Mn(III) and Fe(III) Porphyrin Complexes as Electrocatalysts for Hydrogen Evolution Reaction: A comparative study
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In the present work, we carried out comparative studies on electrochemical reduction of proton to molecular hydrogen, i.e. \( \text{2H}^+ + 2e^{-} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \) using meso-tetrakis-(tetraphenyl)porphyrin iron(III) chloride \([-\text{Fe(TPP)Cl}] \) and meso-tetrakis(phenyl)porphyrin manganese(III) chloride \([-\text{Mn(TPP)Cl}] \) as electrocatalysts. Acetic acid \((\text{CH}_3\text{COOH})\) was used as the proton source. Results suggest that the reduction of \( \text{CH}_3\text{COOH} \) on the surface of vitreous carbon electrode \((E_p = -1.8 \text{ V vs. Ag/AgCl in } [\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{BF}_4]-\text{DMF})\) shifts to lower negative values in the presence of \([-\text{Fe(TPP)Cl}] \) and \([-\text{Mn(TPP)Cl}] \) (-1.6 and -1.3 V, respectively vs. Ag/AgCl). Analysis of peak current values indicated that \([-\text{Fe(TPP)Cl}] \) was more active (6 x) as compared to \([-\text{Mn(TPP)Cl}] \). However, the \([-\text{Mn(TPP)Cl}]\)-catalyzed reduction process more swiftly (the potential is more positive than +30 mV).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Hydrogen Evolution Reaction (HER), which produces molecular hydrogen \((\text{H}_2)\), is considered as one of the hot topics in the modern era [1-3]. \(	ext{H}_2\) is a promising candidate to tackle energy- and environment-related issues, a plethora of research has been devoted to the production of \(	ext{H}_2\) [4-7]. Production of hydrogen from a proton source using the electrochemical technique is a classical and intriguing technique [8-13]. The fast and inexpensive nature of the electrochemical reduction (ECR) method has attracted most researchers. However, the direct proton reduction at the electrode surface usually takes place at higher negative potential. An electrocatalyst is required to shift the reduction to fewer negative values. Generally, Pt(II), Mo(IV), W(IV), Pd(II)-based catalysts are employed for this purpose due to their high activity, but high cost and scarce nature often limit their practical application [14]. Despite seminal advances in this field, the design and development of economic catalysts remained a daunting task for chemists. Various nanostructured materials and organometallic complexes have been
designed and tested. Among many materials, porphyrin and metalloporphyrins have attracted significant interest from researchers. These N-containing macrocyclic compounds offer a wide variety of advantages, including easy functionalization, electroactive metal center, and wide-ranging solubility [6,7]. Following the seminal work on electrochemical hydrogen evolution from acidic media [15], several groups reported the ECR of protons as well as carbon dioxide using porphyrins [16] and metalloporphyrins [17,18]. Artero and co-workers [19] demonstrated that [Co(bapbpy)Cl]+ (bapbpy: 6,6'-bis(2-aminopyridyl)-2,2'-bipyridine) catalyzes the electro-assisted H2 evolution in DMF. They found that the catalytic pathways were significantly governed by the strength of the acid used as the proton source. Prior to this work, Moore and coworkers [20] demonstrated that binuclear Cu(II) porphyrins serve as active electrocatalysts for the HER. As per the authors, the reported catalyst possesses an extended macrocyclic structure leading to hydrogen production with near-unity Faradaic efficiency and maximum turnover frequency above 200000 s⁻¹. Similarly, other studies based on porphyrinated Fe(I) [21], Rh(III) [22], Cu(II), Co(II) [23,24] have demonstrated the utility of this class of molecules for HER [25,26]. We herein report the electrochemical HER using two porphyrin complexes as electrocatalyst, i.e. meso-tetakis-(tetraphenyl)porphyrin iron(III) chloride [Fe(TPP)Cl] and meso-tetakis(phenyl)porphyrin manganese(III) chloride [Mn(TPP)Cl] (Chart 1). ECR was carried out at vitreous carbon electrode in the presence of acetic acid (CH₃COOH) as the proton source. Both [Fe(TPP)Cl] and [Mn(TPP)Cl] have been compared in terms of efficiency and activity.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

