Fabrication of a three-dimensional electrochemical paper-based device (3D-ePAD) for individual and simultaneous detection of ascorbic acid, dopamine and uric acid
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We report the development of a three-dimensional electrochemical paper-based analytical device (3D-ePAD) for the individual and simultaneous determination of ascorbic acid (AA), dopamine (DA) and uric acid (UA). The device was fabricated by alkyl ketene dimer (AKD)-inkjet printing of a circularly hydrophobic zone on filter paper for application of aqueous samples coupled with screen-printing of the electrodes on the paper which was folded underneath hydrophobic zone. A self-assembled three-electrode system, comprising a graphite paste modified with Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI was fabricated on the patterned paper by screen printed through the pre-designed transparent film slit. The three electrodes of Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI modified graphite electrodes (Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE) on the layout paper were served as the working electrode, the reference electrode, and the counter electrode, respectively. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was used to study the electrochemical mechanism of AA, DA and UA. The results indicated that a high sensitivity towards AA, DA and UA was observed. Our results suggested that coating the working electrode with anionic surfactant, SDS (1 mM, pH 2), provides the distinguishable oxidation peak potential of AA and did not overlap with the oxidation peak of DA and UA. As a result, simultaneous determination of these three molecules in a mixture can be achieved. Examples of individual quantification of DA and UA in pharmaceutical and urine samples were demonstrated using differential pulse voltammetry (DPV). Under the optimum condition, the developed 3D-ePAD gave a linearity ranged from 20 to 1,000 µM for both DA and UA. The detection limits were 2.19 and 1.80 µM for DA and UA, respectively. There are no significant matrix interferences in the analyzed samples which can be concluded that the proposed method is suitable for the quantification of DA and UA with sufficient accuracy and precision.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Dopamine (DA), uric acid (UA) and ascorbic acid (AA) are important compounds of great biomedical interest, playing an essential role in human metabolism. These compounds commonly exist in human biological fluids, mainly in serum, blood, and urine. DA is a neurotransmitter within the central and peripheral nervous systems and always used as the significant clinical biomarker for the diagnostic function of human metabolism, cardiovascular, renal, hormone and central nervous systems [1-2]. In a healthy human, DA is found in the brain at \( \sim 50 \text{ nmol g}^{-1} \) and in extracellular fluids at 0.01–1 \( \mu \text{M} \). Abnormal levels are associated with Parkinson’s disease [1], and Alzheimer’s disease [2]. UA is the primary end product of purine metabolism while abnormal levels of UA related to symptoms of several diseases such as gout, hyperuricemia, and Lesch-Nyan illness [3]. This study also set out to determined AA or vitamin C, which is naturally antioxidants present in some foods such as fruits and vegetables. The most prominent role of AA is its immune-stimulating effect and preventing many diseases [4-5]. Therefore, the determination of these compounds is very important in pharmaceutical or biological fluid samples. Among the analytical methods reported so far for these three compounds determination, electrochemical technique represents one of the most attractive approaches due to their high sensitivity, low cost, portability, simplicity and ability to apply as a direct measurement in biological samples [6-9]. AA usually coexists with DA in extracellular fluid at a high concentration level, nearly 1,000 times higher than DA. Similarly, UA also recognizes as co-present at higher level than DA in biological fluids such as blood and urine. Moreover, DA, AA and UA can be oxidized at practically the similar potentials [6, 7]. Therefore, the peak overlapping as well as the presence at different level in samples made the selective quantification difficult to achieve. Thus, interference caused by AA is a challenge for the determination of DA and UA. Attempts to overcome this problem induced the used of various materials to modify the electrode surface such as polymer, metal or metal-oxide nanoparticles, and nanocomposites [7-9].

