Effect of the Carbon Source on Facile Synthesized Si/Graphite Composites and their Electrochemical Performance
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Silicon as the most promising candidate of anode for Li-ion batteries has high capacity (4200 mAh g⁻¹), but the poor performance limits its practical application. In this paper, we explore the effects of different carbon sources on silicon/graphite composites. It is found that the sucrose as the carbon source can bind with the silicon particles and graphite well and form a continuous three-dimensional conductive network, resulting in improving the electrochemical properties of the materials. This silicon/graphite composites using sucrose as carbon source exhibit an excellent cyclic performance. The capacity retention is 88.5 % with a high capacity of 606 mAh g⁻¹ after 50 cycles. Moreover, the effect of sucrose concentration on the electrochemical performance is investigated. When the additive amount of sucrose is 1.0 g, the materials can achieve the best electrochemical performance, which demonstrates that a suitable carbon layer is beneficial to the silicon/graphite composites.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Developing rechargeable lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) with high energy density and long cycle life is one of the critical importance, because of increasing demands of high-energy-density batteries in various fields of emerging technologies, such as intelligent electronic devices, hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs), and electric vehicles (EVs) [1-4]. The traditional graphite anode has the limited theoretical capacity: 372 mAh g⁻¹, which is too low to meet the high-performance demands. Among all reported candidates scrutinized for the anode, silicon is one of the most promising materials due to its large theoretical capacity (approximately 4200 mAh g⁻¹), low operating potential, eco-friendly, and abundant natural resource [5,6]. However, Si suffers from an enormous volume change during the charge and
discharge process (about 300%), which causes the fracturing, pulverization, and electrical isolation of silicon particles from the current collector [7]. Moreover, because of volume effect, the solid electrolyte interfaces (SEI) move back and forth between formation and destruction during the electrochemical process, which consume a lot of lithium ion, resulting in a lower coulombic efficiency and poor cyclic performance. Furthermore, the lower intrinsic electronic conductivity of Si impedes the transmission of electrons, which is bad for the electrochemical performance [8,9].

In order to solve the intrinsic defects of Si anode, a lot of researches have been expanded. Such as constructed different structure of the silicon including nanoparticles [10], nanowires [11], nanotubes [12], film and built the carbon/silicon composites [13,14,15], which attempt to accommodate the volume change and improve the conductivity. Among them, the graphite/silicon composites may be the best successful, and achieve a small amount of commercial applications [16]. Even so, the complicated synthesis of materials or expensive equipment, and the specific capacity of the graphite/silicon (Si/G) composites are not improved too much, so that it still cannot completely replace graphite [17]. Designing an applicable structure that can behave excellent electrochemical performance with a simple method and low-cost is still a challenge. In addition, the coating of G/Si composites is a generally tricky problem, and the research on different carbon source coated is rarely reported [6,18].

Herein, we precisely design and synthesis a Si/G@C structure by a simple water bath evaporation method. Effects of different common carbon sources on the bonding between silicon and graphite particles are explored, in order to make the graphite bond more firmly to the silicon, and obtain a complete surface coating carbon layer which can buffer the volume expansion of silicon particles during the lithiation process and provide efficient electronic and ion pathway, by using different carbon sources (the sucrose, citric acid and polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP)) to coat the Si/G composites. It is found that the citric acid and PVP cannot bond graphite and silicon very well. In contrast, when the sucrose as the carbon source the graphite and silicon particles can be bonded well, and the composites are uniformly coated with amorphous carbon as well as forming a three-dimensional carbon skeleton, which is advantageous to the electronic transmission. As a result, the composites of sucrose carbon-coated Si/G exhibits excellent cycle stability and rate-performance.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

