Modified Screen Printed and Carbon Paste Ion Selective Electrodes for Potentiometric Determination of Naphazoline Hydrochloride in Pure and Pharmaceutical Preparations

F.A. Nour El-Dien, Gehad G. Mohamed^{*}, Eman Y.Z. Frag, Marwa El-Badry Mohamed

Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Gamaa Str., 12613, Giza, Egypt *E-mail: <u>ggenidy68@hotmail.com</u>

Received: 8 August 2012 / Accepted: 14 September 2012 / Published: 1 October 2012

In this study, a comparison between modified screen printed (SPE) and carbon paste (CPE) electrodes is described for determination of naphazoline hydrochloride in pure and pharmaceutical formulations. The performance characteristics of the electrodes were evaluated in terms of composition, life span, pH, and temperature. The electrodes show Nernstian slope values of 55.4±2.2 and 59.6±1.3 mV decade⁻¹ at 25 °C, over the concentration ranges of $5.0 \times 10^{-7} - 3.5 \times 10^{-2}$ and $3.0 \times 10^{-7} - 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ mol L⁻¹ with a limit of detection of 2.512×10^{-7} and 3.162×10^{-7} mol L⁻¹ for modified SPE and CPE, respectively. The potentiometric response of the electrodes is independent of the pH of the solution within the pH range of 2.5-8.5 and 2.7-8.5 for modified SPE and CPE, respectively. The electrodes showed good selectivity for naphazoline hydrochloride (NPZ.HCl) with respect to some inorganic cations, sugars and glycine. The electrodes exhibited relatively long operational lifetime of 47 and 32 days for modified SPE and CPE sensors, respectively. The developed modified sensors have been used successfully for the determination of naphazoline hydrochloride in pharmaceutical preparation using standard addition, potentiometric titration and calibration methods. The direct potentiometric determination of naphazoline hydrochloride using the prepared sensors gave recoveries 98% and 97.4% using modified SPE and CPE, respectively. The method avoids any interference of coexistent NPZ.HCl in the compounding drug. The obtained results were in a good agreement with those obtained using the official method. The results obtained were satisfactory with excellent percentage recovery comparable and sometimes better than those obtained by other routine methods for the assay.

Keywords: Modified screen printed and carbon paste electrodes, Naphazoline hydrochloride, calibration, method validation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Naphazoline hydrochloride (NPZ.HCl) (Fig. 1) is the common name for 2-(1naphthylmethyl)-2-imidazoline hydrochloride of molecular weight 246.74g mol⁻¹ and molecular formula $C_{14}H_{14}N_2HCl$ [1]. It is a sympathomimetic agent with marked alpha adrenergic activity. It acts on alpha receptors in the arterioles of the conjunctiva to produce constriction, resulting in decreased congestion. It is an active ingredient in several over the counter formulations including Clear Eyes and Naphcon eye drops [2]. Several analytical procedures have been described for the determination of NPZ in both pure and pharmaceutical samples including micellar electrokinetic chromatography [3,4], high-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) [5], spectrophotometric [6-11] and potentiometric methods [12, 13]. Most of these methods use sophisticated instruments, time consuming or needs expensive reagents.



Figure 1. Structure of naphazoline hydrochloride.

Ion selective electrodes (ISE) are one of the most frequently used potentiometric sensors during laboratory analysis as well as in industry, process control, physiological measurements, and environmental monitoring [14]. The principle of ion-selective electrodes operation is quite well investigated and understood. Carbon paste electrode (CPE) is an ion selective electrode that commonly used in electroanalysis due to its low ohmic resistance, fast response time, decreased residual current, low cost, chemical inertness and ease of fabrication. The screen-printing technology is well established for the mass production of disposable electrochemical sensors. Screen printed electrode has the advantage over carbon paste electrode of being disposable devices that can be work with microvolumes of sample. It is an ideal tool for quality control or research purposes and also for teaching electrochemistry [15].

In the present work, modified NPZ-screen-printed and carbon paste electrodes were constructed as potentiometric sensors using home-made printing carbon ink as well as comparing the performance characteristics of such electrodes in determining NPZ in pure and pharmaceutical preparations. The constructed electrodes were optimized according to the IUPAC recommendations used successfully applied as sensors to determine NPZ.HCl in pure and pharmaceutical forms.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Reagents

All the reagents used were of the analytical grade quality and double distilled water was used throughout the experiments. NPZ.HCl provided by Misr Company for Pharmaceutical Industry and its Pharmaceutical preparation Neozoline (Eye/Nasal drops) was produced by Amoun Pharmaceutical Company, El-Obour City, Cairo, Egypt (each 100 mL contains 50 mg naphazoline hydrochloride). Relative high molecular weight (PVC) and graphite powder (synthetic 1–2 mm) were supplied from Aldrich. Tricresylphosphate (TCP) was purchased from Alfa-Aesar.

Ion pairing agents such as sodium tetraphenylborate (NaTPB) and ammonium reineckate (RN) $[NH_4(Cr(NH_3)_2(SCN)_4).H_2O]$ were supplied from Fluka. Phosphotungstic acid (PTA); $H_3[PW_{12}O_{40}]$ and phosphomolybdic acid (PMA); $H_3[PM_{012}O_{40}]$, were purchased from BDH. Silicotungstic acid STA, $H_4SiW_{12}O_{40}$, was purchased from Sigma.

