Influence of Calcium Nitrite on Electrochemical Corrosion Behavior of API X120 Carbon Steel and 316LN Stainless Steel Reinforced Concrete in Marine Environment
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This study was carried out to evaluate the corrosion behavior of API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel reinforced concrete in marine environments with calcium nitrite as an inhibitor. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) technique and SEM were applied to study the concentration effect of calcium nitrite on the corrosion behavior of API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel reinforced concrete in a 3.5%wt NaCl solution containing calcium nitrite at pH values of 8, 10 and 12. Results showed that double-layer capacitance decreases and charge-transfer resistance increases by increasing the inhibitor concentration which is associated with improvement of the ‘blanketing’ property of the film. The surface coverage and inhibition efficiency also increase with an increase in inhibitor concentration. A calcium nitrite concentration of 50 µM showed 87.7% and 93% inhibition efficiency at pH 12 at room temperature for API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel, respectively. A comparison between the electrochemical corrosion behavior of API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel reinforced concrete revealed a remarkable decrease in the lifetime of the structure and damage due to reinforcement corrosion of API X120 carbon steel, indicating an increase in the cost associated with monitoring and maintenance. Therefore, replacing the API X120 carbon steel reinforcement with 316LN stainless steel can decrease the corrosion rate and can also considerably decrease the costs related to expensive equipment for rehabilitation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Today, concrete is an important modern construction material and is used in the construction of huge buildings, bridges, roads, sidewalks, flooring, etc. because of its strength, stability, inexpensive, flexibility, versatility and mouldability [1]. Concrete becomes the matrix while steel bars or wires
provide the reinforcement so that the reinforcing steel-rods, bars, or mesh can absorb the tensile, shear, and sometimes compressive stresses in a concrete structure [2, 3]. Reinforced concrete is used for construction on a large scale, such as bridges, dams, piers, dams, tall buildings and stadiums [4, 5]. Numerous reinforced concrete structures in a marine environment such as bridges, piers and offshore platforms, are exposed to aggressive chloride ions [6, 7]. This causes the corrosion after short service periods, as consequently the underwater concrete coated pipelines also suffer the same problem.

Hundreds of billions of pounds are spent each year on repairing and replacing concrete structures around the world. Bridges in marine environments suffer from severe corrosion, which is linked to the production of cracks, over the course of their service lives [8]. Temperature fluctuations, foundation collapse owing to soft clay brick decay, concrete erosion due to chemical pollutants, and ground movement due to clay shrinkage, landslip, vibration, subsidence, and sway are the most prevalent causes of cracking. Long-term, these cracks allow chloride and CO₂ to migrate into the concrete, resulting in aggressive chloride assault [9]. Furthermore, corrosion of the steel reinforcing bars might occur either to localized failure of the passive coating on the steel due to chloride ions or a widespread failure of the passivity due to concrete neutralization due to reactivity with carbon dioxide from the atmosphere [10]. As a result, the steel's passive layer is broken, resulting in corrosion and cavities on the surface [11]. Because rust takes up more space than steel, it exerts internal pressure on the surrounding concrete, causing it to crack and deteriorate. Steel corrosion affects the effective cross section of structural components, causing the structures' seismic capacity to deteriorate with time [12].

Accordingly, the cost of repairing and replacing deteriorated structures has become a big problem [13, 14]. Chlorides lead to corrosion of steel inside concrete, cracking, delamination and spalling [15, 16]. pH value, moisture, oxygen, carbonation, ambient temperature and relative humidity are the environmental factors which generally influence corrosion of reinforcement [17-19]. Therefore, many studies were conducted to investigate the effect of environmental conditions on the corrosion rate of different reinforcing steels, identify the corrosion threats, and determine the suitable inhibitors [20-22]. Inhibitors are chemical compositions of organic-inorganic materials that are absorbed by the metal surface and form a protective oxide film on the surface of the metal causing the shift forces the metallic surface into the passivation region and decrease the corrosion rate [23, 24]. Among the inhibitors, nitrite as an anodic inhibitor can increase anodic polarization, and adsorb on the surface to form an inhibitive film as a barrier between electrolytic solution and metal to stop the corrosion reaction [25, 26].

Calcium nitrite, one of the various nitrite inhibitors, can be a cost-effective, straightforward, and appealing way to stop or reduce chloride-induced corrosion in reinforced concrete [27, 28]. They compete with chloride ions in the reaction with ferrous ions released from steel, promoting the creation of ferric oxide (Fe₂O₃), which is then transformed to more stable ferric oxide (FeOOH) in the passive layer as a result of the processes that follow [26].

