

## Supercapacitor Performance of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu Hybrid Nanocomposite

Mohammed Ahmed Mustafa<sup>1</sup>, Qutaiba A. Qasim<sup>2</sup>, Ahmed B. Mahdi<sup>3</sup>, Samar Emad Izzat<sup>4</sup>, Yasir S. Alnassar<sup>5</sup>, Emad Salaam Abood<sup>6</sup>, Zahara Jalil alhakim<sup>7</sup>, Zaid H. Mahmoud<sup>8</sup>, Ahmed Mahdi Rheima<sup>9,10</sup> and H.N.K. Al-Salman<sup>11</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of medical Laboratory technology, Imam Jaafar Al-Sadiq university,

<sup>2</sup> Al-Ayen university, college of pharmacy, Dhi Qar, IRAQ,

<sup>3</sup> Anesthesia Techniques Department, Al-Mustaqbal University College, Babylon, Iraq,

<sup>4</sup> Al-Nisour University College/Baghdad/Iraq,

<sup>5</sup> The University of Mashreq/ Baghdad/ Iraq,

<sup>6</sup> Medical physics department, Hilla university college, Babylon, Iraq

<sup>7</sup> Department of Nursing, Altossi university college, Najaf,

<sup>8</sup> Diyala university, college of science, chemistry department, Iraq,

<sup>9</sup> Mustansiriyah university, college of science, chemistry,

<sup>10</sup> Dijlah university college, Al-Masafi street, Al-Dora, Baghdad,

<sup>11</sup> university of Basrah, college of pharmacy, Iraq.

\*E-mail: [Zaidhammed\\_91@yahoo.com](mailto:Zaidhammed_91@yahoo.com)

Received: 25 July 2022 / Accepted: 25 August 2022 / Published: 10 September 2022

In this study, photolysis and immobilization of bis(aminopyridine)-Cu on the surface of SiO<sub>2</sub>-coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles were used to create core-shell composites of magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu. FTIR, ICP-AES, XRD, XPS, FESEM-EDS-mapping, and TEM were used to identify the structural characteristics of the catalyst. TGA was used to test the prepared materials' thermal stability, and CV, GCD, and EIS were used to assess their electrochemical characteristics. The successful shelling of SiO<sub>2</sub> around Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> with a size of 20 nm was confirmed by the TEM images. The results of the electrochemical tests demonstrate that the performance of the synthetic materials is typical for supercapacitors. The shell of SiO<sub>2</sub>-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu can boost Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>'s reversibility and storage energy. After 1000 cycles at 5 A/g, the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu electrode exhibits good specific capacitance of 265 F/g and excellent cyclic stability with a retention ratio of 85% compared to pure magnetite's 67 percent.

**Keywords:** hybrid nanocomposite, supercapacitor, photolysis, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, core-shell

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Since they have a higher energy density, more power, and a longer cycle life than Li-ion batteries and conventional dielectric capacitors, supercapacitors are regarded as lucky picks for the