[Fe(TPP)Cl], [Mn(TPP)Cl] and ligand of [(TPP)Cl] were synthesized and characterized according to previous report [27,28]. Acetic acid (CH₃COOH) and Dimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Cyclic voltammetry experiments were carried out using the potentiostat/galvanostat (Autolab PGSTAT 128N) with NOVA 1.10 software to record the electrochemical experiment results. A conventional three-electrode arrangement was employed, consisting of vitreous carbon working electrode (0.07 cm²) and Ag⁺/AgCl reference electrode separated by a glass frits from a platinum wire auxiliary electrode (2 cm²). The electrolysis cell containing 5 ml of
the electrolyte [NBu₄][BF₄] solution (0.2 M in DMF) was degassed with argon gas. 2 mM of catalyst [Fe(TPP)Cl] and [Mn(TPP)Cl] were added and stirred under N₂ in the electrochemical cell. The working electrode has a surface of 1 cm². The electrolysis in presence of [Fe(TPP)Cl], [Mn(TPP)Cl] were carried out at -1.6 and -1.3 V Ag+/AgCl respectively and the current was recorded during the course of electrolysis verses the time. The charge passed was recorded and the electrolysis was stopped when the current decayed after 45 minutes.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Cyclic voltammetry of porphyrin complexes

Cyclic voltammetry study of the complexes ([Fe(TPP)Cl] and [Mn(TPP)Cl]) and ligand (TPPCl]) carried out at vitreous carbon in [NBu₄][BF₄] electrolyte solution (0.1 M in DMF). All potentials determined by cyclic voltammetry are given in Table 1 are quoted versus Ag|AgCl. In the presence of acid, [Fe(TPP)Cl] displays three successive reversible one-electron reduction processes [29]. Those steps, observed at potentials E_{1/2} = 0.15, -0.68, and -1.65 V versus Ag/AgCl, formally assigned to Fe(III)/Fe(II), Fe(II)/Fe(I) and Fe(I)/Fe(0) couples, respectively. On the other hand, [Mn(TPP)Cl] exhibit two reversible reductions peaks (-0.1 and -1.3 V versus Ag/AgCl) corresponding to Mn(III)/Mn(II) and Mn(II)/Mn(I), respectively.

Table 1. Potentials of reduction waves of Fe(TPP)Cl and Mn(TPP)Cl vs Ag|AgCl [NBu₄][BF₄]–DMF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complexes</th>
<th>[Fe(TPP)Cl]</th>
<th>[Mn(TPP)Cl]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction peak</td>
<td>Fe(III)/Fe(II)</td>
<td>Fe(II)/Fe(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E /V Ag⁺/AgCl</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We also noted that the acetic acid reduces on the surface of the vitreous carbon electrode at Ep = -1.8 V vs. Ag/AgCl (Figure 1). This process (i.e., reduction) shifted to more positive potential values in the presence of Fe(TPP)Cl (200 mV) and Mn(TPP)Cl (450 mV) complexes.

The addition of the acid led to irreversible reduction waves in both the complexes, corresponding to Fe(I)/Fe(0) and Mn(II)/Mn(I). In addition to this, peak current also increases dramatically (Figure 2). In the presence of acid (CH₃COOH), CV of [Fe(TPP)Cl] showed a very large peak catalytic current at -1.65 V vs. Ag/AgCl, which we assigned to Fe(I)/Fe(0) couple. Interestingly, this value was ~ 11 times higher than one noted for [Fe(TPP)Cl] alone. The catalytic current tends towards a plateau at CH₃COOH ≥ 30 eq. These results are in accordance with the reported effect of [Fe(TPP)Cl] on the catalysis of electrochemical hydrogen evolution by at about -1.65 V vs. Ag/AgCl [15] using trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) as the proton source [30,31]. On the other hand, for [Mn(TPP)Cl], peak catalytic current was observed at -1.3 V vs. Ag/AgCl. Also, upon increasing the acid concentration, a second peak catalytic
step (3.5 times than peak in the absence of acid) was observed, which reached a maximum at about 20 equivalents of the acid.