\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^- + 2\text{NO}_2^- & \rightarrow 2\text{NO} + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{Fe}^{3+} + 2\text{OH}^- + 2\text{NO}_2^- & \rightarrow \text{NO} + \gamma\text{-FeOOH}
\end{align*}
\]

Furthermore, the concrete's strong alkaline conditions, with pH values ranging from 12 to 14, allow for the production of a passive layer that functions as a protective coat and prevents the steel reinforcement from corroding [29]. The interaction of the breakdown and hydrolysis products of the
bicarbonate ion of sea water with calcium ions to form \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) is said to cause the alkalinity of concrete in the marine environment. This salt builds up and blocks the pores, preventing the harsh environment from penetrating further into the concrete [30, 31]. Furthermore, \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) ions can migrate to the metal surface’s cathodic area and create \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) through an interaction with hydroxyl ions (OH-) [32]. Moreover, it is suggested that \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) inhibition is dependent on increasing pH (12), which leads to the formation of a barrier layer of calcium ions in the water as carbonate and/or sulfate on the surface, which can provide excellent corrosion protection in marine environments and saline solutions [33].

Few research on long-term corrosion of reinforced concrete in marine environments have been conducted [34-37], particularly on the effect of calcium nitrite as an inhibitor and the comparison of API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel. Therefore, this study was carried out to evaluate the corrosion behavior of API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel reinforced concrete in marine environment with calcium nitrite as an inhibitor.

2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

The reinforced concrete was prepared from a mixture of ordinary portland cement type I (OPC-I, Vietnam Trading Investment and Import Export Jsc., Vietnam), distilled water, sand (EN 196-1 standard sand, Hangzhou Civit Instrument Equipment Co., Ltd., China), and 50 mm maximum size river coarse aggregates. Table 1 presents the mix proportion of concrete material and the resultant compressive strength, which were used for the preparation of a set of 15 cm concrete cube samples. The test specimens with 1.2 cm diameter steel bars were embedded in the center of the prepared concrete cube molds to produce working electrodes. A schematic diagram of the cells configured for the EIS experiment is shown in Figure 1.

The chemical composition of steel bars was summarized in the Table 2. Only a half of the length of steel bars were embedded in concrete to make sure the bond slip failure dominated over other types of failure.

Electrochemical studies were conducted in 3.5% wt NaCl (≥99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich) solution with pH 12. The calcium nitrite (≥99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich) as an inhibitor was added to the 3.5%wt NaCl solution (5, 15, 25 and 50 μM). The pH of electrochemical solution was adjusted at ranges from 8 to 12 using a Horiba pH meter (Laqua, 9618S-10D, Horiba, Japan) by adding 0.01 M NaOH (99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.01 M HCl (99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich). Furthermore, the reinforced concrete samples were immersed in a marine environment for up to four months to determine the scheme of embedded steel in the concrete and the formation of cracks over time due to chemical contaminants, temperature, carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, and chloride ion diffusion in reinforced concrete.

Table 1. The mix proportion of concrete material and resulted compressive strength.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cement (kg/m³)</th>
<th>water (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Sand (kg/m³)</th>
<th>coarse aggregates (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Compressive strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>451.5</td>
<td>203.8</td>
<td>811.9</td>
<td>987.5</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel chemical composition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element (wt %)</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>others</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>API X120</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316LN</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of EIS experiment

Electrochemical analyses were conducted on potentiostat/galvanostat (CS1006, Zhengzhou CY Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd., China) using a three-electrode system which contained steel reinforced concrete as the working electrode, the saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode and a graphite rod as the auxiliary electrode. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out in a potentiostat/galvanostat using AC signals with an amplitude of 10mV at open circuit potential and frequencies ranging from 10mHz to 100KHz. Analysis of the data was done using Zsim software and the equivalent circuit fitted to the EIS experimental data.

The scanning electron microscope (SEM; JXA-8100, JEOL Co. Ltd., Japan) was used for the surface morphological analysis of steel samples.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