In the last decades, screen printing is a well-known fabrication method for the production of widely-used screen printed electrodes (SPE), which have been further modified with various materials by different methods [10-12]. Screen printing technique offers several advantages including low cost, easy to use, and disposable devices specially designed to work with microvolumes of samples. Advancements in miniaturization have led to the fabrication of the electrochemical analytical devices with screen-printing electrode on paper [13-20]. Paper, a commonly material seen in laboratories, has been extensively exploited as an attractive substrate for the development of cost-effective, disposable and portable electrochemical paper-based analytical devices (ePADs) [21-23]. Paper substrates offer many advantages for ePADs. Not only is paper widely available and inexpensive, but it is also lightweight, flexible, easy to modify chemically, acquire small volume of reagents and samples, and can be rolled or folded into 3D configurations to allow for more complex operations [13,16, 24].

Herein, we firstly report a 3D electrochemical paper-based analytical device (3D-ePAD) for detection of dopamine and uric acid in the presence of ascorbic acid by using \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{Au-Cys/PANI} \) modified screen printed graphite electrodes. The device was fabricated by AKD inkjet printing to obtain the twin circular areas of the detection zone and electrode coated sheet. The \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{Au-Cys/PANI} \) modified graphite paste was screened on the paper as working, counter and reference
electrodes. For analysis, the twin zones are brought into contact by folding the device along the central crease and double over on itself to form the 3D-ePAD. The Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI modified graphite screen-printed electrode (Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE) achieved selectively and simultaneously determination of DA and UA in the presence of AA through the use of the anionic surfactant, SDS, coated on the working electrode. The developed 3D-ePAD was successfully applied for the determination of DA in intravenous injection solutions and UA in urine samples. This device platform possesses several advantages, including low cost of material, flexibility, disposability, portability, in-house fabrication and the possibility for the mass production.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Reagents and materials

All chemicals were analytical grade and all solutions were prepared in deionized-distilled water (Water Pro-PS, USA). Dopamine hydrochloride (DA), uric acid (UA), hydrogen tetrachloroaurate(III)tri-hydrate (HAuCl$_4$•3H$_2$O), 99.99%, N, N’-dicyclohexylcarbodimide (DCC), L-Cysteine (C$_3$H$_7$NO$_2$S), tri-sodium citrate dihydrate (C$_6$H$_5$Na$_3$O$_7$•2H$_2$O), sodium phosphate monobasic dihydrate (NaH$_2$PO$_4$•2H$_2$O), sodium phosphate dibasic (Na$_2$HPO$_4$), ferric nitrate nonahydrate (Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$•9H$_2$O), ferrous sulfate heptahydrate (FeSO$_4$•7H$_2$O) and graphite powder were purchased from Acros Organic (Geel, Belgium). Ascorbic acid (AA) and filter paper (Whatman no. 1) were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was purchased from Loba Chemie (Maharashtra, India). All electrochemical measurements were carried out using an eDAQ potentiostat (EA161) equipped with an e-corder (model 210), and using e-Chem v2.0.13 software.

The solid form of alkyl ketene dimer (AKD wax88 konz), was dissolved in n-heptane (C$_7$H$_{16}$) and applied as hydrophobilizing agent on the 3D-ePAD surface. The 3D-ePAD was fabricated from A4 size Whatman filter paper (No.1) with a thickness of 0.180 mm and pore size of 11 µm by using an inkjet printer (Canon iP3680) modified with a custom-field printer cartridge containing 3%, w/v AKD [25].

2.2 Apparatus

Electrochemical measurements were performed on 3D-ePAD using an eDAQ potentiostat (model EA161, Australia) equipped with e-corder (model 210), and e-Chem software v2.0.13. For cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV), we used a self-assembled three-electrode system, comprising a graphite paste modified with Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI as working, reference and counter electrodes. The carbon paste electrode (CPE) active surface area was approximately 0.051 cm$^2$. Electrochemical measurements were performed in phosphate buffered solution (PBS, 0.1 M, pH 7).

A JEM-1230 transmission electron microscope (TEM; JEOL, Japan) was used to observe the size and morphology of Fe$_3$O$_4$, Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au and Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI nanoparticles. Structure and
composition of the nanocomposites were evaluated by Fourier transformed infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy (Perkin Elmer, USA).