The experimental process is shown in Figure 1. Typically, a certain of nano-silicon particles were dispersed in DI water under ultrasonic vibration for 3 h to form a homogeneous suspension, marked it as suspension A. A little of natural graphite particles were dispersed in DI water-ethanol solution under stirring for 1 h, marked it as suspension B. Then, the suspension A was dropped into suspension B, followed by mechanical stirring for 1h. After that, carbon sources (sucrose or citric acid or PVP) solution was injected into the above system, under stirring for 3 h at 70 ℃ in water bath kettle, to evaporate the solvent and form slurry. The slurry above was poured into porcelain boat and dried overnight and then calcined at 800 ℃ for 3 h under N2 atmosphere at a heating rate of 2 ℃ min−1 to gain the Si/G@C composite.
The morphology and microstructure were observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM). X-ray diffraction pattern were record using an X-ray diffraction (XRD). Electrochemical properties were evaluated using typical CR2016 coin-type half cells. Electrodes were prepared by mixing active material, super P, and poly (vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) binder with the mass ratio was 7:2:1 in N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP) to form a slurry. Then, pasted the slurry on a Cu foil and dried in a vacuum oven at 80 ℃ for overnight. The electrolyte was 1M LiPF6 dissolved in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC), ethyl methyl carbonate (EMC), and diethyl carbonate (DEC) with volume ratio of 1:1:1, added 5% Fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC). The assembly of the cell was conducted in an Ar-filled glove box. The cycle life and rate performance of the cells were tested by a LAND battery testing system over a potential range of 0.01-3 V (vs Li/Li+) at room temperature. Cyclic voltammetry (CV, 0.1 mV s⁻¹) plots and electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS,0.1 kHz to 100Hz) were carried out on an electrochemical work station (CHI660D).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2 shows the SEM figures of graphite, nano-silicon, sucrose, citric acid and PVP as carbon source coated on Si/G composites, respectively. Obviously, the particle size of graphite and Si is about 12 µm and 80 nm, respectively, with the smooth surface. After using the sucrose as carbon source coated, the surface Si/G@C composites is was relatively smooth and there are no other nanoparticles can be found, it may indicate that the graphite and silicon particles are fully coated by the amorphous carbon. However, when the citric acid and PVP used as carbon source, the surface of the composites is rough and much of nanoparticles are exposed on it. It is manifested that the amorphous carbon, which origins from citric acid and PVP, cannot provide an effective bonding between the graphite and silicon particles, so that it lead to incomplete coated carbon layer of the Si/G composites. This difference can be explained as: the sucrose is decomposed into many small molecules such as glucose and fructose during the
evaporation of the water bath process, afterwards, these small molecules may play an effective bonder of silicon and graphite particles, and fill the vacant between the Si and graphite, thereby form a complete carbon layer. However, the citric acid and PVP do not have the ability to hydrolyze into small molecules to make the graphite and silicon particles combined with each other during the current water bath condition. Trustingly, this continuous and smooth carbon layer can provide great convenience for the transmission of electronic, and improve the electrochemical performance, correspondingly [19,20].

The XRD patterns of synthesized composites of the sucrose, citric acid and PVP as carbon source coated on Si/G composites, respectively, are showed in Figure.2f. The distinct diffraction peaks at 28.2, 47.3, 56.1, 69.1, 77.5 and 88.6° correspond to (111), (220), (311), (400), (331) and (422) planes of Silicon (JCPDS no. 27-1402), and the sharp diffraction peaks at 26.04, correspond to the crystal planes of Graphite (002) [21]. The result of XRD patterns demonstrates that the three samples are composed of silicon and carbon, and no other peaks have been detected, implying the high pure properties of these samples.

Figure 2. The SEM images of graphite (a), nano silicon (b), sucrose Si/G (c), citric acid Si/G (d), PVP Si/G (e), and the XRD pattern dates of the samples, correspondingly.

To investigate the distribution of surface elements of materials, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were carried out. As the XPS spectrum show (Figure.3a), the pure silicon has two typical characteristic peaks Si 2s and Si 2p (located at 175 and 105 eV, respectively). However, after coated by the sucrose carbon, these peaks can hardly be observed, instead of C 1s peak (about 290 eV)
But the samples coated with citric acid and PVP carbon can detect the characteristic peak of silicon, distinctly. This phenomenon echoes the above SEM images, suggesting that the sucrose as the carbon source can uniform coated the Si/G composites [23,24]. In contrast, there are some silicon are exposed on the surface of the materials when used the citric acid and PVP as the carbon sources [25].

Figure 3b shows the Raman curves of samples (sucrose, citric acid and PVP as carbon source coated Si/G, respectively). The peaks of I_D and I_G correspond to the presence of carbon atoms with dangling bonds (sp^3-bonded carbon atoms, which are usually detected in disordered or amorphous carbons) and the vibration of carbon atoms with sp^2 hybridization in the graphite sheet structure of carbon material, respectively [14,26]. The ratio of I_D and I_G is reflected the graphitization degree of carbon materials, and the I_D/I_G of the 1-sucrose, 1-citric acid and 1-PVP samples are 0.89, 0.53 and 0.92, which shows the amorphous carbon formed by different carbon source have a diverse crystallinity at the same heat treatment step [11]. Carbon layer formed by citric acid and sucrose tend to graphitization, while carbon layer formed by PVP tends to the amorphous structure. It is well known that the amorphous carbon has more defects and flexibility, which can provide more active sites and buffer the silicon volume change during the electrochemical process [6], but the conductivity is insufficient. Due to the graphitized carbon possess more ordered structure, it is a good conductor of electrons, but not enough to buffer the volume expansion of silicon [27]. As discussed, the sucrose carbon may be the best choose for the Si/G composites, which can increase the electronic conductivity as well as accommodating the enormous volume change of silicon [28,29].