EIS studies have been used to quantitatively analyze the electrode/electrolyte interfaces and describe the corrosion behavior [38]. The obtained Nyquist and Bode plots are depicted in Figures 2 and 3, respectively which related to API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel reinforced concrete in the presence of 5, 15, 25 and 50 μM of the calcium nitrite as corrosion inhibitor at room temperature in pH 8, 10 and 12. As observed from the Nyquist plots in Figure 2, the diameter of the semicircle increased with increasing pH of solution, indicating the promotion of the passivity of reinforcing steel by the alkalinity of concrete [23]. In addition, the Nyquist plot in intermediate frequencies doesn't exhibit a perfect semicircle because of the frequency dispersion phenomena and the roughness and inhomogeneity of the electrode surface [39].
Moreover, it can be observed from the Nyquist plots in Figure 2 and the Bode plots in Figures 3 and 4 that the diameter of the semicircle and capacitive loop diameter increase with an increasing in the calcium nitrite concentration, implying a decrease in the corrosion rate due to an increase in the adsorption of the inhibitor [27, 40]. The corrosion mechanism of chloride ions in reinforced concrete occurs through the destruction of passivation film and the electrochemical reaction of corrosion [26]. When the concentration of Cl\(^-\) in concrete reaches a certain level, Cl\(^-\) attack can be a main reason for localized corrosion of reinforcing steel in concrete and destruction of passivation film on the surface by pitting corrosion [41, 42]. The mechanism of destruction of passivation film by the presence of Cl\(^-\) or a reduction in alkalinity of concrete caused by carbonation [43]. The electrochemical corrosion reaction between Cl\(^-\) and the exposed cationic Fe\(^{2+}\) in the steel matrix can occur as the following reactions when the passive layer is destroyed [26, 41]:

\[
\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{Cl}^- \rightarrow \text{Fe(OH)}_2 + 2\text{HCl} \quad (3)
\]

Nitrite, as a strong oxidizing agent and an anodic inhibitor, can oxidize the Fe atoms on the surface of steel and form Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), and can form an insoluble passivity layer on the surface of steel bar that inhibits the anodic reaction of the steel bar surface [27, 28]. Nitrite interferes with the anodic process (metal dissolution), and reduces the corrosion rate by suppressing the anodic reaction and inhibiting the micro-corrosion points in the passivation film into stable pitting through the formation or maintenance of a passive film on the metal surface as electrochemical reactions (1) and (2) between nitrite and Fe\(^{2+}\) form Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) [26].

Therefore, the electrochemical reactions increase the surface \(\gamma\)-FeOOH content in the passivation film, which can inhibit steel from localized corrosion [44]. According to research, nitrite has the ability to increase anodic polarization and thus decrease corrosion current and increase corrosion potential in a noble direction by preventing electron loss after the iron atoms continue to dissolve [25, 45]. As seen, the corrosion rate is relatively high at low concentrations of nitrite because the concentration is insufficient to passivate the entire surface of the steel bar. For a high concentration of nitrite, the electrochemical reactions (3) and (1) provide sufficient inhibition protection for reinforcement steel.

Furthermore, Figure 5 shows the schematic representation of a proposed equivalent circuit that is used to analyze EIS spectra and fit the experimental data. As observed from Figure 5, there is the two-time constant equivalent circuit, which is associated with analyzing an electrode undergoing uniform corrosion at open circuit [46]. The values for the elements of the equivalent circuits are summarized in Table 1. It contains the electrolyte resistance (\(R_s\)) between the working electrode and the reference electrode, charge transfer resistance across the metal surface (\(R_{ct}\)), resistance of the pores in the protective coating to the passage of electrolyte (\(R_{po}\)), double-layer capacitance (Cdl) at the electrode-solution interface, constant phase element of pore capacitance (CPE\(_{po}\)), constant phase element associated with the double layer capacitance (CPE\(_{ct}\)) [40]. \(n_1\) and \(n_2\) are deviation parameters from the ideal behavior which are attributed to the constant phase element because, as an equivalent electrical circuit component, it models the behavior of a non-ideal double layer as an imperfect capacitor [47].
Figure 2. The obtained EIS Nyquist data (symbols) and fittings (solid lines) of API X120 carbon steel (column I) and 316LN stainless steel (column II) reinforced concrete in the presence of 5, 15, 25 and 50 μM of the calcium nitrite as corrosion inhibitor at room temperature (a and b) pH 8, (c and d) pH 10 and (e and f) pH 12.
Figure 3. The obtained Bode plots of phase angle vs. log frequency data (symbols) and fittings (solid lines) of API X120 carbon steel (column I) and 316LN stainless steel (column II) reinforced concrete in the presence of 5, 15, 25 and 50 μM of the calcium nitrite as corrosion inhibitor at room temperature (a and b) pH 8, (c and d) pH 10 and (e and f) pH 12.
Figure 4. The obtained Bode plots of log |Z| vs. log frequency data (symbols) and fittings (solid lines) of API X120 carbon steel (column I) and 316LN stainless steel (column II) reinforced concrete in the presence of 5, 15, 25 and 50 μM of the calcium nitrite as corrosion inhibitor at room temperature (a and b) pH 8, (c and d) pH 10 and (e and f) pH 12.