2.2. Apparatus

Laboratory potential measurements were performed using HANNA 211 pH meter. Silver-silver chloride double - junction reference electrode (Metrohm 6.0222.100) in conjugation with different drug ion selective electrodes was used. pH measurements were done using Jenway 3505 pH meter. Digital multimeter connected to a portable PC and Brand digital burette was used for the measurement of the drug under investigation.

2.3. Drug solutions

Stock drug solution $(10^{-1} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ was prepared by dissolving the appropriate amount of NPZ.HCl in double distilled water. Other solutions $(3.0 \times 10^{-2} - 10^{-7})$ were prepared by serial dilution from the stock solution.

2.4 Procedures

2.41. Preparation of the ion exchanger

The ion-pair compounds of NPZ were prepared by dropwise addition of ion pairing agent solutions including TPB, PMA, RN, PTA and STA to 0.01 mol L^{-1} NPZ.HCl solution with continuous stirring. The resulting precipitates formed, after digestion, were then filtered off on Whatman filter paper No.1 and washed several times with double distilled water. The compounds were left to dry at room temperature, then ground to fine powder. Small sample portions were sent for elemental analysis.

2.4.2 Preparation of the working electrodes

2.4.2.1. Screen-printed electrodes

In the preparation of the modified SPE sensors, a polyvinyl chloride flexible sheet (0.2mm) was used as a substrate which was not affected by the curing temperature or the ink solvent and easily cut by scissors. The working electrodes were prepared using 6-30 mg IP, TCP as plasticizer, PVC (8% w/w) and carbon powder (500 mg). They were printed using homemade carbon ink and cured at 50 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. Fabricated electrodes were stored at 4 $^{\circ}$ C and can used for measurements directly.

2.4.2.2. Carbon paste electrodes

Carbon paste electrodes were prepared by mixing different amounts (3-20 mg) of IPs, carbon powder (250 mg) and TCP plasticizer (100 μ L). Then this mixture was thoroughly mixed in the mortar until homogenization occurs. The resulting paste was then packed firmly into the hole of the electrode body. The surface of the resulting carbon paste electrode was polished using a filter paper to obtain new working surface and rinsed carefully with double distilled water.

2.5Effect of pH and temperature

The effect of the pH of the test solution on the response of the electrodes was studied at fixed concentration and temperature values. The variation of the potential with the pH of the test solution $(1x10^{-2} \text{ and } 1x10^{-4} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ was recorded over the pH range of 2.0-12.0. The mV-readings were plotted against the pH values. The influence of the temperature on the characteristics of the electrodes was studied by recording the calibration graphs at different temperatures (20, 30, 40, 50, 60 °C).

2.6. Effect of foreign compounds on the electrode selectivity

The response of the studied electrodes was also examined in the presence of a number of other related substances. The potentiometric selectivity coefficients ($K^{Pot}_{A,B}$) were evaluated according to IUPAC guidelines using the separate solutions (SSM) and matched potential (MPM) methods [16, 17]. In SSM, the potential of cell compromising the membrane electrode and a reference electrode is measured with two separate solutions, A and B where A (NPZ ions) and B (interfering ion) at the same activity $a_A = a_B$. Selectivity coefficients were using the following equation:

$$\log K^{\text{pot}}_{A,B} = ((E_B - E_A)/S) + (1 - (Z_A/Z_B)) \log a_A$$

where $K^{Pot}_{A,B}$ is the potentiometric selectivity coefficient, E_A is the potential measured in 1 x 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ NPZ, E_B the potential measured in 1 x 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ of the interfering compound (B), *S* the slope of the calibration plot, a_A the activity of NPZ, and Z_A and Z_B are the charges on NPZ and the

interfering ion, respectively. While in MPM, the potentiometric selectivity coefficient of the sensors towards different species was calculated using this equation:

$$K^{\text{pot}}_{D,B} = (a_D - a_D)/a_B$$

where a_B is the activity of the added interferent. The interfering ion would then be added to an identical reference solution until the same potential change is obtained. The change in potential must be produced in a constant initial background of the primary ion and must be the same in both cases.

2.7 Calibration of the sensors

Calibration was made by immersing the modified sensors in conjunction with Ag-AgCl reference electrode in 50 ml beakers containing 10 ml aliquots of standard $1 \ge 10^{-7}$ to $1 \ge 10^{-1}$ mol L⁻¹ drug solution. The potential readings were recorded for the drug starting from the low to high concentration. The potential response was plotted as a function of the logarithm of NPZ.HCl concentrations.

2.8 Determination of NPZ.HCl in pharmaceutical preparation

The concentration of NPZ.HCl in pharmaceutical preparation were determined using standard addition, potentiometric titration and calibration methods. Before the application of either of these methods the neozoline eye drop solution is evaporated gently on water bath till dryness. The residue is dissolved in methylene chloride depending on the fact that NPZ.HCl is insoluble in methylene chloride while chlorophenramine maleate is soluble in methylene chloride, so the residue was washed twice in with methylene chloride to get ride of chlorophenramine maleate and the white residue containing NPZ.HCl was dissolved in definite volume of distilled water and transferred quantitatively to 50 mL beaker [13].

In standard addition method, known increments of standard NPZ.HCl solution were added to constant volume of samples of different concentrations. Then the variation in potential readings was recorded for each increment and the unknown concentration was calculated.

In the potentiometric titration of NPZ solution, aliquots of the drug solution containing 1.5-9.35 mg were transferred into a 25 ml beaker and titrated with a standard solution of NaTPB (2.02×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹) using modified SPE and CPE as indicator electrodes conjugated with Ag/AgCl as reference electrode. The end points were determined from the S-shaped curves using the first derivative plots.