Figure 3. The XPS (a) and Raman (b) spectrum of sucrose, citric acid and PVP Si/G composites, respectively.

The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method provided the specific surface area of 206, 79.9, 6.2 m^2 g^{-1} of the 1-sucrose, 1-citric acid and 1-PVP sample, respectively. As illustrated in Figure 4a, the three as-prepared samples show the type-II adsorption [30]. Figure 4b revealed the pore size distribution of the samples, the pore structure of 1-citric acid and 1-PVP samples is less, while the 1-sucrose sample has lots of pores and dominated by the micropores. As previous discussed, more micropores and bigger specific surface area, can provide more favorable transport routes for electrolyte ions and facilitate the diffusion of Li^+ and improve the rate performance [1].
Figure 4. The N$_2$ absorption and desorption curves of sucrose, citric acid, PVP Si/G and the corresponding pore size distribution.

To investigate the intrinsic electrochemical performance of the sucrose Si/G, citric acid Si/G, and PVP Si/G composites, 2016-type half-cell were employed. The composite as anode and Li metal as counter electrode were tested at a current density of 50 mA g$^{-1}$. From the figure 5a, it can be seen that the first discharge specific capacity of sucrose Si/G, citric acid Si/G, and PVP Si/G composites are 676, 847 and 935 mAh g$^{-1}$, meanwhile, the initial coulombic efficiency are 72, 77 and 78%, respectively. Comparison of the sucrose Si/G with other carbon source in literatures are shown in Table 1. It can be seen that the Si/G@C electrode in this work exhibits a higher reversible capacity, and the synthesis process is simple and low cost. Compare to the sucrose Si/G composite, the citric acid Si/G and PVP Si/G composites have higher initial specific capacity and initial coulombic efficiency, which may cause by the carbon residue rate of these three carbon sources and the specific surface area, respectively. The carbon residue rate of citric acid and PVP is less, lead to the content of silicon is higher, thereby bringing higher specific capacity in these composites [20]. For the initial coulombic efficiency, due to the sucrose Si/G composite have a higher specific surface area (206 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), which would consume more lithium ions during the SEI films formation process, it has been decreased. The cyclic performance (Figure 5b) also proves that sucrose is better than citric acid and PVP as carbon source for the Si/G composites. Both of the two latter have undergone drastic decline in specific capacity, performance for the specific capacity of citric acid Si/G and PVP Si/G from 847 down to 448 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 935 down to 430 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 50 cycles. However, the capacity decrease of sucrose Si/G is only 70 mAh g$^{-1}$ (from 676 to 606 mAh g$^{-1}$), after 50 cycles. From the above SEM and XPS analysis results, it is easy to see that only sucrose can completely coat the material, and forming a continuous three-dimensional conductive skeleton. When citric acid and PVP as the carbon source coated on Si/G composites, the silicon particles are exposed on the surface of the composite and contact with the electrolyte directly. The large volume expansion during the lithium intercalation process causes the SEI film to generate and break fleetly [36], and the strong mechanical stress also bring the silicon particles to fall off directly from the graphite surface. As a result, the cycle performance of the material drops dramatically. While, the carbon layer which formed by sucrose can be fully wrapped with silicon and graphite, which can not only buffer the silicon particle volume expansion, but also generate an outstanding 3D conductive network. More
important, the presence of graphite can enhance the stability of the composites structure, effectively avoid collapse of the materials, as a result the electrode shows an excellent cycle stability [37].

The effect of the different carbon sources coated on the ultimate electrochemical performance of the Si/G composites electrode can be also clarified by analyzing the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), as show in the figure 5c. Clearly, each curve consists of a semicircle in the high-medium frequency is region attributed to the charge transfer (Rct) [38] and a linear tail in the low frequency region ascribed to the solid-state diffusion of lithium into the bulk of electrode material, respectively. The fitted Rct value of the sucrose Si/G, citric acid Si/G and PVP Si/G are 32.5, 34 and 35 Ω, respectively, which indicates that the three carbon sources have little effect on the conductivity of Si, but the coating effects of carbon greatly improves the conductivity of silicon [39].