The capacitance behavior is often related to the dielectric characteristics of the passive film of adsorbed inhibitor molecules or a surface corrosion product film [48, 49], and the imperfection behavior is associated with surface roughness, non-uniform surface coverage, non-uniform thickness
corrosion-inhibitor film, non-uniform current distribution or corrosion rate and porosity [50]. The impedance of CPE ($Z_Q$) can be determined by the following equation [51]:

$$Z_Q = \frac{1}{Q_0 (j\omega)^n}$$

Where $Z_Q$ shows impedance of CPE (Ω cm$^{-2}$), $Q_0$ is the constant representative for CPE (Ω$^{-1}$cm$^2$s$^n$), $j$ represents imaginary number ($j = \sqrt{-1}$), $\omega = 2\pi f_{max}$ is the angular frequency (rad s$^{-1}$), $f_{max}$ indicates the frequency at which the imaginary component reaches a maximum. The factor $n$, defined as a CPE power, is an adjustable parameter that mainly lies between 0 and 1. When $n = 1$, $Q_0$ has the constant representative of an ideal capacitor, and when $n = 0$, the CPE behaves as pure resistance [40, 51]. Tables 3 and 4 show the evaluated electrical parameters obtained by using EIS studies of API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel, respectively, where the surface coverage ($\theta$) is the fraction of the total surface covered by inhibitor molecules [21], and inhibition efficiency (IE%) is expressed by equations (5) and (6), respectively [21, 51]:

$$\theta = \frac{R_{ct} - R_{ct}^o}{R_{ct}}$$

(5)

$$IE\% = \theta \times 100$$

(6)

Where $R_{ct}$ and $R_{ct}^o$ are defined the charge-transfer resistance in presence and absence of calcium nitrite as corrosion inhibitor, respectively.

![Figure 5. The schematic representation of a proposed equivalent circuit](image)

**Table 3.** The evaluated electrical parameters obtained by using EIS studies of API X120 carbon steel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>$C_{inh}$ (µM)</th>
<th>$R_s$ (Ω cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$R_{po}$ (Ω cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$CPE_{po}$</th>
<th>$R_{ct}$ (Ω cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$CPE_{ct}$</th>
<th>$C_{af}$ (µF cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$\theta$</th>
<th>IE(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Blank 7.33</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>289.11</td>
<td>821.33</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>309.93</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5  8.48</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>509.25</td>
<td>616.98</td>
<td>0.581</td>
<td>261.79</td>
<td>0.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 8.98</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>708.52</td>
<td>412.30</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>230.24</td>
<td>0.591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 8.55</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1038.25</td>
<td>229.25</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>180.41</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 9.31</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1207.22</td>
<td>124.02</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>90.10</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blank 8.37</td>
<td>22.89</td>
<td>819.42</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>338.11</td>
<td>451.33</td>
<td>0.796</td>
<td>279.85</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5  7.61</td>
<td>34.05</td>
<td>1330.15</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>648.21</td>
<td>360.25</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>230.51</td>
<td>0.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 7.91</td>
<td>36.89</td>
<td>4155.60</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>901.24</td>
<td>279.11</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>200.12</td>
<td>0.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>C_{inh}(\mu M)</td>
<td>R_s(\Omega \text{ cm}^{-2})</td>
<td>R_{po}(\Omega \text{ cm}^{-2})</td>
<td>CPE_{po}</td>
<td>R_{ct}(\Omega \text{ cm}^{-2})</td>
<td>CPE_{ct}</td>
<td>C_{dl}(\mu \text{F cm}^{-2})</td>
<td>\theta</td>
<td>IE(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>43.10</td>
<td>5002.31</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>1361.41</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>120.17</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>75.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>52.11</td>
<td>5991.33</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>2158.31</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>42.98</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>52.71</td>
<td>1566.31</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>1002.32</td>
<td>120.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>86.07</td>
<td>1187.25</td>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>1881.15</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>79.44</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>216.78</td>
<td>809.11</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>2801.02</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>59.10</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>372.89</td>
<td>571.32</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>4537.10</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>39.79</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>77.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>624.98</td>
<td>228.41</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>8207.72</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>52.88</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>87.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. The evaluated electrical parameters obtained by using EIS studies of 316LN stainless steel.
As it can be observed from Tables 3 and 4, with increasing inhibitor concentration, the double layer capacitance decreases and the charge transfer resistance increases which is associated with an improvement in the ‘blanketing’ property of the film. The surface coverage and inhibition efficiency are also increased with an increase in inhibitor concentration because it enhances the adsorption of inhibitor molecules at the surface of reinforcement steel which blocks the activation activity on the steel surface and increases the thickness of the protective film on the metal-solution interface [24, 52]. The charge transfer resistance is decreased with the decreasing the pH value. This is related to the dissolution or de-passivation of passive film that leads to localized attack of Cl\(^-\) on the metal surface [53]. A calcium nitrite concentration of 50 µM shows 87.7% and 93% inhibition efficiency at pH 12 at room temperature for API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel, respectively.