In the calibration method, calibration graph was made by immersing the modified sensors in conjunction with Ag-AgCl reference electrode in 50 ml beakers containing definite concentrations of standard drug solutions (1.5-9.35 mg mL⁻¹). The potential readings were recorded for the drug starting from the low to high concentration. The potential response was plotted as a function of the logarithm of NPZ concentrations, from which the unknown drug concentration can be determined.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Ion pair stoichiometric ratios

Since NPZ.HCl is a cation, it has high affinity to form water insoluble ion-pair complexes with oppositely charged ions such as TPB, PTA, PMA, STA or RN. So in this study, different NPZ-IPs were prepared and their stoichiometric ratios were determined from elemental analysis. The elemental analysis results showed that NPZ forms ion pair with TPB of 1:1 stoichiometric ratio [NPZ]:[TPB] ion pair with calculated: %C = 86.20, %H = 6.62 and %N = 5.29 and found: %C = 86.48, %H = 6.14 and %N = 5.38. For NPZ-RN ion pair: %C = 35.93, %H = 4.5 and %N = 20.95 and found: %C = 36.57, %H = 2.95 and %N = 21.46. This showed that NPZ.HCl forms ion pairs with NaTPB and RN in 1:1 stoichiometric ratio. For PMA and PTA 3:1 [NPZ]:[PMA] and [NPZ]:[PTA] ion pairs are formed with calculated %C = 19.65, %H = 1.75 and %N = 2.32 (found: %C = 13.95, %H = 1.48 and %N = 3.84) and %C = 13.92, %H = 1.24 and %N = 2.32 (found: %C = 13.95, %H = 1.30 and %N = 2.53), respectively. In addition, STA reagent forms 4:1 [NPZ]:[STA] ion pair with calculated %C = 17.39, %H = 1.55 and %N = 2.898 (found: %C = 17.90, %H = 1.61 and %N = 2.69).

3.2 Influence of the electrode composition

Since factors such as sensitivity, linearity, detection limit,... etc, for certain electrode are based on the electrode composition. Therefore, modified screen printed and carbon paste electrodes were prepared containing different amounts and types of ion pairs and the effect of their concentrations and the influence of the nature of the ion pairs in the modified SPE and CPE composition was investigated. The carbon and plasticizer contents for CMPE were also studied. Also, the effect of other factors such as effect of pH, selectivity, life time, temperature, response time and application were studied.

3.2.1. Effect of the ion pair nature and content.

Several modified SPEs and CPEs were prepared containing different ion pairs (NPZ-TPB, NPZ-PMA, NPZ-PTA, NPZ-STA or NPZ-RN) as modifiers and the effect of the type of these modifiers was studied. It was found that electrodes modified with NPZ-TPB as ion pair have high Nernstian slope (55.4 ± 2.2 and 59.6 ± 1.3 mV decade⁻¹ for modified SPE and CPE, respectively, Table 1) and hence high sensitivity in comparison to other electrodes as shown in Table (2). For this reason NPZ-TPB ion pair was selected as an ion exchanger in the preparation of all the subsequent electrodes. Then the effect of NPZ-TPB ion pair content on the electrode composition was studied by preparing several electrodes containing different amounts of NPZ-TPB ion pair ranging from 6-to-30 mg for modified SPE and from 3–to-20 mg for modified CPE. The results are summarized in Table (2).

It was found that as the NPZ-TPB concentration increases from 6 to12 mg for modified SPE and from 3 to 12 mg for modified CPE, the slope value of the calibration curves increases from 42.8 ± 1.4 to 55.4 ± 1.0 mV decade⁻¹ for SPE and from 38.3 ± 1.9 to 59.6 ± 0.4 mV decade⁻¹ for CPE, then it decreased with increasing the ion-pair content. The optimum amount of NPZ-TPB which has the

Parameter	Modified SPE	Modified CPE
Slope (mV decade ⁻¹)	55.40±2.2	59.60±1.3
Correlation coefficient (r)	0.994	0.980
Concentration rang (mol L ⁻¹)	$5.0 \times 10^{-7} - 3.5 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-7} - 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$
Working pH range	2.5-8.5	2.7-8.5
Life time (days)	47	32
Response time (s)	5	11
Isothermal coefficient (V/°C)	0.0018	0.0012
Detection limit (mol L^{-1})	$2.512 \text{ x} 10^{-7}$	3.162x10 ⁻⁷
Quantification limit (mol L ⁻¹)	8.373 x10 ⁻⁷	1.054x10 ⁻⁶
SD	0.008	0.007
RSD%	0.399	0.349

 Table 1 . Response characteristics of modified SPE and CPE sensors.

highest slope values of the calibration curves $(55.4\pm1.0 \text{ and } 59.6\pm0.4 \text{ mV decade}^{-1}$ for SPE and CPE, respectively) was found to be 12 mg for both electrodes, Figure (2). None of the compositions gives the true theoretical slope because of the expected lack of thermodynamic ion-exchange equilibrium at the solution-solid interface.



Figure 2. Effect of the content of NPZ-TPB ion pair for a) modified SPE b) modified CPE.

3.2.2 Effect of graphite and plasticizer contents for modified CPE.

Several CPEs were prepared containing constant amounts of NPZ-TPB ion pair and different amounts of graphite and plasticizer, then the effect of their concentration was studied. It was found that electrode containing 250 mg carbon powder and 114.3 mg TCP had the highest Nernstian slope (59.9 \pm mV decade⁻¹) as shown in Table (3). Concentrations more or less than these values result in non homogenized paste that had less response than mentioned above.

