A solvothermal process with temperature up to 350°C has been exploited for the fabrication of Fe₃O₄ with diverse morphologies. The influences of solvothermal temperature and treating time on the composition, morphology and electrochemical properties of the resulted Fe₃O₄ samples have been studied. Electrochemical properties of the obtained Fe₃O₄ with morphologies of nanorods, nanoplates and polyhedrons have been explored as anode materials for lithium ion batteries. It has been found that two typical morphologies of nanorods and polyhedrons can be resulted by varying the solvothermal treating times from 12 to 72 h. The morphology evolution of the Fe₃O₄ sample upon treating times has been discussed by analyzing the control experiments. The Fe₃O₄ nanorods obtained under the favorite condition, i.e. solvothermally at 350°C for 12h and then calcined at 500°C for 2h delivered a superior reversible capacity of 807.9 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.2 A g⁻¹ even after 200 charging-discharging cycles, demonstrating excellent cycle stability. It shows also satisfied rate capability of 360 mAh g⁻¹ at current density of 2 A g⁻¹. The superior electrochemical performance of the Fe₃O₄ nanorods has been discussed from the structure character and the composition aspects.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Lithium Ion batteries (LIBs) have now been the research focus in fields of energy storage due to the fast development of portable electronic devices and electric vehicles [1, 2]. Unfortunately, the current
materials cannot satisfy one’s demand for high capacity. To overcome this problem, worldwide
deadvors are devoted to explore advanced active materials for LIBs. Transition metal oxides are now
being considered as a kind of promising anode materials owe to their high energy density, satisfied
safety, eco-friendly and inexpensiveness [3-8]. Of all the candidates, magnetite (Fe₃O₄) is the most
promising one owe to its high theoretical capacity of 926 mAh g⁻¹, which much higher than carbonaceous
substances[9-13]. Nevertheless, its potential utilizations are because of the poor electrical conductivity
and obvious volume effect. In order to solve the above-mentioned obstacles and obtain excellent
electrochemical performance, various methods for the synthesis of Fe₃O₄ nanostructures have been
pursued. For example, Hao Wu et al. prepared a three-dimensionally porous structure of Fe₃O₄, which
shows high reversible capacity as electrode of LIBs [14,15]. Ke’s group reported an architecture of
nanosized Fe₃O₄ array which exhibited a stable capacity of about 750 mA g⁻¹ when it was utilized as
anode for LIBs[16]. Peihao Lian et al. fabricated a Fe₃O₄-graphene nanocomposite which also show
excellent electrochemical properties[17].

Normally, Fe₃O₄ can be fabricated via hydrothermal or solvothermal with temperature below
200°C because of the low softening point of the applied autoclave liner polytetrafluoroethylene (240°C).
Herein, Ferrous oxalate dihydrate is used as source of iron and the tetrahydrofuran has been applied as
solvent for the preparation of the Fe₃O₄. Fe₃O₄ with morphology of nanorods and polyhedrons have been
obtained via a high-temperature solvothermal method at 350°C and by simply tuning the treating time.
In comparison with the previous studies, one character of this method is the temperature of solvothermal
treatment and the morphology evolution under such a high temperature. Definitely, such a morphology
change cannot be achieved below 300°C. The other merit of this method is that no additional carbon
source is needed for the reaction, however, the conductivity of the resulted Fe₃O₄ still can be guaranteed
which might be due to an in-situ mixing of carbon with Fe₃O₄. Usually, external carbon sources are
demanded to improve the conductivity of pristine Fe₃O₄. The organic moieties in the applied organic
iron salt precursor could decompose under such a high temperatures and form carbon in-situ and well-
distributed among the Fe₃O₄. As results, the Fe₃O₄ with the wire type structure shows superior reversible
capacity and high cycle stability.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials

Ferrous oxalate dihydrate (Beijing Jingqiu Chemical Industry Co., Ltd), Cetyltrimethyl
ammonium bromide and Tetrahydrofuran (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd) are of AR grade and
utilized no further purification.