Moreover, the decrease in \(C_{dl}\) with the increasing the inhibitor concentration is caused by an increase in the thickness of the electric double layer that can act as a physical barrier between the steel surfaces and the corrosive environment [54], which can be described using the Helmholtz model as the following equation [40]:

\[
\delta_{ads} = \frac{\varepsilon \varepsilon_0 A}{C_{dl}} = \frac{\varepsilon \varepsilon_0 A}{Q_{ads}(n-1)}
\]

Where \(\delta_{ads}\) is the thickness of the adsorbed layer of inhibitor on the steel surface, \(A\) indicates the cross-sectional area of the electrode exposed to the corrosive medium, \(\varepsilon_0\) is the permittivity of air, and \(\varepsilon\) is the local dielectric constant. Thus, the Helmholtz model indicates that the thickness of protective layer is inversely proportional to \(C_{dl}\) and capacitance constant which indicates that the decrease of the \(C_{dl}\) can be attributed to the increase of the adsorbed layer of inhibitor with increasing the inhibitor concentration.

A comparison between the electrochemical corrosion behavior of API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel reinforced concrete reveals that when carbon steel and stainless steel are subjected to aggressive environments, the corrosion rate in carbon steel is higher than that in stainless steel. Results indicate a remarkable decrease in the lifetime of the structure concrete and damage due to reinforcement corrosion of API X120 carbon steel, implying an increase in the cost associated with monitoring and maintenance [55]. Studies suggested the indicating the higher amount of chromium into the 316LN stainless steel structure can help to create stable passive layers due to formation the CrO\(_3\) and Cr(OH)\(_3\) in passive film [56]. Therefore, replacing the API X120 carbon steel reinforcement with 316LN stainless steel can decrease the corrosion rate and can also considerably decrease the costs related to expensive equipment for rehabilitation.

Figure 6 shows the SEM images of API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel reinforced concrete that were immersed in the pH 12 of a 3.5%wt NaCl solution for 24 hours at room temperature without and with 50µM of calcium nitrite. As observed, the surface of reinforcement steel specimens in 3.5% wt NaCl solution without calcium nitrite shows high roughness and pitting corrosion due to highly aggressive media and Cl\(^-\) attack on the passive layer. SEM of reinforcement steel specimens in 3.5%wt NaCl solution containing 50 µM of calcium nitrite depicts smoother areas and less corroded surfaces, demonstrating the protective effect of calcium nitrite as a power corrosion inhibitor. Additionally, the comparison between the SEM images of API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel reveals that the API X120 carbon steel sample shows more damaged surface and multi-point
corrosion pits than the 316LN stainless steel, which increases the chance of extremely localized corrosion and enhances the corrosion rate.

![Figure 6. SEM images of API X120 carbon steel (column I) and 316LN stainless steel (column II) immersed in the pH 12 of 3.5% wt NaCl solution for 24 hours at room temperature (a and b) without and (c and d) with 50 μM of calcium nitrite.]

4. CONCLUSION

This study was conducted to study the corrosion behavior of API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel reinforced concrete in the marine environment with calcium nitrite as an inhibitor. The results of EIS studies showed that the double-layer capacitance decreases and charge-transfer resistance increases increasing the inhibitor concentration which is associated with an improvement in the “blanketing” property of the film. The inhibition efficiency and surface coverage are also increased with an increase in inhibitor concentration. A comparison between the corrosion behaviors of API X120 carbon steel and 316LN stainless steel reinforced concrete indicated to the higher inhibition efficiency of 316LN stainless steel in different inhibitor concentrations, and API X120 carbon steel showed a remarkable decrease in the lifetime of the structure concrete and damage. The results of the SEM study also indicated the more damaged surface of API X120 carbon steel and multi-point
corrosion pit than 316LN stainless steel, which increases the chance of extremely localized corrosion and enhances the corrosion rate. Therefore, replacing the API X120 carbon steel reinforcement with 316LN stainless steel can decrease the corrosion rate and can also considerably decrease the costs related to expensive equipment for rehabilitation.
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