefficient of oxygen evolution: $a_i = 1.6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, the electrochemical flow reactor was operated at a constant axial flow rate from 762 to 7620 mL/min. The cathode rotated at 100 rpm accompanied with a fixed voltage with respect to
the anode. Furthermore, the effects of the cell parameters were studied. The corresponding notations for 3D-view and cross-sectional view can be found in Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively.

Figure 3. Streamlines in the flow cell with $l_E = 5$ cm, $d_s = 5$ cm, and $d_B = 5$ cm operated at flow rates of (a) 762 mL/min; (b) 3810 mL/min; (c) 7620 mL/min; (d) 38100 mL/min, respectively.

According to the steady state assumption, the velocity and pressure profiles can be solved, and the simulation results of streamlines are shown in Figure 3. From the cross-sectional view, the electrolyte enters the cell from the inlet tube, resulting in a flow expansion and a vortex at the bottom corner. Except for the cell corner, the electrolyte almost flows by the electrodes in a parallel stream mode. Then the electrolyte meets the cap of the cell and thus turns along the radial direction to exit the
It is noted that the vortex found in the bottom corner of the cell depends on the flow rate and the distance from the cathode to cell bottom ($d_b$). When the flow rate increases, the vortex-occupied area grows, as can be seen in Fig. 3(a)-(c). It can be anticipated that some metal ions might circulate around this corner, indicating that the cell design should be improved to reduce the retention of electrolyte. On the other hand, when the electrolyte enters the region between the anode and cathode, a slight extent of flow contraction occurs, especially affecting the flow near the rotating cylinder corner. At this corner, the electrolyte loses partial of kinetic energy to turn its flow direction. If $d_b$ is small, as shown in Fig. 4(a), the decrease in the flow velocity is large especially in an operation of high flow rate. Otherwise, the hindrance of flow can be reduced when $d_b$ is increased, as shown in Fig. 4(b), but the vortex at the cell bottom grows to increase the circulation of the electrolyte. These phenomena seem to indicate a trade-off operation in the view of electrolyte flow. On the basis of the simplified model, the effect of the flow pattern on metal removal is investigated as follows.

![Figure 4. Streamlines in flow cells with (a) $l_e = 5$ cm / $d_s = 7.5$ cm / $d_b = 2.5$ cm and (b) $l_e = 5$ cm / $d_s = 2.5$ cm / $d_b = 7.5$ cm operated at a flow rate of 7620 mL/min.](image)

During the steady-flow operation, the indium concentration profile can be obtained from the simulation of tertiary current distribution. Figure 5 exhibits the relative concentration profile of In$^{3+}$ for a cell with $d_b = 5$ cm and $d_s = 5$ cm, while Figure 6 shows those for a cell with $d_s = 2.5$ cm and $d_b = 7.5$ cm. The relative concentration is defined as the ratio of a local concentration to the inlet one. It can be seen that In$^{3+}$ ions carried by the inflow consume at the cathode, causing a low profile near the cathode surface and meanwhile building up a concentration gradient between two electrodes, as shown in Figure 5(a) from blue zone (low concentration) to red zone (high concentration). Since the concentration gradient can describe the region of diffusion layer, the thickness of the diffusion layer is found to increase with time. At the anode, no consumption of In$^{3+}$ ions occurs. The surface concentration is, however, reduced with time, meaning the bulk concentration between two electrodes
decreased with time. The reduction of concentration also can be found in Figure 7(a), showing the distribution of In$^{3+}$ concentration along the connection line between the middle points of the cathode and anode. The results indicate that the surface concentration decreases to almost zero in a short period. Then the diffusion layer extends with time under a spiked bulk concentration until a characteristic time $t_1$. After the characteristic time, the thickness of the diffusion layer approaches the distance between the cathode and anode, accompanying with a gradual decrease in the bulk concentration. In conventional models for metal removal in a batch cell, the local diffusion layer thickness is hardly analyzed by using overall mass transport coefficient [33]. Alternatively, the research employed a Nernst diffusion layer model to assume the layer thickness depends only on the rotation speed of electrode, and then the diffusion layer will be fixed in the vicinity of electrode [32]. However, the results from our model show that the diffusion layer can grow thicker with time until the layer edge attains the counter electrode, which is more practical in bulk electrolysis than those models often used in electroanalysis. Accordingly, the development of In$^{3+}$ concentration gradient can be divided into two stages via the characteristic time $t_1$. The simulation results indicate that the characteristic time $t_1$ changes with the inflow rate. When the flow rate is high, the characteristic time gets long, as shown in Figure 7(b). In the former stage, the consumption of In$^{3+}$ ions can be seen from the extending diffusion layer, while the removal of In$^{3+}$ ions is mainly based on the decreasing maximum concentration in the latter stage. It is expected that a small current density and consumption rate of In$^{3+}$ ions is observed after the characteristic time $t_1$. Furthermore, the concentration profile almost stops changing with time after the second characteristic time $t_2$. The cell can keep stable due to the balance between the consumption of electrolysis and the compensation of inflow. In other words, the steady concentration profile should depend on the flow rate of the cell. As shown in Figure 7, a larger flow rate gives rise to a higher residual concentration at steady state, corresponding to a lower removal performance.
The removal ratio is estimated from the concentration difference between the inlet and exit, as shown in Figure 8. The removal ratio at the operation of 762 mL/min attains to 90% or more, while the ratio at 7620 mL/min reduces to 50% or less.
Figure 6. Relative concentration profiles and contours of In$^{3+}$ in flow cells with $l_e = 5$ cm operated at 7620 mL/min.