development of future power instruments [1-3]. Pseudocapacitors and electrochemical double layer capacitors are two categories of supercapacitor device that can be separated based on the mechanism that determines capacitance (EDLC). The capacitance of pseudocapacitors is related to the redox process (faradic reactions) on the surface of electrodes, such as conductive polymers and oxide nanocomposite, which have a greater capacity for energy storage than materials based on carbon [4,5]. The fast, reversible oxidation-reduction reaction on the surface is used with oxide materials to produce high power and energy densities [6]. Because of its high electric conductivity and specific capacitance of  $720\text{Fg}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{RuO}_2$  is regarded as one of the most promising oxides for use as an electrode in capacitor applications [7]. However, due to its rarity and high cost, it is only occasionally used in practice applications. As a result, the main focus for these applications shifts to using the less expensive oxides with good energy storage. Due to its abundance, simplicity in preparation, variety of state valance (+2, +3), ability to perform reversible redox reactions, low cost, and environmental compatibility, magnetite ( $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ ) is regarded as an excellent alternative material in this regard [8,9]. The magnetite has drawn the interest of numerous researchers in this field, and they have reported successes with it. Octadecahedron  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  prepared hydrothermally was used by Hung [10] and demonstrated  $118\text{ F/g}$  at  $6\text{ mA}$  in  $1\text{M Na}_2\text{SO}_3$  electrolyte solution.  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  was synthesized by Brousse, who used it as an electrode with a  $75\text{ F/g}$  specific capacitance [11]. However, because the kinetics of redox are constrained by electron transfer and mass diffusion, this type of oxide has a shorter cycle life and performs less well during cycles. Researchers' attention was drawn to hybrid nanocomposite supercapacitors because of their high voltage, long cyclic life, and high energy density [12,13]. These capacitors perform well in the field of energy storage. Graphene oxide/metal oxides [14], graphene oxide/metal sulfide [15], and oxides/conducting polymers [16] are examples of composite supercapacitors. It displayed higher specific capacitance in comparison to  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  supercapacitors. We discussed the electrochemical behavior of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  with a  $\text{SiO}_2$  coating and a copper complex as a core-shell system in this work. Numerous studies had claimed [17,18] that  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2$  and higher values of specific capacitance did not perform electrochemical supercapacitors as well. However, Wang [19] examined these claims and found  $208\text{ F/g}$  at  $0.4\text{ A/g}$ . The hybrid structure of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2@\text{metal complex}$  nanocomposites has not been studied or reported in any works or research. Through the use of cyclic voltammetry, galvanostatic discharge, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, the electrochemical characteristics of the prepared hybrid nanocomposite were examined. The  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2@\text{metal complex}$  hybrid nanocomposite exhibits excellent electrochemical properties, making it a candidate for potential use in supercapacitors.


## 2. EXPERIMENTAL

### 2.1 Materials

All of the chemicals, reagents, and solvents used in this work came from Sigma-Aldrich and were used without any purification.

## 2.2 Synthesis of magnetite $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ nanoparticles

Photolysis was used as a method for producing  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles [20–22]. Nanoparticles were prepared using the 125 W manual irradiation system (Fig. 1). First, 100 ml of distilled water were used to dissolve 1 g of potassium ferricyanide  $\text{K}_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$ . Following that, it was moved to an irradiation system that included a 200 ml beaker that was used as a reactor and was placed in an ice bath to prevent the temperature from rising during the irradiation process. 3ml of 1M NaOH was added while the mixture was being rapidly stirred, and the mixture was then exposed to radiation to produce a black precipitate with magnetic properties. To ensure that all  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{CN}^-$  ions were removed, the precipitate was isolated and washed with distilled water once, acetone twice, and ethanol three times. It took 4 hours for it to dry at 80 °C. The next stage of the preparation processes can now begin with the magnetite precipitate.



**Figure 1.** Manual irradiation system

## 2.3 Preparation of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2$ -IPTMS

This procedure was broken down into two phases. The first part involved creating the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2$  as follows: in a 300 ml beaker, 2g of produced  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  NPs were dispersed in 250 ml of a mixed solution made up of 50 ml of distilled water and 200 ml of propanol for 40 minutes (sol. 1). After that, vigorously stir for 30 minutes at room temperature (35 °C, July 4, 2022) to create a suspension solution. A second 100 ml beaker containing 2 ml of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), 20 ml of ethylene glycol (EG), and 5 ml of a 28 percent ammonium solution was used to add to the first beaker's contents under constant stirring for 24 hours at room temperature. After being separated using a powerful magnetic piece, the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2$  precipitate was cleaned twice with ethanol and once with distilled water, and it was then dried at 80 °C.  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2$ -IPTMS was prepared in the second part. 1 g of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2$  was dispersed by sonication for 20 minutes in a 250 ml mixture of absolute ethanol and distilled water with a 1:1 ratio under the addition of 3 ml of IPTMS to the suspension. The mixture

was then stirred for the following two hours at room temperature while being bubbled with N<sub>2</sub>. Before moving on to the next step, the product nanoparticles were isolated by magnetic decantation and dried at 80 °C.