2.2. Synthesis of Fe₃O₄ nanorods and Fe₃O₄ polyhedrons

The Fe₃O₄ nanorods were synthesize by a simple solvothermal treatment. 0.2g of ferrous oxalate
dihydrate, 0.005g of hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) were added to 5 ml of
tetrahydrofuran with intense stirring. After a stirring of 20 min, the solution was poured into a stainless
autoclave with Swagelok structure (a homemade cell with a capacity of about 8 mL and can be used at elevated temperatures up to 450 ºC). Then the cell was placed into a thermostatic oven and treated at 350 ºC for 6, 12, 24, 36, and 72 h. The obtained grey sedimentations were separated by centrifugation and washed twice with water and ethanol, respectively. The samples obtained at this stages were named as Fe₃O₄·X (X = 6, 12, 24, 36 and 72, which stands for the thermal treating time). Then they were calcined in a quartz tube at 500 ºC under a nitrogen atmosphere for 2h, and the corresponding products were obtained and labelled as Fe₃O₄·X-500. As control sample, Fe₃O₄ was synthesized by the same strategy but was treated solvothermally at a temperature of 300 ºC for 12 h and the obtained sample was labelled as Fe₃O₄·12(300).

2.3. Characterization

The phase and composition information of the samples are measured by a Rigaku ultima IV X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Cu-Kα radiation, λ=0.15418). The porosity is investigated by a TriStar 3000 Surface Area and Pore Analyzer and the data are calculated by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) model. The morphologies of the samples are observed with a JSM-7800F scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and a JEM-2100F transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

The working electrodes were made from a mixture of the Fe₃O₄ samples, super-P acetylene black and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF). They were blended in solvent of N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) (Aldrich) at a weight ratio of 80:10:10. The slurries of the mixture were coated on a Cu foil and then were dried in a vacuum thermostatic oven at 120 ºC for 10 h to evaporate the solvent. After that, the foils were tailored into disc shape with a diameter of 10 mm and weighed, which were then used as anode for the LIBs. The precise mass loadings of the active materials in between 1.45-1.65 mg cm⁻² are designed. Coin cells of 2016-type were used as model and fixed in a glove box protected with Ar. Celgard 2400 membrane has been chosen as separator. 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆ dissolving in a mixture solvent consisting of ethylene carbonate (EC), dimethyl carbonate (DMC) and ethylene methyl carbonate (EMC) with a volume ratio of 1:1:1 was utilized as electrolyte. Metallic lithium layer was utilized as the counter electrode. The charging-discharging processes were tested on a LAND Cell system with a cutoff voltage in between of 3 and 0.01 V. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were conducted with a CHI760D electrochemical working station by the coin-type cells in configuration of two-electrode with voltages between 0.01 V and 3 V under scan speed of 0.1mV s⁻¹. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) surveys were carried out using the same CHI760D station with frequencies from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz at an amplitude of 5 mV.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. XRD measurements

XRD patterns of FeC₂O₄·2H₂O precursor and the as-prepared Fe₃O₄·X have been depicted in Fig. 1. Curve A are the pattern of pure ferrous oxalate dehydrate. In comparison with curve A, both the XRD patterns of Fe₃O₄·12 and Fe₃O₄·36 show the characteristic peaks of FeC₂O₄·2H₂O even after 36h
solvothermal treatment. This might be due to the low solubility of ferrous oxalate dihydrate in tetrahydrofuran, which retards the decomposition of FeC$_2$O$_4$•2H$_2$O even under such a high temperature and pressure. Partial of the FeC$_2$O$_4$•2H$_2$O on the surface of particles have reaction with tetrahydrofuran and transformed into Fe$_3$O$_4$, but the internal ones cannot react within 36h. When the reaction time was extended to 72 h, it can be seen the peaks of FeC$_2$O$_4$•2H$_2$O disappeared completely (curve D in Figure 1). Differently, the patterns for samples Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500, and Fe$_3$O$_4$-36-500 show only the characteristic peaks at 2θ = 30.01°, 35.42°, 43.05°, 56.94° and 62.51°, and they can be indexed to the (220), (311), (400), (511) and (440) planes of Fe$_3$O$_4$ crystal (JCPDS, No. 19-0629). Obviously, no peaks of FeC$_2$O$_4$•2H$_2$O can be observed in the curves of E and F. The change of the XRD patterns suggest that the FeC$_2$O$_4$•2H$_2$O residues have pyrolyzed during the calcination process at 500 °C [6,7].