In order to further explore the effect of carbon sources on the electrochemical properties of Si/G composites, the cyclic voltammetry (CV) is employed. Figure 5d, e and f exhibit the CV curves of the three samples. Obviously, curves of citric acid Si/G are similar with that of PVP Si/G, a broad cathodic peak ranging from 0.3 to 1.8 V occurs in the first cycle but disappears in the subsequent cycles. It is caused by the formation of the SEI films on the surface of the electrode [40], and the decomposition of electrolyte. In the reduction process, the peaks ranging from 0.1 to 0.3 V is correspond to the lithium insertion into graphite and alloying reaction with silicon [5]. In the oxidation process, the peak between 0.1 to 0.3 V correspond to the lithium ion extraction from graphite, while the peak at around 0.5 V is ascribed to the dealloying process of the silicon [3]. It is worth noting that there is a new broad peak (about 0.15-0.25 V) has been presented, which may be caused by formation of new SEI film and breaking of old SEI film [41]. In addition, the second and third cycles have poor graphics reproducibility, which implied the poor cyclic performance of those materials, and this result is in accordance with the cycling curves. In contrast, the CV curves of the sucrose Si/G composite, the original two oxidation peaks (0.1 to 0.3 and 0.5 V) have turned into a peak (located at 0.2 V), which indicated that the kinetics of delithiation of materials has changed [42]. This phenomenon may be caused by the graphite improves the conductivity of silicon. Under the bridge of graphite, electrons can easily enter the silicon, so the lithium ions can more readily released from the graphite and silicon. In other words, the lithium ion originally had to be extracted from the silicon at 0.5 V, now at the potential of 0.2 V is enough. As a result, the electrochemical properties have been effectively improved. More importantly, there is no new cathodic peak has been detected during the subsequently cycling, the curves of the second and third circles appear highly coincident, which demonstrates the formation of a stable SEI film on the surface of the material [43].
Figure 5. Electrochemical performance: The charge and discharge voltage profiles for the first cycle (a), the cycling performance (b), Nyquist plots for the initial (c), and the CV curves of the PVP (d), citric acid (e), sucrose (f) respectively.

Table 1. The reversible capacity of some similar anode materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon source</th>
<th>Reversible capacity (mAh/g)</th>
<th>Current density (mA/g)</th>
<th>Preparation method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVP, glucose</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Dry ball milling</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal tar pitch</td>
<td>602.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Two heating procedure</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric acid, pitch</td>
<td>723.8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Spray drying</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylene</td>
<td>520.7</td>
<td>0.2C</td>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silane gas</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>0.5C</td>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Water bath evaporation</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To investigate the effect of sucrose addition on silicon-carbon composites, experiments with different sucrose additive amount were carried out. Figure 6a, b and c show the SEM of the different sucrose additive amount (0.5 g, 1 g, 1.5 g, marked as 0.5-sucrose, 1.0-sucrose and 1.5-sucrose, respectively.). It is clearly to see that, when the concentration of sucrose is low, there are many small carbon spherical particles formed by carbon precursor attached to the surface of graphite and silicon particles, may lead to expose graphite and silicon particles on the surface. When the concentration of sucrose increased, the small carbon spherical particles overall adhesion and gradually fused, then coated on the surface of graphite and silicon particles [44]. With the concentration increasing gradually, the picture is noticeably darkened, implying the addition of a large amount of amorphous carbon leads to a decrease in the conductivity of the material. Thus, as-prepared of 1.0-sucrose sample may be the best choice from the morphology.
The individual elemental mapping of 1.0-sucrose result is shown in Figure 6d, e and f. From the elemental mapping analysis, it is found that the silicon and carbon are evenly distributed on the surface of the material, and silicon fit snugly around the graphite. Assuredly, the interesting structure with the three-dimensional conductive skeleton greatly contribute to the rapid transmission of electrons and ions, and enhancing the electrochemical properties [45].

![Image](image-url)

**Figure 6.** The SEM images of the different sucrose addition of the Si/G composites, 0.5-sucrose (a), 1.0-sucrose (b), 1.5-sucrose (c), and the mapping images of 1.0-sucrose (d), (e), (f).

Figure 7a illustrates the charge and discharge profiles of sucrose Si/G composites for the first cycles at a current density of 50 mA g\(^{-1}\) with the voltage ranging from 0.01 to 2 V. The first discharge/charge capacity of the 0.5-sucrose, 1.0-sucrose and 1.5-sucrose composite electrodes is 1081.8/796, 948.1/676.3 and 940/621.4 mAh g\(^{-1}\) with an initial coulombic efficiency of 73.6, 71.3, and 66.1%, respectively. Obviously, the initial coulombic efficiency of the 0.5-sucrose, 1.0-sucrose and the 1.5-sucrose electrodes are decreased gradually. Due to the amorphous carbon increased, much disordered structures and defects are introduced, they consume a lot of lithium ions during the initial electrochemical process, which resulting in a decline in the initial coulombic efficiency [46].