#### 2.4 Preparation of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-Cu complex

The final step was broken down into three components, as follows:


1- Preparation of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-acac: One hour of sonication was used to suspend 1g of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-IPTMS in 50ml of toluene. Then, with vigorous stirring, an equivalent mole of acetylacetone was added to the suspension. The mixture was then transferred to a round bottom flask with three necks and refluxed for eight hours with a dropper full of NaH under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere conditions. The product was separated by magnetic decantation and repeatedly washed in ethanol and acetone to get rid of the loose materials.

##### 2- Preparation of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-bis(aminopyridine)

2g of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-acac were ultrasonically dispersed in 25ml of ethanol to create a suspension solution. The suspension solution of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-acac was then added, 4g of 2-amino pyridine was dissolved in 25ml of ethanol, and stirred at a reflux process under N<sub>2</sub> for 10 hours. To separate the product from the unattached materials, it was isolated using magnetic decantation and repeatedly washed in ethanol. At 80°C, it finally dried.

##### 3- Preparation of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu complex

The final step involved mixing a (2:1) mole ratio of Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.3H<sub>2</sub>O and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-bis(aminopyridine) in 50ml of absolute ethanol, which was then refluxed for 5 hours under nitrogen. By using magnetic decantation to separate the solid product, ethanol was used to wash it repeatedly. At 80 degrees Celsius, it finally dried. All prepared procedures are listed in (Scheme. 1).




**Scheme 1.** Prepared of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu complex

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


With the help of FTIR spectroscopy, the structure and functional groups of the prepared materials were identified, and they are depicted in Fig. 2a–e. As seen in Fig. 2a, the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  catalyst exhibits a strong adsorption peak that can be attributed to the stretching vibration of the Fe-O bond [23]. Two peaks were located at 1077 and 805  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (Fig. 2b) characteristic to  $\nu_{\text{as}}$  (Si-O-Si) and  $\nu_{\text{s}}$  (Si-O-Si) respectively, and a strong peak was centered at 587  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  back to Fe-O confirming the formation of silica-magnetite shell-core nanoparticles [24].

The dispersed peak of C-I and the appearance of a new adsorption band at 1725  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  in the case of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-acac}$  (Fig. 2d) are characterized by the carbonyl group (C=O) of the acetyl (Fig. 2e). The bonds of pyridine are reflected in a new peak that appears below 1500  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . Following the addition of  $\text{Cu}^{+2}$  ions, the C-N peaks shifted to a higher wavenumber, indicating that Cu ions and nitrogen successfully combined.




**Figure 2.** FTIR of (a)  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ , (b)  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2$ , (c)  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-IPTMS}$ , (d)  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-acac}$  and (e)  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$

The XRD of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  is shown in Fig. 3a. Numerous intensity peaks can be seen in the magnetite pattern (Fig. 3) which correspond to the angles of (220), (311), (400), (422), (511) and (440) and these results are consistent with (JCPDS file no. 19-0629). The XRD pattern of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  is shown in Fig. 3. The findings show a strong agreement with the pattern of pared  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and point to the functionalization process maintaining the crystal structure of magnetite [26]. Additionally, the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  pattern indicates a high degree of dispersion of copper on the nanocomposite, which is not detectable by XRD technique. The copper diffraction peaks cannot be seen in this pattern. The fact that the peak's intensity is waning and shifting to a lower position in Figure 3b, however, provides strong support for the presence of copper ions in the final complex with high dispersion.



**Figure 3.** XRD of (A)  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$ , (B) deviation (311) peaks


A measurement using X-ray photoelectron microscopy (XPS) was made to look into the oxidation state of the copper ions on the catalyst surface (Fig. 4). The extended spectrum shows two peaks at 953.2 eV and 933.3 eV, which are assigned to  $2p_{1/2}$  and  $2p_{3/2}$  bonding energies, respectively [27].




