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Due to publication errors, all of the Figures are missing in the published version. The Figure 1 is shown below:

![XRD patterns of M-Pd@C catalyst](image)

Figure 1. XRD patterns of M-Pd@C catalyst.
Figure 2 is missed in the published version. The Figure 2 is shown below.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** General spectrum of (a) M-Pd@C and (b) P-Pd@C catalysts.

Figure 3 is missed in the published version. The Figure 3 is shown below.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** C 1s spectrum of (a) M-Pd@C and (b) P-Pd@C catalysts.
Figure 4 is missed in the published version. The Figure 4 is shown below

![Figure 4](image1.png)

**Figure 4.** O 1s spectrum of M-Pd@C and P-Pd@C catalysts.

Figure 5 is missed in the published version. The Figure 5 is shown below
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**Figure 5.** Pd 3d spectrum of M-Pd@C and P-Pd@C catalysts.
Figure 6 is missed in the published version. The Figure 6 is shown below:

**Figure 6.** High and low resolution TEM images of M-Pd@C catalyst.
Figure 7 is missed in the published version. The Figure 7 is shown below.

**Figure 7.** Cyclic voltammograms obtained at P-Pd@C (A) and M-Pd@C (B) modified electrodes in the absence (a) and presence (b) of 5 mM H₂O₂. Cyclic voltammograms obtained at M-Pd@C (C) modified electrode in the presence of 1.0 mM (a), 2.5 mM (b), 5.0 mM (c), and 10.0 mM (d) H₂O₂ in N₂ saturated 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution at the scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹.
Figure 8 is missed in the published version. The Figure 8 is shown below:

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8.** EIS obtained at (a) bare, (b) M-Pd/C and (c) P-Pd/C glassy carbon electrodes in N$_2$ saturated 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution.

Figure 9 is missed in the published version. The Figure 9 is shown below:

![Figure 9](image)

**Figure 9.** Amperometric response of M-Pd@C modified electrode for detection of H$_2$O$_2$ at -0.3 V versus Ag/AgCl in a stirred 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution, pH 7.5. The upper right inset shows the calibration curve of M-Pd@C modified electrode for H$_2$O$_2$ concentration; the lower left inset zooms in the amperometric responses of 5 μM, 5 μM, 5 μM, 20 μM, 20 μM, 20 μM, 20 μM H$_2$O$_2$ additions.
Figure 10 is missed in the published version. The Figure 10 is shown below:

![Figure 10](image_url)

**Figure 10.** Amperometric response of M-Pd/C for successive additions of 0.1 mM H$_2$O$_2$, AA, UA, DA, CA and H$_2$O$_2$ at -0.3 V versus Ag/AgCl.
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