2.3 Synthesis of the Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI

2.3.1 Preparation of magnetite nanoparticles (Fe$_3$O$_4$)

Magnetite nanoparticles were prepared by co-precipitation as described in our previous works [26, 27]. Briefly, Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$.9H$_2$O (0.02 mol) and FeSO$_4$.7H$_2$O (0.01 mol) were dissolved in HCl (60 mL, 0.2 M) with vigorous stirring under nitrogen atmosphere. NaOH solution (100 mL, 1.0 M) was then added dropwise to the suspension with vigorous stirring. Stirring was continued for another 2 h. The resulting black-brown precipitates (Fe$_3$O$_4$) were collected by magnetic decantation, washed three times with DI water, and then dried in a desiccator.

Although Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles possess high surface-to-volume ratios, they are easily oxidized in the air with the trend of highly aggregation, which could reduce conductivity. Therefore, we prepared gold coated magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au) nanoparticles to avoid the aggregation or oxidation and further acquire excellent conductive of the combine nanocomposites. Moreover, gold nanoparticles also have been reported as superior materials to fabricate sensors because of their catalytic activity, high stability, easy surface functionalization, and excellent conductivity [26, 28].

2.3.2 Preparation of Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au

Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au nanoparticles were prepared by reducing Au$^{3+}$ to Au$^0$ on the surface of Fe$_3$O$_4$ using tri-sodium citrate as previously described [26-28]. Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles (30 mg) were dispersed in 10 mL deionized (DI) water in an ultrasonic bath for 30 min. The dispersion was transferred to a 250-mL round-bottom flask containing 40 mL of water. Then, HAuCl$_4$ (20 mL, 0.1%) was added while stirring vigorously. Stirring was continued while the solution was brought to reflux. Then tri-sodium citrate (4 mL, 1 wt.%) was rapidly added to the boiling solution. The mixture was kept under reflux for further 15 min. During this process, the added Au$^{3+}$ ion was reduced to Au$^0$ on the Fe$_3$O$_4$ surface, to form red-brown Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au. The synthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au nanoparticles were separated by magnetic decantation, washed three times with DI water, and then dried in a desiccator.

2.3.3 Preparation of Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys

Our synthesis strategy for further acquire excellent conductivity to combined nanocomposites is based on cysteine (Cys)-conjugated gold-coated magnetite nanoparticles (Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys) using L-cysteine as a bi-functional linker for attachment to the gold surface via its thiol group. The red-brown Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au solution was adjusted to pH 10 using a 1% NH$_3$ solution. Then 5 mL aqueous L-cysteine (1 mM) was added and the mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys nanoparticles were separated using an external magnetic field, washed three times with water by magnetic decantation, and then dried in a desiccator.
2.3.4 Preparation of Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI

Method for preparation Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI was adopted from the method of Fe$_3$O$_4$@PANI by T. Wen [29]. Firstly, 30 mg Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys was dispersed in 30 mL of 1 M HCl by vigorous stirring and the dispersion solution was stirred for further 15 min. Then 1.5 mL of aniline was added into the solution and the solution was continuously stirred for 30 min. Subsequently, 3 mL of ammonium persulfate was added drop wise, and incubated for 3 h at 0-5 °C. Then the dark green of Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI composites were formed. The nanocomposites were collected by an external magnetic field, washed by 1 M HCl, ethanol, deionized water sequentially. Finally, the nanocomposites were dried in a desiccator.

2.3.5 Preparation of Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE

Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI modified graphite screen printed electrode (Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE) was constructed by using this following method. Graphite powder (85 mg) and Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI (15 mg) with mineral oil (60 µL) were hand-mixed in a mortar to form a homogeneous paste. Subsequently, the composite was screen printed on the detection zone of pattern paper (Fig 1 b) through the transparent film mask.