**Figure 4.** XPS analysis of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$

Fig. 5 illustrates the use of energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) to confirm the presence of Fe, O, Si, C, N, and Cu in the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$ . The results show that the covalent adsorption of the ligand (aminopyridine) that took place on the surface of the catalyst was successful because nitrogen atoms (N) are present instead of iodine atoms (I). The outcomes are consistent with those of the XPS analysis, as shown in Fig. 4. Using FESEM mapping analysis, the EDX results were further

justified (Fig. 6). The compositional maps demonstrate the excellent distribution of ion species on the matrix surface, which has a significant impact on the performance of the complex.




**Figure 5.** EDX of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$




**Figure 6.** Element mapping of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$

Figs. 7 shows the results of an investigation into the morphology and grain size of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Results from FESEM images show that there are spherical  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  particles present, and the copper complex is successfully anchored to the catalyst surface. The spherical shapes of the particles may play a major role in preventing accumulation between them and aiding in their even distribution. The TEM images show that synthesized nanoparticles have a spherical morphology with monodispersity and a size range of 17–22 nm; these findings are consistent with those of the FESEM.




**Figure 7.** FESEM and TEM of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$



**Figure 8.** TGA curve of prepared nanomaterials

To evaluate the electrochemical performance of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  electrodes, the cyclic voltammetry (CV) and chronopotentiometric (CP) analysis were carried out. Fig. 9a-b shows the cyclic voltammogram of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  electrodes at  $10\text{-}100\text{mVs}^{-1}$  scan rate and potential range  $-0.1\text{-}0.6\text{V}$  with different shape and size. Due to the redox reaction occurring at the electrodes as well as the reversible reaction between the ferric/ferrous ions of the electrodes and the electrolyte interface, the curves of the electrodes appear cathodic and anodic peaks back to pseudo-capacitor behavior [30]. Furthermore, the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  electrode has a larger CV area than  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ , indicating a higher capacitance, and the peak current of the hybrid nanocomposite is greater than that of pure magnetite, indicating that the  $\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  shell enhances the capacitance of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ .



**Figure 9.** CV curves of (A)  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ , (B)  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  at different scan rate


At different gravimetric current densities, the discharge curves of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  were measured in  $1\text{M H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and depicted at (Fig. 10 a,b). The specific capacitance of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  were calculate by using the following equation [31]:

$$Cs = \frac{I\Delta t}{m\Delta E}$$

Where the Cs: specific capacitance, I: current of discharge,  $\Delta t$  discharge time, m: active mass of electrodes,  $\Delta E$ : IR discharge drops. According to the findings (Fig. 10c), prepared electrodes' specific capacitance appears to decrease as current densities rise, exhibiting pseudocapacitive performance with favorable electrochemical properties. The specific capacitance reduction could be


due to an increase in current, which would reduce the amount of time that ions have to get to or enter the electrodes.

On the other hand, the findings showed that the discharge time is decreasing as the current density is increasing, which assigns to an increase in the drop voltage. The specific capacitance of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  are 170 and 195 A/g, respectively, at the charge current of 10 A/g, indicating that the  $\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  shell is increasing the capacitance by supplying a new site for storing energy. Additionally, it offers more options for electron transport on and within  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ , and all of these developments have improved electrodes for electrochemical reactions and reduced electrode resistance [32,33].



**Figure 10.** Discharge curve of (A)  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ , (B)  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$ , (C) the relationship of specific capacitance and current density


Measurements of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  were made using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy in order to assess the interfacial process and investigate rate constant, double layer capacitance, and ionic conductivity. Fig. 11 displays the prepared materials' Nyquist plot, which represents semicircle impedance curves that depend on high and low frequencies. The results showed that the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  electrode had no semicircles, whereas the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  electrode had a small semicircle and a charge transfer resistance ( $R_s$  2.18), which indicated that the  $\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  shell improved the electronic conductivity and electronic transfer at the interface electrodes. In light of the findings, it is thought that  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  is superior to  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  in terms of prepared power and safety for supercapacitors.