The experimental data with error bars at various flow rates are also plotted in Figure 8(a). Although small discrepancies are found between the experimental average and simulation results, the trend of indium removal still can be described by our model.
Figure 7. Relative concentration profiles of In$^{3+}$ along the middle line between two electrodes in a flow cell with $l_e = 5$ cm, $d_s = 5$ cm, $d_e = 5$ cm at (a) 762 mL/min; (b) 7620 mL/min.

When the position of the cathode is altered, Figure 8(b) shows that the simulation overestimates the removal ratios, indicating a larger drag effect on the electrode bottom than expected. However, the dependence of $d_s$ on the measured removal ratios is still discernible in the incubation period, similar as the trend in our model.
Figure 8. Removal ratio of In$^{3+}$ in flow cells operated (a) at various flow rates with $d_s = 5$ cm; (b) at 7620 mL/min with various $d_s$. The lines and symbols represent the simulated and experimental data, respectively.

Note that the second characteristic time $t_2$ also can be estimated in Figure 8 by extrapolation of the transient state. The estimation shows that the incubation period is long when the flow rate is low, even though the final removal performance is advantageous at a low flow rate. If the operation period and cost are limited to practical consideration, our simulation points out an optimal flow rate.

The whole removal performance of the flow cell is not only related to the concentration profile at the middle line between the two electrodes, but also dependent on the concentration profile at various corners. Figure 9 shows the mass flux of In$^{3+}$ ions in the flow cell operated at 762 mL/min with a configuration of $l_E = 5$ cm/$d_s = 5$ cm/$d_B = 5$ cm. The moving direction (white lines) indicates the target ions are transferred from the inlet and then consumed at the cathode. At this path to the cathode, the mass flux keeps high level (red zone). Moreover, parts of the target ions move directly to the exit through the way near anode at initial stage shown in Figure 9(a), but the mass flux is low. Meanwhile, a circulation of the target ions can be found at the bottom corner of the flow cell, which is mentioned above. As can be seen in Figure 9(b), the paths from inlet to exit are disappeared after 0.2 hr. Subsequently, the circulation at the bottom corner fades away at 1.0 hr as indicated in Figure 9(c). After one-hour incubation, the cathode almost gathers the entering target ions, but some loop paths near the exit increase the retention time. At this stage, the low-flux zone grows due to the decrease in ion concentration. After 1.0 hr, the operation reaches a steady state, and the low-flux zone keeps around the exit and cathode. The process of mass transport in a flow cell corresponds well to the transient removal of ions mentioned above.
Figure 9. Mass flux of In$^{3+}$ in a flow cell operated at 762 mL/min with $l_e = 5$ cm / $d_s = 5$ cm / $d_h = 5$ cm at (a) $t = 0.02$ hr ; (b) $t = 0.2$ hr ; (c) $t = 1.0$ hr ; (d) $t = 4.0$ hr.

