Electrochemical Determination of Chloramphenicol based on ZnO-NPs/SWCNTS Composite modified glassy carbon electrode
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This paper was focused on studying the electrochemical properties of Zinc Oxide nanoparticles (ZnO NPs)/SWCNTs/glassy carbon electrode (GCE) to determine chloramphenicol (CAP) through voltammetric techniques. ZnO NPs/SWCNTs composite was synthesized using sol-gel technique for modification of GCE surface. The morphology and structure of ZnO NPs/SWCNTs was studied by FESEM and XRD analyses. The results indicated that the ZnO NPs were successfully synthesized in wurtzite hexagonal structure on SWCNTs structure. Electrochemical results revealed that ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE possess high stability, selectivity and repeatability response for determination of CAP. The wide linear range, high sensitivity and low detection limit for CAP detection were 10 µM-140 µM, 1.6593 µA/µM and 0.03 µM, respectively. Determination of CAP by ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE sensor in eye drops showed that it can be a suitable choice for practical detection of CAP in real samples.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Today, the pharmaceutical industry is one of the most important bases of health and medical services. Huge market of this industry leads to huge investments on research and drug analysis which contribute to study of quality control, safety, performance, stability, toxicology, and side effects of drugs. Therefore, prior to drug development, drug analysis is essential for diagnosis the pharmaceutical formulation and isolation of the active constituent, purification, and standardization of drugs. Many of researches are focused on identification and development of analytical techniques to
obtain the low cost, simple, sensitive, valid and rapid determination methods of trace pharmaceutical elements in blood, food and water. High performance liquid chromatography, gas chromatography, UV/Vis spectrophotometry, NMR spectroscopy, fluorescence, capillary electrophoresis and electrochemical methods are widely used for determination of qualitative and quantitative of pharmaceutical compounds [1, 2]. However, these methods need preliminary preparation of samples, expensive extraction and derivatization processes which make these costly complicated and time-consuming analysis[3, 4]. In the last decades, electrochemical methods have been considered as interesting convenient analysis because of low cost, simplicity, sensitivity and accuracy to determine the organic and inorganic compounds in pharmaceuticals and chemical compositions [5-7].

Chloramphenicol (CAP,[2,2-dichloro-N-[2-hydroxy-1-(hydroxymethyl)-2-(4-nitrophenyl) ethyl] acetamide); C_{11}H_{12}Cl_{2}N_{2}O_{5}) is widely used as antibiotic inhibiting the activity such as Gram-negative, Gram- positive, spirochetes, rickettsiae bacteria and wide broad-spectrum bacteria in food-producing animals. Moreover, this antibiotic is also used in eye drops and eye ointments to treat conjunctivitis. Therefore, these compounds can be released through the human and veterinary medicinal and hospital research wastes. Low cost of CAP makes it a very applicant antibiotic in developing countries. As a consequence, it is observed that toxicity and side effects of CAP causes cancer, leukemia, aplastic anemia, bone marrow suppression, gray baby syndrome, hypersensitivity reactions and neurotoxic reactions which lead to limitation of CAP application in medical science [8]. Therefore, improvement and determination techniques of CAP concentration in pharmaceutical, milk and meat is required.

Many electrochemical studies were performed based on various materials, such as graphene [9], carbon nanotube [10], metallic nanoparticles[11] and nanowires [12, 13], dendrimer [14], composites [15], metal-organic framework [16], and surfactants [17] to development the analytical performance of CAP sensors. However, application of Au nanoparticles decorated graphene oxide [18], CNTs/Cu nanoparticle composite [19] for modification of the CAP sensors showed improvement in sensing properties, no research has been done on this process the analytical performance of ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/ GCE as CAP electrochemical sensor. Therefore, the ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/ GCE sensor was fabricated and applied for the determination of CAP through cycle voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) techniques.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

First step of fabrication of the modified electrode was carboxylation of the single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs). Therefore, 500 mg of purified SWCNTs (> 90%, Carbon Solutions, Inc., USA) were dispersed in 100 ml of mixture of 3:1 (v/v) H_{2}SO_{4} (96%) and HNO_{3} (65%) solution, and ultrasonicated at 20 kHz for 60 minutes at room temperature. The dispersed SWCNTs were filtered with PTFE disk filter (1 μm, polytetrafluoroethylene membrane disc, Pall Corporation, USA) under vacuum. The filtered SWCNTs were washed several times with deionized water. Then, 10 mg of carboxylated SWCNTs were dispersed in 10 ml of N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.8%, Sigma-Aldrich, USA).
GCE was polished with alumina slurry (1 µm, Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA) on a polishing cloth pad for 20 minutes and washed ultrasonically with deionized water. For further cleaning the GCE surface, the polished electrode was placed in an electrochemical cell which contained 0.1 M H₂SO₄ and applied potential range of -1.5 to 1.5 V vs Ag/AgCl electrode at 100 mV s⁻¹ for 5 minutes. Then, GCE was immersed in the prepared suspension of carboxylated SWCNTs for 10 minutes, and then was dried under an infrared lamp (Nanchang Light Technology Exploitation Co. Ltd., China) for 10 minutes.