2.4 Design and fabrication of a 3D paper-based electrochemical device

Each fabrication method has its own advantages and drawbacks. AKD inkjet printing technique used in this work are able to produce precise and flexible design with mass production and has been described to be cost effective in generating hydrophobic zone when compared to other fabrication techniques [24, 25]. Schematic representation of the fabrication process of the 3D electrochemical paper-based analytical device (3D-ePAD) is shown in Scheme 1A. Firstly, filter paper (Whatman no. 1) was cut into A4 size (210 mm × 297 mm). In order to define the hydrophilic zones and the path of the hydrophilic sample solutions, the 3D-ePAD with twin circular shapes (diameter 8 mm) of detection zone was designed using Microsoft PowerPoint 2016. Then the patterns were printed on the paper with an AKD inkjet printer. The printed paper was baked in an oven at 105 °C for 60 min to form hydrophobic areas to prevent the back-flow or over flowing of solution from the 3D-ePAD. The paper was allowed to cool at room temperature and cut into pieces. After that screen-printed electrode was performed. In addition, to keep the alignment of electrodes consistent and precise in position on all fabricated devices, the patterns of the working electrode (WE), reference electrode (RE) and counter electrode (CE) mask were pre-designed and printed on the transparent film using a laser printer. The transparent film layout was cut to form the electrode slits as a designed pattern. Three electrodes were screen-printed on the AKD patterned paper. The working electrode (WE), the counter electrode (CE) and the reference electrode (RE) were printed using graphite paste modified with Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI. CE is always designed to be slightly bigger that the WE and RE to allow for the unlimited current transfer within the current circuit. CE is also placed closely to WE to allow the measurement at
working electrode by passing current over it. The printed-paper was baked in an oven at 80 °C for 60 min to form electrodes.

Scheme 1. (A) Schematic representation of the fabrication process of a 3D-ePAD (a) Patterns printed on paper. (b) The paper was baking at 105 °C for 120 min and cut into pieces after cooling. (c) Three-electrode system was screen-printed on the detection zone using graphite paste modified with Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI. (d) The magnification of the detection zone. (B) Schematic representation of the electrochemical analysis process.
2.4.1 Analysis using a 3D paper-based electrochemical device

For analysis, the twin zones are brought into contact by folding the device along the central crease and double over on itself (Scheme 1B.). The advantages for using the 3D-ePAD design are i) able to contain greater volume of standard/sample solution, ii) minimize the direct contact between the fabricated electrodes and sample in sample loading step and iii) very well impregnated of standard/sample solution on the fabricated electrode because of the paper fiber matrix provide high surface-to-volume ratio in the detection zone. After that, 20 µL of sample solution was dropped on detection zone and left to dry. Then 20 µL of 1 mM SDS (in PBS adjusted to pH 2 with 3 M HCl) was added to the 3D-ePAD and left at ambient temperature to the dryness. The electrochemical measurements were performed by either CV or DPV via the alligator clips contacted between the electrodes and the potentiostat.

2.5 Sample preparation

Dopamine (DA) samples, dopamine hydrochloride injection (DHI) solutions (250 mg per 10 mL solution) for intravenous infusion, were obtained from a local pharmacy. Urine samples for UA determination were collected from volunteer students. The samples were filtered through a 0.25-micron cellulose membrane and diluted appropriately with 0.1 M PBS. To ascertain the correctness of the results, real samples were analyzed by the standard addition method and then detected.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Characterization of the Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI nanocomposites