The simulation results in Figure 8(b) indicates that the steady removal performance is slightly raised when the depth of the shaft immersed in the electrolyte ($d_s$) is increased, but the difference between various $d_s$ is indistinguishable, approximate 0.4%. On the other hand, the transient states between the operations with various $d_s$ are discernable. As $d_s = 2.5$ cm, the removal performance is effective for a short-term operation in comparison with those at $d_s > 2.5$ cm. For example, at 0.3 hr, the removal ratio of the operation at $d_s = 2.5$ cm is four times as large as that at $d_s = 7.5$ cm, indicating that agitation or circulation effect could be reduced if the exit is set close to the cathode. Figure 6 exhibits the similar phenomena. The area with low concentration (green zone) is apparently larger when $d_s = 7.5$ cm than that when $d_s = 2.5$ cm. The results with changing $d_s$ show that the mass transport in the top region of the cell is more important than that in the bottom region at the transient state.

As mentioned above in the section of mathematical model, the electrode kinetics and mass transport commonly control the removal rate in this study, but herein the electrochemical removal of indium is regarded as a mass-transport limited only process facilitating to compare with the results from previous research. Then the bulk electrolysis of indium follows a 1st-order rate law [33]. Consider a steady single-pass reactor, where a steady volumetric flow rate ($Q$) of electrolyte is assumed. The steady concentration at exit ($c_{out}$) is then given by

$$c_{out} = c_{in} - \frac{\eta_{CE} I}{nFQ} \tag{16}$$

where $c_{in}$ is the concentration at inlet, $I$ is the current passing through electrodes, and $\eta_{CE}$ is the current efficiency defined by $i_i/(i_i + i_o)$ from eqns.(11) and (12). Due to the steady removal ratio ($r_r$) is defined as
The averaged mass transport coefficient \( k_m \) in a flow cell can be represented as

\[
k_m = \frac{nE^2}{nFC_{in}} = r_K \cdot Q
\]  

(18)

In a concentric cell, Sherwood number (Sh) is typically estimated from the hydraulic diameter, twice of the distance between two electrodes \( 2d_E \). Therefore,

\[
Sh = \frac{2k_m d_E}{D} = \frac{2d_E r_K Q}{D}
\]  

(19)

At flow rate of 762 mL/min, \( Sh = 381 \).

However, for a batch rotating-electrode cell, Mizushina’s correlation gives that [36]:

\[
Sh = 0.74Ta^{0.50}Sc^{0.33}
\]  

(20)

where Ta is Taylor number:

\[
Ta = \frac{rwd_E^{1.5} r_E^{0.5}}{m}
\]  

(21)

According to Mizushina’s correlation, \( Sh = 415 \) at \( w = 100 \) rpm. It is known that Sherwood number (Sh) is proportional to mass transport coefficient \( k_m \), but this \( k_m \) shows only a mean property of a whole reactor. Moreover, the value of \( k_m \) depends much on the choice of characteristic length in the reactor. In a typical correlation such as eq. (20) for a batch cell, the length of the electrode, the depth of immersed shaft and the distance between electrode and cell bottom are not included, which could lead to an unrepresentative estimation for mass transport. However, we considered not only the effects of various cell dimensions but also the influences of inlet and exit in this study. These factors must induce an essential difference in hydrodynamics. Our results indicate that the axial flow in a flow cell decreases \( k_m \) and Sh, while the circulation flow in a batch cell increases them. In a practical application, in-line treatment and long-term operation should be taken into consideration. A continuous process via a flow cell might be preferred because the treated wastewater can be reused and the recovered metal may not redissolve with O\(_2\) generated at anode [37]. Furthermore, an electrochemical reaction often leads to a density gradient due to the change of ion concentration in electrolyte, then causing that the mass transport is dominated by natural convection, rather than forced convection [30, 31]. However, mass transport in a flow cell is inevitably determined by forced convection due to the continuous supplement of raw electrolyte. Therefore, Sherwood number in a laminar flow cell is less than that in a batch cell at a common rotating speed of electrode.