In order to synthesize the ZnO NPs/SWCNTs composite [20], 1 M hydroxide gel was prepared by hydrolyzing zinc nitrate hexahydrate (99.999%, Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The prepared gel was ultrasonicated for 4 minutes and then 3 ml of formic acid was added to the gel as mineralizer. The SWCNTs/GCE was immersed in the prepared gel for 20 minutes, and then was dried under 200 °C in a hot air oven for 24 hours. Finally, after cooling the modified ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE, it was stored in a refrigerator at 6 °C for further electrochemical studies.

In order to prepare the real sample, the eye drops (0.5 % CAP, IMRES, Netherlands) were purchased from a local drug store. A 300 µl of eye drops solution was mixed in 10 ml volumetric flask and diluted to a 10 ml with 0.1 M PBS pH 7. A 250 µl of prepared solution was transferred to 10 ml volumetric flask.

The morphology of ZnO NPs/SWCNTs composite was studied through field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, JSM 700F, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). X-ray diffractometer (XRD) operating at 30 mA/40 kV with Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 0.154051 nm) was applied for crystallinity characterization of ZnO NPs/SWCNTs composite. Electrochemical studies were performed in the electrochemical cell which containing three-electrodes: Ag/AgCl/(sat KCl) as the reference electrode, a Pt wire as the counter electrode and (ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE) as the working electrode. CV and DPV studies were carried out in potentiostat (PGSTAT128N, MetrohmAutolab B.V., Utrecht, The Netherlands). The electrolyte of electrochemical cell was 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution (PBS) which prepared of H₃PO₄ (≥85%, Liuzhou Xianmi Trade Co., Ltd., China) and NaH₂PO₄ (>99%, Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The pH 7 of PBS was adjusted with HCl and NaOH solutions.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1a displays the FESEM image of the synthesized ZnO NPs/SWCNTs composite. As observed, SWCNTs act as substrates for growth ZnO nanoparticles. FESEM image of SWCNTs is shown in Figure 1b. The tubular structure of the CNTs is observed which possess an average length and diameter of 10 µm and 80 nm, respectively. Therefore, high aspect ratio and high porosity of prepared nanostructures can promote the catalytic properties of ZnO/SWCNTs composite.
The structure of the ZnO NPs, SWCNTs and ZnO NPs/SWCNTs was characterized by XRD analysis. XRD spectrum of ZnO nanostructures in Figure 2 displays diffraction peaks at 2θ =31.79°, 34.48°, 36.28°, 47.68°, 56.67°, 63.02°, 68.11°, and 68.97° which corresponding to the (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103), (112), and (201) planes (JCPDS No. 36-1451), respectively[21]. All of these diffraction peaks indicate the highly crystalline of ZnO NPs in wurtzite structure [22]. XRD spectrum of SWCNTs displays peaks at 2θ = 12.05°, 25.68°, and 42.97°, which are related to the lattice planes (001), (002), and (100) of graphitic carbon (JCPDS No.41-1487), respectively [23, 24]. The XRD spectrum of the ZnO NPs/SWCNTs exhibits all of ZnO wurtzite structure planes and carbon peaks at 2θ =12.79° and 24.05°.

In order to study the electrochemical properties of modified and unmodified electrodes, the CV technique was employed in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.0 at a scan rate of 20 mV s⁻¹ in the potential range of -1 to 1.0 V. Figure 3 displayed the electrochemical response of GCE, SWCNTs/GCE and ZnO

![Figure 1. FESEM images of synthesized (a) ZnO/SWCNTs composite and (b) SWCNTs.](image1)

![Figure 2. XRD spectrum of ZnO NPs, SWCNTs and ZnO/SWCNTs.](image2)
NPs/SWCNTs/GCE in absent and present of CAP. As observed, there are no redox peaks for all electrodes in the absence of CAP. After injection of 1µM CAP solution in 0.1 M PBS, the CV response of GCE and SWCNTs/GCE show single anodic peak in -0.3 V (Figure 3a-b).