**Figure 11.** EIS of (A)  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ , (B)  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$

A 1000 charge-discharge process using 5 A/g and a potential range of 0 to 0.45V was used to examine the cyclic stability of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$ . As can be seen in Fig. 12,  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  electrode could still maintain high specific capacitance after cycling after cycling (85%) compare than  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  electrode (66%), which indicate that the  $\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  improve the capacitance losing and reversibility of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  because of the structure of hybrid nanocomposite that does in increasing the synergic interaction between components.

When comparing with other compounds polymeric and oxides compounds (Table. 1), we noted that as-prepared compounds has interesting electrochemical properties between all the previously reported.



**Figure 12.** specific capacitance at a constant of 5A/g as a function of cycle number

**Table 1.** Comparison of specific capacitance of as-prepared compounds with other polymeric and oxides nanocomposite

| No. | Compounds                                                          | Current density (A/g) | Specific capacitance (F/g) |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| 1   | $\text{RuO}_2/\text{PANI}$                                         | 1                     | 40.2 [34]                  |
| 2   | rGO/PANI                                                           |                       | 159 [34]                   |
| 3   | $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{C}$                                   |                       | 78 [35]                    |
| 4   | $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@ \text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$ |                       | 263 [our study]            |

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the immobilization of bis(aminopyridine)-Cu on the surface of  $\text{SiO}_2$ -coated  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles and photolysis were both successful methods for creating  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@ \text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  nanocomposite. For the described synthesis of oxide nanocomposite, the photolysis approach will be interactive. According to the electrochemical investigation,  $\text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  can increase storage energy, enhance the reversibility of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ , and increase specific capacitance.  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@ \text{SiO}_2\text{-bis(aminopyridine)-Cu}$  nanocomposite is a good electrode for supercapacitor applications because it has a strong retention ratio and specific capacitance as it is currently synthesized.

#### Reference

1. A. Hodaie, A.S. Dezfuli and H.R. Naderi, *J Mater Sci: Mater Electron*, 29 (2018) 14596.
2. Z.H. Mahmoud, R.A. Al-Bayati and A.A. Khadom, *J. Oleo Sci.*, 71 (2022) 311.
3. M. Sobaszek, K. Siuzdak, M. Sawczak, J. Ryl and R. Bogdanowicz, *Thin Solid Films*, 601 (2016)