The morphology of the different composites was studied by TEM. The TEM samples were prepared by dispersing the nanocomposites in de-ionized water with an ultra-sonicator and then drying a drop of the suspension on a copper grid. Fig. 1 (A-C) shows TEM images of (A) the homogenous dispersion of Fe$_3$O$_4$, (B) Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au and (C) synthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI. The synthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$ (Fig. 1A) nanoparticles appear approximately spherical with an average diameter of 11.3 ± 3.1 nm (count =50). After reduction of Au$^{3+}$ to Au$^{0}$ on the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticle surface, the obtained nanocomposites (Fig. 1B) appear much darker than the uncoated Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles (Fig. 1A) because of heavy atom effects [24-26]. The average Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au nanocomposite diameter is 15.38 ± 2.71 nm (count =50). After modified with PANI, clearly the morphology of the Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys was changed by thin film covered with the core particles. TEM images of Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI (Fig. 1C) suggested that the surface of Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys was coated with PANI.
FT-IR was used to confirm the composition of the synthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI nanocomposites. Strong evidence of interaction between the nanoparticles and PANI in the composites was found from FT-IR spectra as shown in Fig. 1D. From the spectrum of pure PANI (curve c), the bands at 1565, 1488, 1290, 1137 and 800 could be assigned to the C=C stretching of the quinoid ring, C=C stretching of the benzene ring, C-N stretching of the benzenoid unit, C-N stretching of the quinoid unit and the plane as well as out-of-plane bending vibration of C-H, respectively. These characteristic bands demonstrated the successful of polymerization of aniline. Similarly, as it can be seen in Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI nanocomposites, the entire spectrum (curve d) was similar to that of the Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au (curve b) and pure PANI (curve c). The relevant characteristic peaks (1551, 1490, 1282 and 795) indicated that aniline monomer was successfully polymerized in the Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI nanocomposites. The observation of successful formation of the PANI on the Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys nanocomposites is in good agreement of with previous reports for silver nanoparticle/MWCNTs composites [28] and gold nanoparticle/graphene composites [29].
3.2 Electrocatalytic behaviors of the modified graphite screen-printed electrodes

The electrochemical behaviors of the 3D-ePAD graphite screen printed electrodes modified with nanomaterials were investigated by cyclic voltammetry (CV). Fig. 2 depicts cyclic voltammograms for (a) Fe₃O₄/GFE, (b) Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/GFE, and (c) Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE. The graphite screen-printed electrodes modified with Fe₃O₄, Fe₃O₄@Au-cys and Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI display a pair of redox peaks which suggested that these nanomaterials are electroactive. The combined nanocomposites further acquired excellent conductivity. The Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE produces the greatest oxidation peak current and the least oxidation peak potential of DA when compared to the Fe₃O₄/GFE and Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/GF plots. We then conclude that Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI possesses the greatest enhancement in electrocatalytic activity and redox response. In our case, gold coated magnetite-containing polyaniline (Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI) provides an excellent electrical conductivity and excellent electron transfer to provide enhancement of current responses.

![Figure 2](image_url)  
**Figure 2.** Cyclic voltammograms of 1 mM DA on (a) Fe₃O₄/GFE, (b) Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/GFE and (c) Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE at scan rate 50 mV/s, respectively.

3.3 Loading of Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI composite

The amount of Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI nanocomposites loading on the electrode directly affects the sensitivity of the electrocatalytic oxidation of DA. Effect of the nanocomposite amount on electrochemical sensing towards 1 mM DA was also investigated in a 0.1 M phosphate buffer by varying the amount from 0 to 25%. As shown in Fig. 3, the voltammetry signal current increased when increasing the loading amount from 0 to 15% and no significant change shown when further increasing the amount up to 25%. Signal currents increase in the beginning when the amount of nanocomposites increased is resulted from good electrocatalytic activity and good conductivity of Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI. However, as the amount of nanocomposites increased to more than 15%, higher
background currents were observed and attributed to the steady current signals. Thus, the optimum ratio between graphite powder and Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI nanocomposites is 85:15.

![Graph showing current response vs. ratio of graphite : Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI](image)

**Figure 3.** The effect of graphite powder and Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI ratio that used to make modified screen-printed graphite electrode on the current responses.