**Figure 3.** Recorded CVs of (a) bare GCE, (b) SWCNTs/GCE and (c) ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE, respectively, in 0.1 M PBSpH 7.0 at a scan rate of 20 mV s\(^{-1}\) in absent and present of 1 µM CAP solution.
As seen, the recorded CV of ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE shows highest current in well-defined single anodic and two cathodic peaks at 0.43 V, 0.27 V and -0.5 V, respectively (Figure 3c). There is the highest cathodic peak at -0.5 V because of four electrons and four proton transfer in direct reduction of the nitro group of CAP to phenyl-hydroxylamine group [25].

Moreover, the single anodic peak at 0.43 V and the lowest cathodic peak at 0.27 V are observed due to the oxidation of hydroxylamine to the nitroso derivative and the reduction of the nitroso derivative to hydroxylamine under two electron and two proton transfer mechanism, respectively [26, 27] (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Electrochemical Mechanism of CAP [28]

Therefore, the electrochemical behavior of CAP is dependent on its nitro-aromatic compounds, the number of nitro groups and their position on rings [28-30]. Moreover, it can see GCE and SWCNTs/GCE showed very low peak current and only cathodic peak in -0.3 V which indicates the poor electrocatalytic activity of both electrodes to determine CAP. Whereas, ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE is displayed three peaks and highest currents of these electrochemical mechanism because of synergistic effect of conductive ZnO NPs and SWCNTs and their catalytic roles as mediator in electron transfer of solution onto a GCE surface [31]. In addition, the nanotube structure leads to creation of a high specific surface area and more active site on the electrode surface [20]. It is considerable that the cathodic peak current at -0.5 V shows higher current than other peaks which indicates more tendency of electrocatalytic process to reduce the nitro group and formation hydroxylamine group [28].

Further study was performed to determine the stability of electrodes response to addition of CAP through CV technique. The successive CV response of electrodes in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.0 at a scan rate of 20 mV s\(^{-1}\) were recorded. Figure 5 shows the first and 100\(^{th}\) recorded CV responses after addition 1\(\mu\)M of CAP solution.
Figure 5. The first and 100\textsuperscript{th} recorded CVs of (a) bare GCE, (b) SWCNTs/GCE and (c) ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE, respectively, in 0.1 M PBSpH 7.0 at a scan rate of 20 mV s\textsuperscript{-1} in present of 1 µM CAP solution.

As shown, the decreasing of CAPreduction current after 100 successive CVs at -0.3 V for GCE, SWCNTs/GCE and at -0.5 V for ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE are 67\%, 50\%, and 6\%, respectively. Thus, ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE shows high electrocatalytic current and more stability to determination of CAP. Therefore, this electrode was employed for following electrochemical study as a favorableCAPsensor.
The DPV technique was applied to determine the linear range, detection limit, sensitivity, and selectivity of ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE as CAP sensor. Figure 6a shows the recorded DPV responses of ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE with successive additions of 1 µM CAP solutions in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.0 at a scan rate of 10 mV s\(^{-1}\). The recorded DPVs show an obvious cathodic peak at -0.5 V which is evidence of the electrochemical activity of CAP in solution. Figure 6b displays the calibration plot as an electrocatalytic response to concentration effect of CAP. The detection limit and sensitivity for low concentration of CAP are evaluated 0.03 µM, 0.9876 µA/µM, respectively. For determining the linear range of the sensor, this analysis was repeated for additions of 10 µM CAP solutions in electrochemical cells. Therefore, the clearly linear relationship from 10 µM to 140 µM (\(R^2 = 0.99807\)) is observed in Figure 6c. Moreover, the sensitivity for high concentration of CAP is determined to be 1.65931 µA/µM.

![Figure 6](image.png)

**Figure 6.** (a) The recorded DPV response of ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.0 at a scan rate of 20 mV s\(^{-1}\) in successive additions of 1 µM CAP solution; (b) and (c) the plots of calibration graphs for successive additions of 1 µM and 10 µM CAP solutions, respectively.

Table 1 shows the comparison of detection limit, sensitivity and linear range values of the ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE with other CAP electrochemical sensors for determination of CAP. Results exhibit that MWCNT–cetyltrimethylammonium bromide/GCE [32] and Ag nanodendrites/ShortMWNTs-COOH/GCE[33] show the wider linear range that the ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE. In addition, comparison the analytical parameters demonstrates that the prepared
sensor performance is better or comparable than these reported electrochemical sensors. It should be considered that this sensor is very stable, low cost and its constituent materials are very environmentally friendly. The suitable electronic properties of SWCNTs together with the ZnO NPs provides the ability to promote charge transfer reactions[34]. Moreover, combination of ZnO NPs and SWCNT with an excellent conductivity coupled with this effect enhanced the electrochemical activity of the prepared modified electrode for CAP determination[34-36].