- 35.
4. A.A. Al Sarraf, F.H. Alsultany, Z.H. Mahmoud, S.S. Shafik, Z.I. Al and A. Sajjadi, *Synth. Commun.*, 52 (2022) 1245.
5. X. Wang, Y. Han, J. Zhang, Z. Li, T. Li, X. Zhao and Y. Wu, *Appl. Radiat. Isot.*, 148 (2019) 147.
6. D. Vera, F. Jurado, J. Carpio and S. Kamel, *Energy*, 144 (2018) 41.
7. Z.H. Mahmoud, R.A. Al-Bayati and A.A. Khadom, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 16 (2021) 211241.
8. S. Ghasemi and F. Ahmadi, *J Power Sources*, 289 (2015) 129.
9. N. LO, C. Johnston and P.S. Grant PS, *J Power Sources*, 274 (2015) 907
10. Z.H. Mahmood, M. Jarosova, H.H. Kzar, P. Machek, M. Zaidi, A.D. Khalaji, I.H. Khlewee, U.S. Altimari, Y.F. Mustafa and M.M. Kadhim, *JCCS.*, 69 (2022) 657.
11. T. Brousse and D. Be' langer, *Electrochem Solid-State Lett.*, 6 (2003) 244.
12. H.K. Sharaf, S. Salman, M.H. Abdulateef, R.R. Magizov, V.I. Troitskii, Z.H. Mahmoud, R.H. Mukhutdinov and H. Mohanty, *Appl. Phys. A*, 127 (2021) 1.
13. Z.H. Mahmoud, H. Barazandeh, S.M. Mostafavi, K. Ershov, A. Goncharov, A.S. Kuznetsov, O.D. Kravchenko and Y. Zhu, *J. Mater. Res. Technol.*, 11 (2021) 2015.
14. Z.H. Mahmoud, R.A. AL-Bayati and A.A. Khadom, *Chem. Pap.*, 76 (2022) 1401.
15. S.Z. Hussain, M. Ihrar, S.B. Hussain, W.C. Oh and K. Ullah, *SN Appl. Sci.*, 2 (2020) 764.
16. K. Zhang, Y. J. Huang, Y. Xiao, W. Yang, T. Hu, K. Yuan and Y. Chen, *Sci. China Mater.*, 63 (2020) 1898.
17. S. Majumder, S. Dey, K. Bagani, S.K. Dey, S. Banerjee, and S. Kumar, *Dalton Trans.*, 44 (2015) 7190.
18. G. Wang, L. Zhang and JiuJun Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 41 (2012) 797.
19. L. Wang, H. Ji, S. Wang, L. Kong, X. Jiang and G. Yang, *Nanoscale*, 5 (2013) 3793.
20. Z.H. Mahmoud, M.S. Falih, O.E. Khalaf, M.A. Farhan and F.K. Ali, *J Adv Pharm Edu Res.*, 8 (2018), 51.
21. Z.H. Mahmoud, R.A. AL-Bayati, A.A. Khadom, *Mater. Today*, 61 (2022) 799.
22. Z.H. Mahmoud, R.A. AL-Bayati and A.A. Khadom, *J Mater Sci: Mater Electron*, 33 (2022) 5009.
23. M.M. Ba-Abbad, A. Benamour, D. Ewis, A. Mohammad and E. Mahmoudi, *JOM.*, 23 (2022) 5673.
24. R. Dawn, M. Zzaman, F. Faizal, F.C. Kiran, A. Kumari, R. Shahid, C. Panatarani, I. M. Joni, V. K. Verma, S.K. Sahoo, K. Amemiya and V.R. Sing, *Braz J Phys.*, 52 (2022) 99.
25. N. Fatima, S. Nisar and S. Z. Abbas, *ECB.*, 9, (2020) 119.
26. Z. Lv, Q. Wang, Y. Bin, L. Huang, R. Zhang, P. Zhang and M. Matsuo, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 119 (2015) 26128.
27. M.S. Pourbavarsad, B.J. Jalalieh, C. Harkins, R. Sevanthi and W.A. Jackson, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 9 (2021) 106271.
28. X. Tan, P. Sudarsanam, J. Tan, A. Wang, H. Zhang, H. Li and Song Yang, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 9 (2021) 104719.
29. J. Safaei-Ghomi, F. Eshteghal and H. Shahbazi-Alavi, *J. Iran. Chem. Soc.*, 15 (2018) 661.
30. V.P. Joshi, N. Kumar and R.R. Salunkhe, *Springer; Cham.*, 34 (2021) 601.
31. C. Lai, Y. Guo, H. Zhao, H. Song, X. Qu, M. Huang, S.W. Hong and K. Lee, *Adv Compos Hybrid Mater*, 32 (2022) 12.
32. K.S. Lee, Y.J. Seo, and H.T. Jeong, *Carbon Lett.*, 31 (2021) 1041.
33. R. Balamurugan, S.S. Shalini and A.C. Bose, *J Mater Sci: Mater Electron*, 33, (2022) 18231.
34. M. Ates and M. Yildirim, *Polym Bull*, 77 (2020) 2285.
35. S.K. Park, J. Sure D. Vishnu, S.J. Jo, Lee, W.C. Ahmed Kim I.A. and H.K. Kim, *Energies*, 14 (2021) 2908.