![Figure 1. XRD patterns of (A) FeC$_2$O$_4$•2H$_2$O, (B) Fe$_3$O$_4$-12, (C) Fe$_3$O$_4$-36, (D) Fe$_3$O$_4$-72, (E) Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500, (F) Fe$_3$O$_4$-36-500.](image)

3.2. SEM and TEM investigations

Figure 2 show the SEM micrographs of FeC$_2$O$_4$•2H$_2$O precursor and the Fe$_3$O$_4$ derived with different solvothermal treatment time and temperatures. Fig. 2(A) depicts the picture of ferrous oxalate dehydrate reagent, which reveals large particles with irregular morphology. Figure 2(B) presents the SEM images of the sample obtained via a solvothermal treatment at 300°C for 12h. A few rods structure formed under such a condition, however, it shows irregular and fluffy morphology as the FeC$_2$O$_4$•2H$_2$O precursor. When the hydrothermal temperature rises to 350 °C and treated under this temperature for only 6h more rods structures appear although the uniformity is a little bit low (image C). By contrast, the sample prepared solvothermally at 350°C for 12h shows almost uniform rod morphology with a uniform length of approximately 2-3μm (image D). After the calcination at 500 °C for 2h, no significant change in morphology has been observed and the nanorod structure can be maintained perfectly after the such a process (image E). In comparison with the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles, this rod-like structure can provide more voids against the volume changes of Fe$_3$O$_4$ during lithium-ions insertion/extraction.
process, thus improving the stability of the electrode structure. On the other hand, this structure also can prevent the aggregation, all these might result in superior electrochemical properties [4,9]. Interestingly, with the extension of treating time, nanoplates and octahedral-like structures can be observed in the sample Fe₃O₄-24 (image F). From the image of H it is clear that the Fe₃O₄ octahedrons growing up gradually when the treating time reaches to 36 h. Wonderfully, no obvious morphology change for both Fe₃O₄-24 and Fe₃O₄-36 after calcination (images G and I). At a solvothermal treating time of 72h, all the short rods vanished and only cuboids and octahedrons with larger size can be observed in image J, conforming the morphology evolution upon treating time.

Figure 2. SEM images of (A) FeC₂O₄•2H₂O, (B) Fe₃O₄-12(300), (C) Fe₃O₄-6, (D) Fe₃O₄-12, (E) Fe₃O₄-12-500, (F) Fe₃O₄-24, (G) Fe₃O₄-24-500, (H) Fe₃O₄-36, (I) Fe₃O₄-36-500, (J) Fe₃O₄-72.

TEM images of Fe₃O₄-12-500 at different magnifications have been depicted in Figure 3. The nanorods with diameters from few tens to several hundred nanometers and length in between 2-3μm can be observed in the pictures, which is in accordance with the SEM results. From the high resolution picture one can see the nanorods are consisting of Fe₃O₄ nanocrystal with size of about few tens nanometers. There are abundant pores among the nanoparticles. This structure allows easier and faster
penetration of the electrolyte, improves the redox activity of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles, relieves the stress generated by volume expansion during lithiation, and promotes the transport of Li$^+$ [7,12].

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** TEM images of Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 sample under different magnifications.

### 3.3. The evolution of morphologies

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Schematic drawing for the formation mechanism of Fe$_3$O$_4$-12 nanorods and Fe$_3$O$_4$-72 polyhedrons.