3.3. Performance of the electrodes

3.3.1 Calibration plots

From the results obtained in Table 1, it is clear that the prepared sensors can be successfully applied for the potentiometric determination of the drug under study with linear response in the concentration range of $5.0 \times 10^{-7} - 3.5 \times 10^{-2}$ and $3.0 \times 10^{-7} - 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ mol L⁻¹ for modified screen printed and carbon paste electrodes, respectively.

	Compositio	on (mg) CPE			SPE	
Electrodes	Ion pair (mg)	Graphite (mg)	Plasticizer (TCP) (mg)	Slope CPE (mV decade ⁻¹)	Ion pair (mg)	Slope SPE (mV decade ⁻¹)
NPZ-TPB	3	250	114.3	38.30±1.9	6	42.80±1.4
	6	250	114.3	44.00±1.6	12	55.40±1.0
	9	250	114.3	47.80±1.3	16	50.70±0.9
	12	250	114.3	59.60±0.4	22	47.30±1.9
	16	250	114.3	34.60±2.1	30	45.70±1.7
	20	250	114.3	33.40±1.9		
NPZ-PMA	3	250	114.3	36.90±1.4	6	36.00±1.4
	6	250	114.3	43.10±1.2	12	35.80±0.9
	9	250	114.3	44.00 ± 1.5	16	37.30±1.2
	12	250	114.3	45.00±0.9	22	27.20±0.8
	16	250	114.3	41.20±0.8	30	24.00±0.8
	20	250	114.3	41.10±0.9		
NPZ-PTA	3	250	114.3	31.10±2.1	6	21.40±1.4
	6	250	114.3	40.10±1.6	12	34.00±1.5
	9	250	114.3	42.80±0.7	16	22.60±0.8
	12	250	114.3	39.20±1.4	22	28.80±0.7
	16	250	114.3	37.80±1.1	30	28.40±1.6
	20	250	114.3	31.30±0.9		
NPZ-STA	3	250	114.3	35.30±1.5	6	15.80±1.2
	6	250	114.3	41.10±0.9	12	29.20±0.9
	9	250	114.3	36.20±1.3	16	35.80±0.8
	12	250	114.3	35.30±1.1	22	33.20±1.1
	16	250	114.3	32.40±0.8	30	21.20±1.5
	20	250	114.3	29.00±1.6		
NPZ-RN	3	250	114.3	23.30±1.9	6	18.00±1.9
	6	250	114.3	24.90±0.7	12	18.20±1.3
	9	250	114.3	29.70±1.7	16	24.00±0.9
	12	250	114.3	23.00±1.4	22	20.10±1.1
	16	250	114.3	21.20±0.8	30	15.00±0.8
	20	250	114.3	21.30±1.2		

Table 2. Effect of ion pair content on the performance of modified SPE and CPE sensors.

	Composition (mg)							
Electrodes	Ion pair (mg)	Graphite	Plasticizer	Slope				
		(mg)	(TCP) (mg)	(mV decade ⁻¹)				
NPZ-TPB	12	100	114.3	50.90±1.3				
	12	200	114.3	55.70±1.9				
	12	250	114.3	59.60±1.5				
	12	300	114.3	56.00±0.5				
	12	250	114.3	59.60±0.7				
	12	250	171.5	57.50±0.9				
	12	250	240.0	55.20±1.0				
	12	250	251.5	54.50±1.2				

Table 3. Effect of paste composition of CPE sensor.

3.3.2 Effect of pH

The effect of pH on the potentiometric response of the modified electrodes (SPE and CPE) was studied. This was achieved by recording the change in the electrodes potential readings at different pH values (pH 2–12, Figure (3)). The change in pH of the test solution was changed by adding of small volumes of HCl and/or NaOH (0.1-1 mol L⁻¹) to the test solution (10^{-2} and 10^{-4} mol L⁻¹). As shown in Figure (2), the electrodes responses at pH ranges of 2.5-8.5 and 2.7-8.5 for modified SPE and CPE, respectively, where they are independent of pH. At pH value less than 2.5 and 2.7 for modified SPE and CPE, the decrease in mV readings may be due to interference of hydronium ion. While, at pH value higher than 8.5, the decrease in the mV readings may be due to base precipitates in the test solution and consequently, the concentration of unprotonated species gradually increased. As a result, lower e.m.f readings were recorded. The decrease in potential readings at pH > 8.5, on the other hand, can be probably attributed to penetration of OH⁻ ions into the gel layer of the membrane.

3.3.3 Effect of temperature

To study the thermal stability of the modified SPE and CPE sensors, calibration graphs (electrode potential (E_{cell}) versus p[NPZ]) were constructed at different test solution temperatures (20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 °C). The standard cell potentials (E°_{cell}) were determined at different temperatures from the respective calibration plots as the intercepts of these plots at pNPZ = 0 and were plotted versus (t-25), where t was the temperature of the test solution in °C. A straight line plot is obtained is obtained according to Antropov's equation [18] and the slope of this line represents the isothermal coefficient of the electrodes which was found to be 0.0018 and 0.0012 V/°C for modified SPE and CPE, respectively, Table 1. The obtained value of the isothermal coefficient indicates that the electrodes under investigation had high thermal stability within the used range of temperature.