3.4 Coating of the electrode with SDS for the simultaneous determination

In order to test the possibility to determine AA simultaneously DA and UA on the developed Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE, cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M PBS (pH = 7) solution containing 1 mM of AA, DA and UA was investigated. As shown in Fig.4A, overlapping of oxidative potentials between AA and DA make the electrode unable for direct simultaneous determination. As a result, the anionic surfactant, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), was dropped casting on the surface of Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE to differentiate the overlapping peaks. Fig. 4B showed the cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M PBS containing 1 mM of AA, DA and UA on the Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE coated with SDS. As could be seen that the separated oxidation peaks for the peak potential of 0.23, 0.32 and 0.44 V for AA, DA and UA were observed on the electrode with SDS coating. Pure DA shows a quasi-reversible cyclic voltammogram (Fig. 2, curve c) on the Fe$_3$O$_4$@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE with anodic peak at 0.15 V. A mixture containing DA shows the anodic peak at 0.23 V (Fig.4A). Using the electrode coated with the anionic surfactant SDS shifted the DA potential to more positive value from 0.15 to 0.23 V. The significant shift of the oxidation potential upon the addition of SDS surfactant can be rationalized by the adsorption of the surfactant at the electrode surface, which may alter the overvoltage of the electrode and influence the rate of electron transfer that may affect the mass transport of the electroactive species to the electrode. The oxidation potential shift is presumed to be caused by preferential electrostatic interactions between the cationic DA and the anionic SDS which accordant to the previous reports [13, 30-32]
Figure 4. Cyclic voltammogram of the Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE in 0.1 M PBS (pH = 7) solution containing 1 mM of AA, DA and UA in conditions (A) without and (B) with coating electrode with SDS at a scan rate of 50 mVs⁻¹.

Due to the nearby oxidation potentials between AA and DA, efforts were made to distinguish AA and DA peaks on the Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE coated with SDS. The optimum pH and concentration of SDS solution used as the electrode modifying solution were investigated. The influence of pH of SDS modifier on the peak potential of AA, DA and UA molecules was tested in the pH range 2-10 at the Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE and the results are summarized in Fig. 5A. The oxidation of DA was more favorable as the pH increased, and therefore the distinction of oxidation peaks between DA and AA was diminished (Fig. 5A). Using pH 2 of 1 mM SDS modifier, it is apparently that the difference oxidation peak potentials of AA, DA and UA are enough to allow the simultaneous determination on the Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE. The effect of SDS concentration range from 0.5 to 2 mM on the current response was also investigated. From Fig 5B, the peak currents of the three molecules increased when SDS concentration increased from 0.5 mM to 1 mM, and decreased when further SDS concentration increased to 2 mM. For the electrode coating with SDS with the concentration higher than critical micellar concentration or CMC (3 mM) [34], the peak of AA is almost fade away (data not shown). In the case, SDS could be used as effective masking agent for AA to perform a quantitative determination of DA and UA in the samples with high AA content level. It should be noted that these proof-of-concept experiments were chosen to demonstrate the used of SDS to favor the oxidation of DA to achieve the distinguishable oxidation peaks between the DA and AA. We therefore selected the SDS concentration at 1 mM which provide the highest current response for AA, DA and UA. Therefore, it is concluded that the optimum conditions for individual and simultaneous detection of AA, DA and UA is Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE coating with 20 µL of 1 mM SDS (adjust to pH 2 with HCl).
Figure 5. Represent (A) the influence of pH in SDS solution used to coat the electrode surface on the peak potentials of AA, DA and UA. (B) the effect of the SDS concentration on the current of AA, DA and UA in conditions supporting electrolyte: 0.1 M PBS (pH=7) containing 1 mM of AA, DA and UA.