Figure 1. Cyclic voltammetry 2 mM CH₃COOH in [Bu₄N][BF₄]-DMF in the absence of catalyst. Scan rate 100 mVs⁻¹ at vitreous carbon electrode vs Ag/AgCl.

Table 2. Potentials of reduction wave of (CH₃COOH) vs. Ag/AgCl [NBu₄][BF₄] –DMF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reduction of CH₃COOH</th>
<th>Potential/V vs Ag/AgCl</th>
<th>Shift</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct reduction</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the presence of [Fe(TPP)Cl]</td>
<td>-1.65</td>
<td>180 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the presence of [Mn(TPP)Cl]</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>450 mV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. a) Cyclic voltammetry of 2 mM a) [Fe(TPP)Cl], b) [Mn(TPP)Cl] in [Bu₄N][BF₄]-DMF, scan rate 100 mVs⁻¹ at a vitreous carbon electrode (0.07 cm²) under N₂ in the presence of various concentrations of CH₃COOH.
3.2. Calculation of $k_{\text{cat}}$

![Figure 3](image.png)

Figure 3. Comparison of the effect of the acid concentration on $i_{\text{cat}}/i_0$ ratio for the Fe(I)/Fe(0) Mn(I)/Mn(0) reduction wave on [Fe(TPP)Cl], [Mn(TPP)Cl] respectively.

We also investigated the kinetics of electrocatalytic proton reduction. Figure 3 display the ratio of $i_{\text{cat}}/i_0$ at the electrode versus the concentration of acetic acid. The peak current, $i_{\text{cat}}$, is that measured at 100 mVs$^{-1}$, and $i_0$ is that for the peak current for Fe(I)/Fe(0) or Mn(I)/Mn(0) before the addition of acid at the same scan-rate. As it is clear from the figure, $i_{\text{cat}}/i_0$ become independent of the acid concentration at ca 30 eq. and 20 eq, for Fe(III) and Mn(I) complexes, respectively. The rate constant ($k$) at vitreous carbon was calculated using the eq. 1 and found to be 94.2 s$^{-1}$ in the presence of [Fe(TPP)Cl] and 8.5 s$^{-1}$.

$$k_{\text{obs}} = 0.1992(Fv/RTn^2)(i_{\text{cat}}/i_0)^2$$

Eq. 1

where $F$, $R$ and $T$ are the Faraday constant, the gas constant and temperature, respectively; $n$ is the number of electrons involved in the turnover.

The effects of Mn(TPP)Cl and Fe(TPP)Cl on HER are compared with the previously reported complexes (Table 3). As it is clear from the table, shifting of potential significantly dependent on the type of catalyst and proton source selected. For example, a positive shift in potential by 542 mV was reported when catalyst Co(TFPP) was used. Similarly, other iron complexes exhibited lower shift even tested using different sources of the protons.
Table 3. Comparing the effect of various complexes on HER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Proton source</th>
<th>Potential of catalyst-free direct reduction (V)</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Potential of reduction of acid in the presence of catalyst</th>
<th>Potential shifting</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mn(TPP)Cl</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>-1.8 Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>[NBu₄][BF₄]–DMF</td>
<td>-1.3 Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>450 mV</td>
<td>Current work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(TPP)Cl</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>-1.8 Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>[NBu₄][BF₄]–DMF</td>
<td>-1.60 Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>180 mV</td>
<td>Current work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(TFPP)</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>-1.81 Ag/AgNO₃</td>
<td>[NBu₄]ClO₃–DMF</td>
<td>-1.45 Ag/AgNO₃</td>
<td>542 mV</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe₄S₄(SPh)₄]²⁺</td>
<td>Ltd</td>
<td>-1.36 Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>[NBu₄][BF₄]–Toluene</td>
<td>-0.87 Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>490 mV</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(PFTPP)Cl</td>
<td>TEA</td>
<td>-1.6 Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>[NBu₄][BF₄]–ACN</td>
<td>-1.3 Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>300 mV</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACN = Acetonitrile, AcOH = Acetic acid, DMF = Dimethylformamide, LTD = 2,6-lutidine, TEA = Triethylamine