Calibration graphs were recorded, as previously described, at test solution temperatures 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60°C for the modified SPE and CPE sensors. It is clear that the electrode exhibits a good Nernstian behaviour in the temperature range 20-60 °C. This means that the electrodes are thermally stable and responds linearly with NPZ.HCl concentrations. Nevertheless, all slope values were slightly

lower than the ones calculated from the Nernst equation, because the exchange process responsible for the membrane potential is slightly restricted, due to the partial covalent character of the bond in the ion associate and the rigidity of the electrodes surface on which the gel layer is formed. The latter reason also explains the good resistance of the electrode surface to temperature changes over the investigated range.

3.3.4 Electrode selectivity

Potentiometric selectivity coefficient $(\log K^{\text{pot}}_{D,B})$ defines the ability of an ion-selective electrode to distinguish a particular ion from others [19]. Important determination procedures for selectivity coefficients are reported as recommendations in the IUPAC paper [16, 17]. The potentiometric selectivity coefficient of the NPZ.HCl sensors towards inorganic cations, sugars and glycine was evaluated by the separate solutions (SSM) and matched potential (MPM) methods [16, 17]. The matched potential method, which is totally independent of the Nicolsky equation, had been developed to overcome the difficulty in obtaining accurate selectivity coefficients when ions of unequal charge are involved. In MPM in order to determine the selectivity coefficient, one would measure the change in potential upon changing the primary ion activity.

The results obtained are summarized in Table (4). The results obtained show that the prepared sensors show high selectivity for NPZ.HCl over several interfering compounds. The results also indicate that no serious interference by a number of drug excipients and diluents commonly used in the drug formulations (e.g. glucose, sucrose, maltose, fructose, starch and lactose). The inorganic cations did not interfere due to the differences in their ionic size, mobility, polarity, and permeability as compared to those of NPZ⁺ cation.



Figure 3. Effect of pH on the performance of (A) modified SPE and (B) modified CPE potentiometric sensors.

The selectivity of the electrode towards neutral glycine and sugars was evaluated by adding small volume increments of 10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ interfering species to 50 ml of 10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ NPZ.HCl solution.

The tolerance was considered as the concentration imparting 1mV drift in the potential reading (4% error). These species do not interfere significantly. Their non interference is mainly attributed to the difference in polarity and lipophilic nature of their molecules relative to that of NPZ⁺ species.

3.3.5 Life time of the electrodes

For the determination of the life time of the modified SPE and CPE, calibration graph was constructed under optimum conditions on different days using the fabricated sensors. Modified SPE showed life time of 47 days without significant change in slopes of the calibration curves. After this period, the slope of the calibration curve decreased. CPEs has lifetime of 32 days without significant change in slopes of the calibration curves. After this period the slope of the calibration curve decreased significantly. A new surface for measurement using CPE can be achieved every time by squeezing out small portion of the paste and polishing it on filter paper till a shiny surface is obtained.

Table 4. Calculation of selectivity	coefficients for	the NPZ-TPB	sensors	using	separate	solution	and
matched potential methods.							

Interfering ion	Modified CPE		Modified SPE	
	K ^{pot} _{D,B}		K ^{pot} _{D,B}	
	MPM	SSM	MPM	SSM
Glucose	$1.5 \text{ x} 10^{-2}$		1.7 x10 ⁻²	
Maltose	8.00 x10 ⁻³		9.14 x10 ⁻³	
Lactose	$1.08 \text{ x} 10^{-2}$		$7.50 \text{ x} 10^{-3}$	
Fructose	7.43 x10 ⁻³		8.86 x10 ⁻³	
Starch	8.75 x10 ⁻³		$2.50 \text{ x} 10^{-2}$	
Glycine	9.25 x10 ⁻³		1.75 x10 ⁻²	
Na ⁺		2.95 x10 ⁻¹¹		$2.80 \text{ x} 10^{-13}$
\mathbf{K}^+		$8.12 \text{ x} 10^{-13}$		$4.07 \text{ x} 10^{-13}$
NH_4^+		5.73 x10 ⁻¹³		$1.25 \text{ x} 10^{-12}$
Ca ²⁺		2.32×10^{-10}		7.89×10^{-10}
Zn^{2+}		$2.27 \text{ x}10^{-4}$		$1.25 \text{ x} 10^{-4}$
Ni ²⁺		$2.43 \text{ x}10^{-7}$		3.38×10^{-4}
Cu ²⁺		1.98 x10 ⁻⁵		2.81 x10 ⁻³
Cd^{2+}		$3.2 \text{ x} 10^{-4}$		$4.56 \text{ x} 10^{-7}$
Fe ³⁺		1.56×10^{-4}		4.23×10^{-5}
Cr ³⁺		$1.016 \text{ x} 10^{-3}$		$9.088 \text{ x} 10^{-6}$

3.3.6 Response time

The dynamic response time of the sensors under study were investigated for the concentration range from 1.0×10^{-6} to 1.0×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹. The proposed sensors have very short response time of 5 and 11 s for modified SPE and CPE potentiometric sensors, respectively (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Response time of (A) modified SPE and (B) modified CPE potentiometric sensors.