**Table 1.** Comparison performance of ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE with other CAP electrochemical sensors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrodes</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>detection limit (µM)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (µA/µM)</th>
<th>Linear range (µM)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.65931</td>
<td>10-140</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>graphene oxide/ZnO/GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.2–124</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molecularly imprinted polymer /c- MWCNTs-AuNPs/GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.0535</td>
<td>0.3–310</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNT- cetyltrimethylammonium bromide/GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.948</td>
<td>0.01 –10</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiN-reduced graphene oxide</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05–100</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activated carbon fiber microelectrode</td>
<td>SWV*</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.1–10</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS2-polyaniline nanocomposite</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.7656</td>
<td>0.1–100</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D reduced graphene oxide</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.1054</td>
<td>1–113</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe3O4-carboxymethyl cellulose/Au</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>3.6277</td>
<td>2.5–25</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/graphene oxide</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>3.8100</td>
<td>1.5–2.95</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag nanodendrites/ShortMWNTs- COOH/GCE</td>
<td>LSSV**</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
<td>0.3–229</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-doped graphene/AuNPs</td>
<td>LSV***</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2–80</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Square wave voltammetry**Linear sweep stripping voltammetry
***Linear sweep voltammetry

In order to study the selectivity, reproducibility and repeatability response of ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE as CAP sensor, the DPVs responses of modified electrode were recorded in the presence of Al^{3+}, Ba^{2+}, Ca^{2+}, Cd^{2+}, Cl\(^-\), Co^{2+}, Cu^{2+}, Fe^{3+}, Mg^{2+}, Mn^{2+}, Na^{+},NO^{3-}, Zn^{2+}, 4-nitrobenzene (4-NB), 4-nitrophenol (4-NP), 4-aminophenol (4-AP), uric acid (UA), glucose (GLU), hypoxanthine (HX), chlorotetracycline (CTC), clindamycin (CM), streptomycin (SM) and tetracycline (TC). Figure 7 displays the variation of electrocatalytic current of ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.0 at a scan rate of 20 mV s\(^{-1}\) in successive additions 1µM of CAP and 10 µM of other analyte solutions. Figure 7 shows a clear response to all additions of CAP solutions before and after addition of the different analytes which indicate the reproducibility and repeatability response of electrodes for detection of CAP. Moreover, it is not observed any considerable response to addition of the other analytes. Therefore, these analytes do not interfere with the determination of CAP on ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE surfaces.
In order to study the practical feasibility of the CAP sensor, the performance of prepared electrode was evaluated in eye drops as a real sample.

Figure 7. Variation of electrocatalytic current of ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.0 at a scan rate of 20 mV s\(^{-1}\) in successive additions 1µM of CAP and 10 µM of interfering substance solutions.

Figure 8. (a) The recorded DPV response of ZnO/SWCNTs/ GCE in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.0 at a scan rate of 20 mV s\(^{-1}\) in successive additions of 1 µM CAP solution in eye drops; (b) the plots of calibration graphs for determination CAP in eye drops.
The standard addition of the CAP was applied to determine CAP in the real sample through DPV technique (Figure 8a). The calibration plot is presented in Figure 8b. The result shows that the CAP content is obtained 0.4% which is very close to the labeled value (0.5%) with relative standard deviation of 9.2% (n = 4). Therefore, the prepared sensor can be suitable for determination of CAP in real samples.

4. CONCLUSION

In this study electrochemical properties of ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE were investigated for determination of CAP. ZnO NPs/SWCNTs composite was synthesized using sol-gel technique and applied for modification of GCE surface. The FESEM and XRD analyses were applied to study the morphology and structure of ZnO, SWCNTs and ZnO/SWCNTs composite. The voltammetry techniques were used to study the electrochemical properties of ZnO/SWCNTs/ GCE. The FESEM and XRD analyses displayed that high aspect ratio and high porosity ZnO/SWCNTs composite were grown. The electrochemical studies showed that ZnO/SWCNTs/ GCE exhibits high stability, selectivity, and reproducibility and repeatability response to determination of CAP. The wide linear range, high sensitivity and low detection limit were obtained for CAP sensors of 10 to 140 µM, 1.65931 µA/ µM and 0.03 µM, respectively. The comparison of the ZnO NPs/SWCNTs/GCE with other CAP electrochemical sensors indicated that the performance of the prepared sensor was comparable or better than the reported electrochemical sensors. The result of study of the prepared sensor to determine the CAP in eye drops as real sample showed the CAP content is obtained 0.4% which is very close to the labeled value (0.5%) with relative standard deviation of 9.2% (n = 4). Therefore, the prepared sensor can be suitable for determination of CAP in real samples.
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