3.5 Calibration plot and the detection limit for simultaneous determination of AA, DA and UA.

Based on the above CV results, the distinguishable oxidation peak potential of AA from DA and UA at the SDS modified Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE is enough for separation and simultaneous determination of the three molecules in a mixture. Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) was selected as the technique for the enhancement of specificity and sensitivity for quantitative analysis of DA and UA in the presence of AA. Representative signal profiles for DA and UA in the standard mixtures and calibration plot are depicted in Fig. 6. As shown in Fig. 6A, the response currents of DA increase as the concentration increased while the other two species remained constant. The analytical performance of the developed 3D-ePAD was assessed; the results are summarized in Table 1. The linear range was found to be 20-1,000 µM, with a correlation coefficient ($r^2$) of 0.9916 and 0.9938 for DA and UA, respectively. The calibration plot for AA is linear ranged from 20 to 700 µM with the correlation of 0.9913. The estimated detection limits (S/N =3) are 3.20, 2.19 and 1.80 µM for AA, DA
and UA, respectively. %RSD calculated from the signals (n=5) of 20 µM of AA, DA and UA was less than 3.63%. This results suggested that the 3D-ePAD has an excellent precision.

![Graph showing DPV of the Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE](image)

**Figure 6.** DPV of the Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE under the optimal condition for (A) DA of 20 – 1,000 µM in the co-presence of AA and UA at 80 µM and (B) UA of 20 –1,000 µM in the co-presence of AA and DA at 80 µM. (C) AA of 20 –700 µM in the co-presence of DA and UA at 80 µM.

**Table 1.** Analytical performances of the analysis on the developed 3D-ePAD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AA</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>UA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>working range (µM)</td>
<td>20 - 700</td>
<td>20 - 1000</td>
<td>20 - 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calibration equation</td>
<td>( y = 0.0034x + 0.3581 )</td>
<td>( Y = 0.0061x + 0.0787 )</td>
<td>( Y = 0.0053x + 0.0559 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.9913</td>
<td>0.9916</td>
<td>0.9938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a precision (%RSD), n=5</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b limit of detection (µM)</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Calculated from the signals of 20 µM of AA, DA and UA
b Calculated from (3S/N).
Comparisons of the results from proposed method with the similar electrochemical methods are presented in Table 2. As the results showed, the proposed method is comparable to, or better the reported methods regarding linear range or limit of detection. The use of Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE also offers a wider range of linearity compared with previously reported for DA [13, 38, 39] and UA [36, 38, 40] determination, and lower limit of detection when compared with the values previously reported for DA [37, 39] and UA [35, 40, 41] determination. In addition, the major advantages of the Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE over previously reported devices are easy, in–house and fast fabrication, low cost of material, flexibility, portability, and high selectivity for the determination of DA and UA.

### Table 2. Comparison of analytical performance of the Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE for determination of DA and UA, with literature reports of differently modified electrodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Linear range (µM)</th>
<th>Detection limit (µM)</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DA</td>
<td>UA</td>
<td>DA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrogallol red/CPE</td>
<td>1-700</td>
<td>50-1000</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtAu hybrid film/GCE</td>
<td>103–1,650</td>
<td>21-336</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nf-Fc/GCE</td>
<td>250–5,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nf/p(FcAni)/CNTsPE</td>
<td>1-150</td>
<td>5-250</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNTs/ePAD</td>
<td>10 –100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPCE/ePAD</td>
<td>1-100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE/ ePAD</td>
<td>0.1-700</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/ePAD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50-200</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carbon ink /ePAD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30-2,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI GFE/ePAD</td>
<td>20-1,000</td>
<td>20-1,000</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPE= carbon paste electrode, PtAu = platinum and gold, GCE=glassy carbon electrode, Nf-Fc = ferrocene bound Nafion, Nf/p(FcAni)= Nafion/poly(m-ferrocenylaniline), CNTsPE= carbon nanotubes paste electrode, CNTs = multi walled carbon nanotubes, ePAD = electrochemical paper-based analytical devices, SPCE = screen printed carbon electrode, PDE = pencil-drawing electrode, Au =gold, Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE = Cysteine-gold coated magnetite nanoparticles core coated with polyaniline modified graphite screen printed electrode.