3.3. Analytical application

The modified sensors under investigation were found to be useful in the potentiometric determination of NPZ.HCl in pure solution and in pharmaceutical preparation by using the standard addition, calibration and potentiometric titration methods, and the results are summarized in Table (5). NPZ.HCl determination in pharmaceutical formulation (eye drop) was performed on the prepared sample by direct potentiometry with conventionally prepared electrodes. The averages of the concentrations obtained for each sample and the corresponding standard deviations are shown in Table 4. To evaluate the quality of the results, recovery values were also determined and are presented in the same table. Statistical evaluation of the results of analysis of pure NPZ.HCl by the proposed electrodes and the manufacturer method [1, 20] showed that there is no significant difference between the proposed and reported method in terms of accuracy and precision (Table 5). In pharmaceutical analysis, it is important to test the selectivity toward the excipients and the fillers added to the pharmaceutical preparation. Fortunately, such materials mostly do not interfere. This is clear from the results obtained for the pharmaceutical preparation with respect to recovery percent (Table 5) that these excipients do not interfere.

The normal titration curve and the first derivative methods were used to detect the end point of the titration. The mean recovery and the relative standard deviation of the electrodes were calculated and given in Table (5). Known small increments of standard NPZ.HCl solution were added to 50 ml aliquot samples of NPZ.HCl solutions of various concentrations. The change in mV reading was recorded for each increment and used to calculate the concentration of the NPZ.HCl sample solution. Each determination for each unknown concentration was performed five times in constantly stirred solutions at fixed temperature. The concentration of the respective NPZ.HCl was calculated using standard addition method. It depends on the application of the following equation [21] to each volume of the standard concentrated solution added to the unknown concentration.

 $C_x = C_s V_s / [(V_x + V_s) \times 10^{n(\Delta E/S)} - V_x)]$

where C_x and V_x are the concentration and the volume of the unknown sample, respectively. C_s and V_s are the concentration and the volume of the standard, respectively. *S* is the slope of the calibration graph and ΔE is the change in mV due to the addition of the standard. So the determination of the concentration depends mainly on ΔE , hence to obtain noticeable ΔE we need to prepare higher concentration of the standard.

The mean unknown concentration, C_x , the mean recovery and the relative standard deviation values were calculated and the results obtained are given in Table (5). Therefore, the modified sensors can be used for the routine analysis of the NPZ drug in quality control laboratories.

4. METHOD VALIDATION

Electroanalytical method validation is the process used to confirm that the determination procedure employed for a specific test is suitable for its intended use like other analytical methods [22]. Validation tests of accuracy, precision, linearity, specificity and limit of detection and quantification were achieved using a standard NPZ.HCl stock solution.

4.1. Accuracy and precision

It can be described as the closeness of agreement between the value that is adopted, either as a conventional, true or accepted reference value, and the value found [22]. The accuracy of the proposed method using both modified SPE and CPE was investigated by the determination of NPZ.HCl in spiked samples prepared from serial concentrations of NPZ.HCl reference standards. The results summarized in Table (5), show high accuracy of the proposed method, as indicated by the percentage recovery values. The statistical analysis of the results using student's t-test and variance ratio F-test showed no significant differences between them regarding accuracy and precision, Table (5).

Intra-day and inter-day precisions were assessed using three concentrations and five replicates of each concentration, the relative standard deviations were found to be very small indicating reasonable repeatability of the proposed method as shown in Table (6).

Precision was described as the degree of agreement among individual test results when the procedure is applied repeatedly to multiple samplings of a homogeneous sample. Precision usually expressed as standard or relative standard deviations of the replicate analysis [22]. Hence the precision of the proposed potentiometric method using the sensors under investigation was measured as percentage relative standard deviation (RSD %). This was achieved by repeating the proposed method for determination of NPZ.HCl in its pharmaceutical preparations to five replicates. The RSD% values for the repeated determinations are summarized in Table (5).

4.2. Linearity

Linearity of an electroanalytical method is a measure of how well calibration plot of electroanalytical response versus concentration approximates a straight line [21]. The standard

calibration graph was obtained using five concentrations of standard NPZ.HCl. It was found that a linear relationship exist between the electrode potential/mV and the log [NPZ.HCl].

The regression data, correlation coefficients (r) and other statistical parameter are listed in Table (1).

4.3. Limit of detection and limit of quantification

The limit of quantification (LOQ) was determined by establishing the least concentration that can be measured according to ICH Q2(R1) recommendations [22], below which the calibration range is non linear, it was found to be 8.373 $\times 10^{-7}$ and 1.054×10^{-6} mol L⁻¹ for modified SPE and CPE, respectively. LOD is the lowest amount of the investigated compound in a sample that can be detected [21]. It is clear from the values of LOD that present in Table (1), that the sensors under investigation are highly sensitive and can be applied in determination of small amounts of NPZ.HCl.

4.4. Specificity

The specificity of the method was investigated by observing any interference encountered from the common excipients of the pharmaceutical formulations. It was found that these compounds did not interfere with the results of the proposed method as shown in Table 4.

5. COMPARISION WITH PREVIOUS REPORTED ELECTRODES

The performance characteristics of the proposed electrodes and those the previous reported electrodes are compiled in Table (7) for comparison. It is clear that their detection limit is lower, their working range is wider, and their response time is shorter. These findings show that these sensors surpass other electrodes in application.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The proposed modified SPE and CPE electrodes based on the single ion association (NPZ-TPB) as the electroactive materials might be useful detectors and interesting alternative methods for the determination of [NPZ⁺] in different real samples. The present electrodes show high sensitivity, reasonable selectivity, fast static response, long-term stability and applicability over a wide pH range with minimal sample pretreatment. The presented methods for the determination of naphazoline hydrochloride with the prescribed electrodes are simple, sensitive, highly specific and advantageous over the previously described procedures for NPZ determinations in pure and tablets.

Table 5. Determination of NPZ.HCl in pharmaceutical formulation (Neozoline) by applying the standard addition, potentiometric titration and calibration curve methods.