3.3. Preparative–scale electrolysis

Preparative scale ECR using [Fe(TPP)Cl], [Mn(TPP)Cl] and H₂TPP was carried out in 0.1M [Bu₄N][BF₄]-DMF at room temperature in the presence of (CH₃COOH) on carbon electrode (1 cm²) for 45 min.

![Figure 4. Current versus electrolysis time](image)

The concentration of catalyst was 2 mM, and the solution contains 30 eq (CH₃COOH). Based on the cyclic voltammograms of [Fe(TPP)Cl], the applied potentials for electrolysis were set at -1.6 V
versus Ag/AgCl. As shown in figure 4, the current starts at 3.8 A, descends and stabilizes at 2.8 A (during 5-35 minutes), and then started to drop gradually until reaching 1.8 A. Under similar conditions and in the presence [Mn(TPP)Cl] at -1.3 V, the current started at 2.6 A, reaches a value of 1.6 A, then stabilized for 20 min, and then drops off dramatically. In the case of ligand, this value remained very low throughout the experiment.

Moreover, we also studied the charge passed vs. electrolysis time for 45 min. Summarily, we found that the charge increases over time. As compared to Mn(I) complex, the presence of Fe(III) doubled the charge value, whereas in the presence of the ligand, the charge value was very small.

3.4. Mechanism of HER

Based on previous HER studies, we have attempted to draw a possible mechanism of Mn(III) and Fe(III) complexes-mediated HER (Fig. 5 and 6). It has been reported that a metal complex could reduce the protons via five possible mechanisms, involving protonolysis and homolysis pathways [17]. It was suggested that H₂ evolution takes place through the formation of a formal M(n-2)+ state which is obtained by the two-electron reduction of a metal catalyst [17]. These 2e⁻ reduction/protonolysis pathways have been well documented. In our case, [Mn(TPP)Cl] exhibit two reversible reductions peaks (-0.1 and -1.3 V versus Ag/AgCl, intermediate 2 & 3, Fig. 5). This is followed by oxidative protonation of 3 to form hydride intermediate 3. Finally, intermediate 3 undergoes protonolysis (react with a proton) to produce dihydrogen and initial metal complex.

![Figure 5. Possible mechanism of HER by Mn(III) complex.](image)
Figure 6. Possible mechanism of HER by Fe(III) complex.

On the other hand, we have noted that Fe(0) is the active species in the catalysis of HER (Fig. 2). This observation is in line with the previous works [35,36]. Based on this, we can say that Fe(0), obtained by the successive reduction \{Fe(III) → Fe(II) → Fe(I) → Fe(0)\} form iron(II) hydride, which then reacts with a second acid molecule to evolve hydrogen (Fig. 6).

4. CONCLUSION

We have made a comparative study of Mn- and Fe-porphyrin complexes for hydrogen production using acetic acid as a proton source. As known, the direct reduction of (CH₃COOH) on the vitreous carbon electrode occurs at Ep = -1.8 V vs. Ag/AgCl. We found that Fe-porphyrin is an excellent electrocatalyst for converting the proton into hydrogen at carbon electrode in [Bu₄N][BF₄]-DMF electrolyte solution at room temperature at -1.60 V Ag/AgCl. On other hand, the hydrogen production can be shifted around 450 mV more positive potential in the presence of Mn-porphyrin. In addition, the rate constants (kₑcat, room temperature) for the catalysis at carbon electrode in the presence of Fe- and Mn-porphyrin complexes are 94.2 S⁻¹ and 8.5 S⁻¹, respectively (Figure 4).
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