The formation process of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanorods and Fe$_3$O$_4$ polyhedrons can be speculated as following (Figure 4). First the FeC$_2$O$_4$$\cdot$2H$_2$O crystals dispersed into tetrahydrofuran and formed a suspension, meanwhile the FeC$_2$O$_4$$\cdot$2H$_2$O regrow at elevated temperature of 350 $^\circ$C and under the autogenic high pressure therein. Then the irregular particles turn to irregular and fluffy rods approximately in the first few hours in the tetrahydrofuran solvent. Such a transition can be supported by the color change of the suspension from light yellow to brownish. Gradually, most of the particles FeC$_2$O$_4$$\cdot$2H$_2$O turn into nanorods in the following six hours. At the same time, the color of the suspension transforms from brownish to grey. After a calcination at 500 $^\circ$C in N$_2$ atmosphere, the residual of FeC$_2$O$_4$$\cdot$2H$_2$O decomposed and then the Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 nanorods were obtained. With the increase of the solvothermally treating time, the nanorods stick together and form plate structures. With the regrowth going on, more
rods aggregated together and the plates began to transform into the octahedrons through the self-assembly and Ostwald ripening effects in the next 24h. Step by step, all the sticks converted into cuboids in the following 36h. Finally, the Fe₃O₄ polyhedrons consist of cuboids and octahedrons were synthesized.

3.4. Porosity characters

Figure 5. Isotherms of N₂ sorption and curves of pore size distribution (the inset) of (A) Fe₃O₄-12, (B) Fe₃O₄-12-500, (C) Fe₃O₄-72.

N₂ adsorption-desorption measurements have been carried out to learn the specific surface area and pore size distribution of the Fe₃O₄-X samples derived from different time of solvothermal treatment. The measurements have been displayed in Figure 5. It reveals that the Fe₃O₄-12 sample possesses a BET specific surface area of 13.1 m² g⁻¹, which is a little bit lower than the value of 18.7 m² g⁻¹ for Fe₃O₄-12-500. The slight increase of the specific surface area of Fe₃O₄-12-500 can be attributed to the decomposition of ferrous oxalate dihydrate residual and the removal of some organic impurities during the high temperature calcination. The dominant pore size of Fe₃O₄-12-500 was 28.5 nm which smaller than that of 37 nm for Fe₃O₄-12. The shrinking of pores can be due to the condensation taking place during calcination. The Fe₃O₄-72 shows a specific surface area of 11 m² g⁻¹ and an average pore size distribution of 30 nm. From the comparison one can see that Fe₃O₄-12 sample possess a higher specific area than that of Fe₃O₄-72. The decrease of specific surface area with the increasing of solvothermally treating time can be ascribed to the complete consumption of FeC₂O₄•2H₂O and the recrystallization the Fe₃O₄ particles, which is agree with the XRD and SEM investigation. The smaller specific surface area
of Fe3O4-X samples is due to the larger average particle size. We know from the relevant literature that larger pore size is more beneficial to alleviate the volume expansion during lithium embedding of Fe3O4, maintain the stability of the electrode structure and improve the electrochemical performance [2,18].

3.5. Electrochemical properties

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** (A) Profiles of the initial discharge-charge process for Fe3O4-12-500, Fe3O4-36-500 and Fe3O4-72 at current density of 200 mA g\(^{-1}\), (B) The discharge/charge profiles of Fe3O4-12-500 at different cycles under current density of 200 mA g\(^{-1}\).

The initial charge-discharge voltage profiles of the samples Fe3O4-12-500, Fe3O4-36-500 and Fe3O4-72 were measured under current density of 200 mA g\(^{-1}\). As been depicted in Figure 6A, all the charge-discharge curves show a cliffy voltage fall in between 2.5 and 0.85 V, which is ascribed to the insertion of Li\(^+\) into Fe3O4, i.e., Fe3O4+xLi\(^+\)+xe\(^-\)↔LixFe3O4 [18, 19]. The voltage at about 1.2V for the sample Fe3O4-12-500 might be caused by the interaction between electrolyte and the Fe3O4 consisting of the rods. The smooth discharging plateau at potential about 0.85 V can be ascribed to the reduction of iron ions and the formation of nanosized Fe metal and amorphous Li2O via the redox reaction[20-23]. The initial capacities of Fe3O4-12-500 were calculated to be 1666 mAh g\(^{-1}\) for the discharge process and 1070 mAh g\(^{-1}\) for the charge step, which are superior than those of Fe3O4-36-500 (1094 and 731 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) and Fe3O4-72 (1132 and 748 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) at the same current density. Figure 6B shows the discharge/charge profiles of Fe3O4-12-500 nanorods at different cycles under density of 200 mA g\(^{-1}\). A high capacity of 791mAh g\(^{-1}\) could be delivered under 200 mA g\(^{-1}\) after 200 discharge/charge cycles. It demonstrates that the Fe3O4-12-500 with a rod nanostructure could enhance the electrochemical performances of Fe3O4.