Sample	Taken (mg mL ⁻	CPE			SPE			British pha	rmacopoeia	
Standard addition method	1.50 2.87 5.90	Found (mg mL ⁻ ¹)	Recovery (%)±SD	RSD %	Found (mg mL ⁻ ¹)	Recovery (%)±SD	RSD %	Found (mg mL ⁻ ¹)	Recovery (%)±SD	RSD %
	9.35	1.493 2.863 5.885 9.337	99.53±0.01 99.76±0.014 99.75±0.023 99.86±0.167	0.679 0.493 0.391 1.821	1.496 2.873 5.877 9.346	99.73±0.012 100.10±0.016 99.61±0.039 99.96±0.069	0.830 0.556 0.657 0.740	1.492 2.865 5.887 9.346	99.47±0.008 99.83±0.028 99.78±0.035	0.531 0.991 0.605
Potentiometric titration method		1.487 2.865 5.891 9.339	99.13±0.017 99.83±0.024 99.85±0.041 99.88±0.064	1.170 0.843 0.703 0.686	1.498 2.868 5.902 9.347	99.87±0.01 99.93±0.019 100.03±0.044 99.97±0.085	0.645 0.653 0.755 0.912	-	99.96±0.069	0.740
Calibration curve method		1.494 2.872 5.905 9.346	99.60±0.011 100.07±0.019 100.08±0.046 99.96±0.164	0.725 0.673 0.787 1.772	1.495 2.870 5.903 9.352	99.67±0.009 100.00±0.017 100.05±0.040 100.02±0.086	0.582 0.599 0.678 0.928			
F-test		Standard ad Potentiome Calibration	ddition method: 1.563 etric titration: 1.162-4 method: 1.727-5.64	3-5.858 1.516 9	Standard addition method: 1.00-3.063 Potentiometric titration: 1.518-2.172 Calibration method: 1.553-2.713			_		
t-test		Standard ad Potentione Calibration	ddition method: 0.103 etric titration: 0.00-0. method: 0.00-0.783	8-0.286 588	Standard ad Potentione Calibration	ddition method: 0.00 etric titration: 0.023- method: 0.14-0.80	0-1.00 -1.20	_		

Tabulated F values at 95% confidence level is 6.39 (n = 5).

Tabulated t values at 95% confidence level is 2.776 (n = 5)

sensors.									
Electrode	Taken,	Intra day				Inter day			
type	$mg mL^{-1}$	Found, mg	Recovery	SD	RSD%	Found,	Recovery	SD	RSD%
		mL^{-1}	%			mg mL⁻¹	%		
Modified	12.340	12.380	100.32	0.004	0.136	12.15	98.46	0.022	0.718
CPE	5.230	5.200	97.56	0.029	0.712	5.190	96.75	0.041	1.016
	2.123	2.125	101.63	0.012	0.245	2.118	95.93	0.045	0.895
Modified	12.30	12.290	99.59	0.004	0.136	12.72	103.07	0.033	1.094
SPE	5.230	5.250	101.62	0.012	0.307	5.280	104.06	0.041	1.025
	2.123	2.127	103.25	0.033	0.655	2.122	99.19	0.057	1.142
Modified	5.500	5.494	98.80	0.007	0.349	5.499	99.80	0.038	1.893
CPE	2.250	2.245	98.00	0.009	0.672	2.243	97.20	0.050	1.992
	0.623	0.626	102.43	0.013	0.252	0.619	96.74	0.047	0.910
Modified	5.500	5.495	99.00	0.008	0.399	5.497	99.40	0.037	1.823
SPE	2.250	2.246	98.4	0.010	0.412	2.249	99.60	0.033	1.821
	0.623	0.628	104.1	0.031	0.652	0.620	97.56	0.052	1.139
	Sensors. Electrode type Modified CPE Modified SPE Modified CPE Modified SPE	Sensors. Electrode type Taken, mg mL ⁻¹ Modified 12.340 CPE 5.230 2.123 2.123 Modified 12.30 SPE 5.230 2.123 2.123 Modified 12.30 SPE 5.230 2.123 0.623 Modified 5.500 CPE 2.250 0.623 0.623	Sensors. Intra day Electrode Taken, mg mL ⁻¹ Intra day Ype mg mL ⁻¹ Found, mg mL ⁻¹ Modified 12.340 12.380 CPE 5.230 5.200 2.123 2.125 Modified 12.30 12.290 SPE 5.230 5.250 2.123 2.127 Modified 5.500 5.494 CPE 2.250 2.245 0.623 0.626 Modified 5.500 5.495 SPE 2.250 2.246 0.623 0.628 0.628	$\begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{Sensors.} & \mbox{Intra day} \\ \hline Electrode & Taken, & mg mL^{-1} & Found, mg & Recovery mL^{-1} & \% \\ \hline Modified & 12.340 & 12.380 & 100.32 \\ CPE & 5.230 & 5.200 & 97.56 \\ 2.123 & 2.125 & 101.63 \\ \hline Modified & 12.30 & 12.290 & 99.59 \\ SPE & 5.230 & 5.250 & 101.62 \\ 2.123 & 2.127 & 103.25 \\ \hline Modified & 5.500 & 5.494 & 98.80 \\ CPE & 2.250 & 2.245 & 98.00 \\ 0.623 & 0.626 & 102.43 \\ \hline Modified & 5.500 & 5.495 & 99.00 \\ SPE & 2.250 & 2.246 & 98.4 \\ 0.623 & 0.628 & 104.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Sensors.Electrode typeTaken, mg mL-1Intra dayFound, mg mL-1Recovery %SDModified12.34012.380100.320.004CPE5.2305.20097.560.0292.1232.125101.630.012Modified12.3012.29099.590.004SPE5.2305.250101.620.0122.1232.127103.250.033Modified5.5005.49498.800.007CPE2.2502.24598.000.0090.6230.626102.430.013Modified5.5005.49599.000.008SPE2.2502.24698.40.0100.6230.628104.10.031	sensors.Electrode typeTaken, mg mL^1Intra dayFound, mg mL^1Found, mg mL^1Recovery %SDRSD%Modified12.34012.380100.320.0040.136CPE5.2305.20097.560.0290.7122.1232.125101.630.0120.245Modified12.3012.29099.590.0040.136SPE5.2305.250101.620.0120.3072.1232.127103.250.0330.655Modified5.5005.49498.800.0070.349CPE2.2502.24598.000.0090.6720.6230.626102.430.0130.252Modified5.5005.49599.000.0080.399SPE2.2502.24698.40.0100.4120.6230.628104.10.0310.652	Sensors.Intra dayInter daytypemg mL^{-1}Found, mg mL^{-1}Recovery %SDRSD%Found, mg mL^{-1}Modified12.34012.380100.320.0040.13612.15CPE5.2305.20097.560.0290.7125.1902.1232.125101.630.0120.2452.118Modified12.3012.29099.590.0040.13612.72SPE5.2305.250101.620.0120.3075.2802.1232.127103.250.0330.6552.122Modified5.5005.49498.800.0070.3495.499CPE2.2502.24598.000.0090.6722.243Modified5.5005.49599.000.0080.3995.497SPE2.2502.24698.40.0100.4122.2490.6230.628104.10.0310.6520.620	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sensors.Intra dayInter daytypemg mL -1Found, mg mL -1Recovery %SDRSD%Found, mg mL -1Recovery %SDModified12.34012.380100.320.0040.13612.1598.460.022CPE5.2305.20097.560.0290.7125.19096.750.0412.1232.125101.630.0120.2452.11895.930.045Modified12.3012.29099.590.0040.13612.72103.070.033SPE5.2305.250101.620.0120.3075.280104.060.0412.1232.127103.250.0330.6552.12299.190.057Modified5.5005.49498.800.0070.3495.49999.800.038CPE2.2502.24598.000.0090.6722.24397.200.0500.6230.626102.430.0130.2520.61996.740.047Modified5.5005.49599.000.0080.3995.49799.400.037SPE2.2502.24698.40.0100.4122.24999.600.0330.6230.628104.10.0310.6520.62097.560.052