As shown in Figure 7b, the cyclic performance curve of the 0.5-sucrose, 1.0-sucrose and 1.5-sucrose composite electrodes were evaluated at the current density of 50 mA g\(^{-1}\). For the 0.5-sucrose composite electrodes, the initial coulomb efficiency and the reversible capacity (as high as 796 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) are the best among this three samples. However, after 20 cycles, the specific capacity of the 0.5-sucrose composite electrode had rapidly declined. As revealed of the SEM images, due to the thin layer of the
coated carbon, lithium ion can easily enter the silicon, but with the deepening of lithium intercalation, the carbon layer is too thin to buffer the strong mechanical stress. Caused by the volume expansion of silicon, the silicon particles gradually fall off the surface of the graphite, thus the materials exhibiting an unsatisfactory cycle performance [5]. The capacity of 1.0-sucrose composite electrodes remains 598.3 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 50 cycles, and the capacity retention was 88.5 %. Because the coated carbon layer thickness is appropriate, which can not only increase the electrical conductivity of the material, but also provide lithium ion transport pathway [19]. The suitable coating thickness can effectively alleviate the volume expansion of silicon. As to the 1.5-sucrose composite, abundant of amorphous is bound to cause many defects, the defects may change the lithium insertion mechanism of the material, with the number of lithium insertions increases, these defects may cause the pore structure of the material to collapse, leading to the decrease in cyclic performance [47].

**Figure 7.** The electrochemical properties of the different sucrose addition samples: Charge and discharge voltage profiles for the first cycle (a), Cycling performance (b), Rate performance (c), and the Nyquist plots of the 0.5, 1.0, 1.5-sucrose, respectively.

Figure 7c displays the rate performance of the three samples. As discussed, owing to the thin carbon layer of the 0.5-sucrose sample, pathway of the lithium ions and electrons diffuse into silicon is short, the process of delithiation and lithiation can be done quickly, which bring an excellent rate performance. But after undergo the heavy current, the performance has declined. In contrast, the samples of 1.0-
sucrose and 1.5-sucrose, restored to its original capacity, immediately, and remains stable in the subsequence cycles, which further verified that the importance of a suitable carbon coating for Si/G composites [11].

The Nyquist plots of the different concentration of sucrose samples also shows in the Figure 7d, which compose of a semicircle where a high-frequency semicircle and a medium-frequency semicircle overlap each other, and an inclined tail at low frequency. The high-frequency semicircle can be attributed to SEI resistance, and the medium-frequency semicircle is correlated to the charge-transfer resistance. The charge-transfer resistance of the 0.5-sucrose, 1.0-sucrose and 1.5-sucrose sample is 55, 38, 46 Ω, separately. This can be interpreted that the carbon layer is too thin, the surface of graphite or silica particles was enshrouded incomplete, leading to the electrical conductivity of the material is not good. While, when the carbon layer is too thick, although the particulate was enshrouded completely, while the thickness of the carbon layer, the electronic transmission way gets longer, the conductivity of the material also can be affected [41]. Therefore, the 1.0-sucrose sample has the suitable carbon layer thickness, result in the least charge-transfer resistance.

4. CONCLUSION

In short, we have compared the structure and electrochemical performance of the three different carbon sources (sucrose, citric acid and Polyvinyl Pyrrolidone)-coated silicon and graphite particles. It is found that the hydrolysis of sucrose can generate such micromolecule, which may be advantageous to the bonding between graphite and silicon particles to form a complete and uniform carbon layer, but citric acid and PVP do not have this ability. At the same time, there are lots of microspores on the carbon layer surface, which conducive to the rapid diffusion of lithium ions. More importantly, the surface of carbon layer is smooth, without adhering of bare silica particles, suggesting the Si/G composites can be uniform coated by the sucrose. Hence, the electrode of the sucrose as carbon precursors sample has a higher reversible capacity (676 mAh g$^{-1}$) and good cycle performance. Additionally, the coating carbon layer promote the formation of a stable SEI layer on the composites surface, which is the critical factor for the long cycle life as well as the high coulombic efficiency. In addition, we have also studied the coating effect of different concentration of sucrose solution. The suitable concentration of sucrose solution during preparation process of electrode is beneficial to the high irreversible capacity and excellent cycle stability.
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