The reversible capacities versus cycling numbers of Fe3O4-12-500, Fe3O4-36-500 and Fe3O4-72-500 have been depicted in Figure 7A. The reversible capacity of Fe3O4-12-500 is of 807.9 mAh g\(^{-1}\) even after 200 cycles, and the coulombic efficiencies still remained more than 98%. However, the reversible capacity faded quickly to 402 mAh g\(^{-1}\) for Fe3O4-12-500 and 300.8 mAh g\(^{-1}\) for Fe3O4-500 after 200 cycles under the same current density. The distinction of the cycle stability among them should contribute to the structure differences of the rods and the polyhedrons. Firstly, the rod structure shows the larger surface area which will be conductive to the insertion/extraction processes of Li ion. Secondly,
the nanorods can smooth the volume changes of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystal during the Li$^+$ insertion-desertion process by adjusting its size. Thirdly, it also can relieve the agglomeration of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles owe to the rod shaped structure [24-26]. The Fe$_3$O$_4$ polyhedrons show a low capacity might be due to the closely packing of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals in them which induce a low surface area [27, 28]. The rate ability of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ with a rod structure is revealed in Figure 7B. The capacities of 970 mAh g$^{-1}$ under 0.1 A g$^{-1}$, 900 mAh g$^{-1}$ under 0.2 A g$^{-1}$, 790 mAh g$^{-1}$ under 0.5 A g$^{-1}$, 600 mAh g$^{-1}$ under 1 A g$^{-1}$ and 360 mAh g$^{-1}$ under 2 A g$^{-1}$ are presented by the Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 sample. The capacity could go back to the original values as the current rates are restored, showing high reversibility of the Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 electrode and the potential application to high performance LIBs as anode materials.

Figure 7. (A) Performances of cycling for Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500, Fe$_3$O$_4$-36-500 and Fe$_3$O$_4$-72 under current density of 200 mA g$^{-1}$, (B) The rate performance of Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500. (C) Cycling performance and Coulombic efficiency of Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500.

Figure 7C reveals the performance of long cycle for the Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 nanorods. After 1000 rounds, the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanorods still can deliver a discharge capacity of 645.5 mAh g$^{-1}$ and a charging capacity of 641.3 mAh g$^{-1}$ with an average coulombic efficiency near 99%, which can be owe to the rod structure of Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500, the in-situ modification with carbon and the good interfacial stability with the electrolyte.

To illustrate further the differences of these Fe$_3$O$_4$ samples prepared at different solvothermal treating times, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests are conducted to the electrodes made of Fe$_3$O$_4$ with different morphologies in a frequency between $10^5$ and 0.01 Hz. The tests were conducted before the test of galvanostatic cycles and typical EIS plots derived from Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500, Fe$_3$O$_4$-36-500 and Fe$_3$O$_4$-72 are shown in Figure 8. The EIS has also been simulated using the Z-view software based
on the equivalent circuit (please refer to the inset in Figure 8). As for the equivalent circuit, $R_{\Omega}$ is the overall ohmic resistance, including the resistances from the electrolyte, separator, and electrical contacts, while $R_{ct}$ represents the resistance of charge transfer. CPE is a constant phase element which means the capacitance of double layer. $W$ stands for the Warburg impedance, which presents the diffusion of Li$^+$ into the bulk active materials under the solid state. The EIS plots for all these three samples display similar shapes. They all show a semicircle in the region of high-middle frequency, which can be attributed to the resistance of charge transfer i.e. $R_{ct}$. Meanwhile, the titled line in the region of low frequency can be assigned to the Warburg impedance [29-31]. From the comparison of the plots of these samples one can see the diameter of the semicircle increases in series of Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500, Fe$_3$O$_4$-36-500 and Fe$_3$O$_4$-72, demonstrating Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 possess the lowest charge transfer resistance among them[32-34]. The high conductivity of Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 can be due to the nanorod structure which forms conducive networks. Another possibility is the modification of carbon. The element analysis tests reveal that the carbon content in Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 is about 1.0 wt%, which is higher than that 0.8wt% for Fe$_3$O$_4$-36-500 and 0.6wt% in Fe$_3$O$_4$-72. Obviously, the Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 contain more carbon that the other two. All these characters play roles together, which then enhance effectively the electrochemical properties of the Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 sample.