Hydrogen evolution is another factor considered in this study. In conventional research, water electrolysis is neglected in potentiostatic operations [30-32, 38]. In fact, the decrease in ion concentration inevitably retards the removal of metal while water electrolysis rate remains unchanged. This is the key factor of decrease in current efficiency with time. However, the gas bubbles can promote the fluid flow especially in a batch cell, but enhance slightly in a flow cell. On one hand, the conventional models overestimate the removal rate without considering the decreasing current efficiency; on the other hand, those models underestimate the mass transfer rate with ignoring the bubble agitation. However, our model based on electrode kinetics can involve the effect of current efficiency to provide a more practical approach for metal removal from wastewater.
If the concentric-cylinder cell is used, the mass transport can be described by the correlation [39]:

\[
\text{Sh} = 1.85 \text{Re}^{1/3} \text{Sc}^{1/3} \left( \frac{2d_E}{l_E} \right)^{1/3}
\]

(22)

where \( l_E \) is the length parallel to the axial flow. This correlation gives that \( \text{Sh} = 120 \) at flow rate of 762 mL/min. Accordingly, the Sherwood number for an electrochemical flow cell falls between those of batch cell and stationary concentric-cylinder cell. It indicates that the axial flow retards the mass transport from the bulk solution to the electrode surface, while the swirl flow enhances. Consequently, wastewater treatment by a flow cell takes a compromise between large throughput and high efficiency if an optimal operation is adopted.

In view of an optimal operation on a basis of cost, a target removal ratio (\( r_t \)) is assigned first and then the minimum cost under \( r_t \) can be estimated. In some cases, \( N \) unit cells in series are required to reach the target removal ratio (\( r_t \)). The cost of a system is divided into the operation part and equipment part. The former depends on the energy consumption, while the latter relates to materials and life time of a cell. The total energy consumption in a unit cell can be expressed as:

\[
E_T = \frac{\rho Q t}{2 A_n} + \int_0^t I E dt + \int_0^t T o d t
\]

(23)

The three terms on the right-hand side represent the energy for pumping fluid, the energy for removing metals by an electrochemical reaction and the energy for rotating an electrode, respectively. According to the steady drag force by fluid on a cylindrical surface, the torque (\( T \)) of an electrode is averagely estimated as [40]:

\[
T = \frac{4 \pi \mu \omega l_E r_E^2 (r_E + d_E)^2}{(r_E + d_E)^2 - r_E^2}
\]

(24)

Note that the flow rate at inlet (\( Q \)), the applied voltage (\( E \)) and the angular velocity of the cathode (\( \omega \)) are kept constant, but the relations of current (\( I \)) and current efficiency (\( \eta_{ce} \)) with time are obtained from the above-mentioned simulation, in which the factor of decreasing current efficiency due to hydrogen evolution has been considered. Furthermore, assume that the electricity price is \( C_{elec} \) with a unit of USD/kW·hr and the cost of a flow cell divided by its lifetime is \( C_{cell} \) with a unit of USD/hr. The total cost per unit mass of wastewater over a period of operation (\( t \)) is estimated by:

\[
C_T = \frac{N(E_T C_{elec} + C_{cell} t)}{\rho Q t}
\]

(25)

Take that \( r_t = 90\% \), \( C_{elec} = 0.2 \) USD/kW·hr and \( C_{cell} = 0.1 \) USD/hr. The least number of flow cells and the total cost at various flow rates are listed in Table 2. It can be found that more cells in series are required to reach the assigned removal ratio when the process is operated at a higher flow rate. Furthermore, the total cost per unit mass decreases to a limited value even though increasing the flow rate. As a result, an optimal operation can be determined from the cost model. In the influence of hydrogen evolution, our cost model shows that two flow cells in series operated at 3810 mL/min may be more economical than a unit cell at any flow rates.
Table 2. Number of flow cells and corresponding cost for 90% removal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow rate (mL/min)</th>
<th>Minimum number of cells</th>
<th>Cost per unit mass (USD/ton)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>762</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1270</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1527</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3810</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5715</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7620</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.879</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This study proposed a simple model of an electrochemical flow reactor including fluid flow, mass transport and electrolysis, but the effects of bubble disturbance during the electrolysis or free convection from the change in concentration were neglected. This simulation work, however, can provide useful information for wastewater treatment when a flow system is employed.