### 3.6 Interference studies

We performed interference studies on the determination of DA and UA at the Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE using DPV. The DA and UA concentrations were maintained at 200 µM and 50 µM. Interfering species were added to the test solution in the range of 5–100 fold greater concentration than that of DA and UA shown in Fig. 7. The tolerance limit was taken as the amount of substance needed to cause a signal alteration of greater than ± 5%. According to our results (Fig.7), glucose and KCl do not interfere the DA determination for studied up to 100-fold concentration. While urea, NaCl and NaNO₃ produce very low interference signals and do not interfere at 50 fold with respect to DA. In addition, KCl, urea and NaNO₃ also do not interfere with the UA determination studied up to 100-fold. Whereas, glucose and NaCl do not interfere with UA determination at 50- fold with respect to UA. This finding indicates that the Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE provides an acceptable selectivity for the determination of DA and UA in real samples.
Figure 7. Selectivity of the Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE for DA and UA determination, comparison between the signal current obtained from of (A) 200 µM of DA (control) and (B) 50 µM of UA (control) and the current of the control with interfering substances. Dotted mark the ±5% signal alteration range.

3.7 Real sample analysis

In order to evaluate the performance of the proposed 3D-ePAD, DA was analyzed in dopamine hydrochloride solutions for intravenous infusion (DA-S1, DA-S2 and DA-S3) and UA was analyzed in human urine samples (UA-S1, UA-S2 and UA-S3). The samples were diluted appropriately with 0.1 M PBS. The analyzed results obtained from the samples after diluted with the PBS were listed as the detected concentration in Table 3. The spiked concentration of 50 µM was also analyzed to investigate the accuracy of the method. The precision of the analytical process was evaluated by the repeatability of the process. The results are summarized in Table 2. The recoveries and RSDs of the proposed method were found in the range of 94.1-102.8 % and 0.6-1.8 %, respectively. The determined values
from the samples obtained from our proposed method are listed as the “amount contained” in Table 3. As can be seen in Table 3 the determined values from our method compared well with reference values obtained from DA labelled value (250 mg/dm³) and UA contents obtained from hospital results. The relative differences between data obtained from the 3D-ePAD and that derived from reference values are in the range of 0.1-2.6% and 1.1-2.7% for DA and UA, respectively. These results indicated that there are no significant matrix interferences in the analyze samples as well as this presented method is sufficiently accurate, precise and suitable for the quantification of DA and UA in the mentioned samples.

**Table 3.** DA and UA concentration in different samples (n=3) obtained from the proposed method and the reference values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Recovery study</th>
<th>Validation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Detected (µM)</td>
<td>Found (µM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DA-S1</td>
<td>100.2 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DA-S2</td>
<td>97.6 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DA-S3</td>
<td>97.1 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UA-S1</td>
<td>112.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UA-S2</td>
<td>424.6 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UA-S3</td>
<td>114.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*DA-S1 - DA-S3 are injection solutions and UA-S1- UA-S3 are urine samples.
*Amount found in the samples after dilution, X ± S.D.
*Amount found after spiked either 50 µM of DA or UA
*DA contents form the samples compared with labeled value [250 mg (dm³)⁻¹] and UA contents compared with value obtained from hospital results.

4. CONCLUSION

This study has indicated that the Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE exhibits electrocatalytic activity to AA, DA, and UA oxidation. The fabricated electrode not only improved the electrochemical catalytic oxidation of AA, DA, and UA but also resolved the overlapping anodic peaks when coating with SDS (1 mM, pH 2). The Fe₃O₄@Au-Cys/PANI/GFE in 3D-ePAD platform exhibits high sensitivity and selectivity in individual and simultaneous determination of AA, DA and UA. The developed 3D-ePAD gave a linearity ranged of 20-1,000 with detection limit of 2.19 and 1.80 µM for DA and UA, respectively. This 3D-ePAD has successfully applied for DA and UA determination with sufficiently accurate and precise results in pharmaceutical and urine samples. The results demonstrated that our fabricated 3D-ePAD possess many advantages including an easy to-use, inexpensive, and portable alternative for point of care monitoring. In addition, our developed 3D-ePAD are expected to be a pioneer platform for the development of other interesting analytes with a broad range of applications.
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