![Figure 8](image.png)

**Figure 8.** The Nyquist plots of Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500, Fe$_3$O$_4$-36-500 and Fe$_3$O$_4$-72.

The CV curves of the electrodes made of Fe$_3$O$_4$ with different morphologies are presented in Figure 9. The first five cycles in voltage span from 0.01 to 3 V under a scan steep of 0.1 mV s$^{-1}$ have been recorded. The intense peak around 0.53V in the CV curves of the 1st discharge process for Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 can be ascribed by the formation of solid electrolyte interface (SEI). The peak disappears in the following cycles. The peak observed at 0.58V for the Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 and at 0.50V for Fe$_3$O$_4$-72 can be assigned to the lithiation reaction of Fe$_3$O$_4$+ 8Li$^+$+8e$^-$ $\leftrightarrow$3Fe$^{0}$+ 4Li$_2$O[35, 36]. The observed main anodic peaks at about 1.67V for Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500, at 1.65V for Fe$_3$O$_4$-36-500 and around 1.66V for Fe$_3$O$_4$-72 can be designated to the oxidation of Fe$^0$ to Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ in the anodic processing. In the following scans, peak potentials for both of the cathodic and anodic ones move to higher voltage because of the polarization of the active materials after the first cycle [37]. The peak intensity is tended to stable after
three cycles, and it can be found that the intensities of peaks for Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 are the most intense one among these three samples, revealing that this sample possesses superior lithium storage ability.

Figure 9. The Cyclic voltammogram (CV) curves for (A) Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500, (B) Fe$_3$O$_4$-36-500 and (C) Fe$_3$O$_4$-72.

Table 1. Electrochemical performances of Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 nanorod anode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composites</th>
<th>Rate performance</th>
<th>Cycle performance</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capacity (mAh·g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Current density (mA·g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Final capacity (mAh·g$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanospheres</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$@C</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>2 C</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$@C nanofibers</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$/RGO</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$@C nanorods</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$@C</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$@C/CNTs</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$@C</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanowires</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$@C</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanorods</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In comparison to some of the documented data, the Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 nanorods anode of this work exhibits competitive electrochemical performance in terms of specific capacity and cycle life (see Table 1). Therefore, the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanorod composites prepared by the high temperature solvothermal method contains a potential role in further development of anode materials for superior lithium-ion batteries.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Fe$_3$O$_4$ with superior electrochemical performance as anode for LIBs are fabricated via a solvothermal method at high temperature up to 350°C using FeC$_2$O$_4$•2H$_2$O as precursor. With the increase of solvothermal treating time from 12h to 72h, the morphologies of the obtained Fe$_3$O$_4$ samples changed gradually from nanorods to nanoplates and finally to polyhedrons. The Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 nanorods shows higher capacity and better cycling ability than those presented by Fe$_3$O$_4$-36-500 plates and Fe$_3$O$_4$-72 polyhedrons. Control experiments demonstrated that the Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 nanorods, which is prepared through solvothermal treatment for 12h, possesses the best electrochemical properties. A high capacity of 807.9 mAh g$^{-1}$ has been retained when cycled for 200 rounds under a current density of 200 mA g$^{-1}$ and a satisfied capacity of 641.3 mAh g$^{-1}$ could still be remained even after 1000 cycles. The excellent electrochemical performance of the Fe$_3$O$_4$-12-500 sample can be ascribed on the one hand to the rod structure which could play role as conductive network and on the other hand to the in-situ carbon modification achieved by pyrolyzing the organic moieties in the precursor of FeC$_2$O$_4$•2H$_2$O which can improve the conductivity as well. We believe that the methodology developed herein could be extended to the preparation of other kinds of oxides of transition metal as anode materials for LIBs.
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