5. CONCLUSION

Indium recovery from wastewater is a way to increase the indium resource. This study investigated the indium removal process in an electrochemical flow reactor. The fluid flow, mass transport and electrochemical reactions are considered in the simulation. The simulated results show that the removal performance depends on the flow rate and operation duration. The mass transport performance in a flow system is located between a flow type of cylinder electrode system without rotation and a batch type of a rotating electrode system. The residual indium distribution indicates a two-stage development between the cathode and anode. In the first stage the diffusion layer extends with time while the bulk concentration descends progressively in the subsequent stage. An apparent removal of indium takes place in a short-term operation, but a steady state reached after a long enough operation. At a flow rate of 762 mL/min, the indium removal ratio increases slowly with time while the removal ratio rises quickly at flow rates more than 762 mL/min. However, the saturation level after a short-term incubation is higher at low flow rate than at high flow rate. At 762 mL/min, 90% or more of indium in wastewater can be removed, but only 50% or less of indium can be reduced at 7620 mL/min. When the efficiency is considered in common with the throughput, the operation of the flow reactor can be optimized. Based on energy consumption and equipment cost, two flow cells in series operated at 3810 mL/min may be more economical than a unit cell at any flow rates.

NOMENCLATURE:

- $A$: area of electrode, m$^2$
- $A_{in}$: cross-section area of inlet, m$^2$
- $C_T$: total cost per unit mass, USD/kg
- $C_{elec}$: electricity price, USD/kW·hr
- $C_{cell}$: lifetime cost of a cell, USD/hr
$c_b$  bulk concentration, mol/m$^3$
$c_i$  concentration of species i, mol/m$^3$
$c_{in}$  input concentration, mol/m$^3$
$c_{out}$  output concentration, mol/m$^3$
$c_s$  surface concentration, mol/m$^3$
$D_i$  diffusivity of species i, m$^2$/s
$d$  characteristic length, m
$d_E$  distance between anode and cathode, m
$d_B$  distance from cathode to container bottom, m
$d_s$  immersion depth of cathode shaft, m
$E$  applied voltage, V
$E_{eq}$  equilibrium potential, V
$E_T$  total energy consumption, J
$F$  Faraday’s constant, C/mol
$I$  current, A
$i$  current density, A/m$^2$
$i_0$  exchange current density, A/m$^2$
$k_m$  mass transfer coefficient, m/s
$l_E$  length of the electrode, m
$p$  pressure, N/m$^2$
$Q$  flow rate, m$^3$/s
$R$  universal gas constant, J/mol·K
$Re$  Reynolds number
$r$  radial coordinate, m
$r_E$  radius of cathode, m
$r_R$  removal ratio
$r_s$  radius of cathode shaft, m
$r_i$  target removal ratio
$Sc$  Schmidt number
$Sh$  Sherwood number
$T$  temperature, K
$T$  Torque, N·m
$Ta$  Taylor number
$t$  time, s
$u$  velocity, m/s
$V$  volume of electrolyte, m$^3$
$z$  axial coordinate, m
$z_i$  charge number of species i
$\alpha$  transfer coefficient
$f_l$  electrical potential of electrolyte, V
$f_s$  electrical potential of electrode, V
$h$  overpotential, V
$\mu$  viscosity, kg/m·s
$m_i$  ion mobility of species i, mol·m$^2$/J·s
\( \rho \)    density, kg/m\(^3\)
\( w \)    rotation speed, rad/s
\( t \)    torque, N-m
\( t_1 \)    characteristic time, s
\( t_2 \)    characteristic time, s
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