Table 6. Evaluation of intra- and inter-day precision and accuracy of the modified CPE and SPE

Table 7. Comparison of NPZ.HCl selective electrodes based on with those previously reported in literature.

Electrode	Ion pair	Slope mV decade ⁻¹	pH range	Response time	Lifetime (month)	Detection limit (mol L ⁻¹)	Linear range (mol L ⁻¹)	Ref. no.
PVC	NPZ-TPB	58.4 ± 1.1	3.0-8.0	20-30s	1	4.0×10^{-6}	$1.0 \times 10^{-5} - 5.0 \times 10^{-2}$	12
Coated graphite electrode	NPZ-TPB	57.0 ± 0.1	3.0-8.0	10s	2	2.5×10 ⁻⁶	5.0×10^{-6} - 5.0×10^{-2}	12
SPE		57.5 ± 1.3	3.0-8.0	3s	3	3.5×10^{-6}	$1.0 \times 10^{-6} - 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	13
CPE		55.9 ± 1.6	3.0-8.0	3s	2	1.5×10^{-6}	$1.0 \times 10^{-6} - 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	13
CPE	NPZ-TPB	59.6±1.3	2.7-8.5	11	1	3.162×10^{-7}	$3.0 \times 10^{-7} - 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$	Present work
SPE	NPZ-TPB	55.4±2.2	2.5-8.5	5	1.5	2.512x10 ⁻⁷	$5.0 \times 10^{-7} - 3.5 \times 10^{-2}$	Present work

References

- 1. British Pharmacopoeia, Stationary Office London, 1517, 2008.
- 2. "Ophthalmology: naphazoline". Tarascon Pocket Pharmacopoeia 2009. Jones and Bartlett Publishers. 2008.
- 3. J.M.L. Gallego and J. P. Arroyo, *J. Chromatogr.*, *B: Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci.*, 784 (2003) 39.
- 4. J. M. L. Gallego and J. P. Arroyo, J. Sep. Sci., 26 (2003) 947.
- 5. C. Petr, S. Dalibor and S. Petr, *Talanta*, 70 (2006) 408.
- 6. E. Souri, M. Amanlou, H. Farsam and A. Afshari, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 54 (2006) 119.
- 7. H. Goicoechea, M. Collado, M. Satuf and A. Olivieri, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 376 (2003) 460.
- 8. G.J.M. Lemus and A.J. Perez. J. Chromatogr., B: Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci., 784 (2003) 39.
- 9. J. Charles and M. Bertucat, Anal. Lett., 32 (1999) 373.
- 10. S.O. Hman. Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm., 13 (1987) 1257.
- 11. S. Belal, M. A. Elsayed, M. E. Abdel-Hamid and H. Abdine, J. Pharm. Sci., 70 (2006) 127.
- 12. S. M. Ghoreishi, M. Behpour and M. Nabi, Sens. Actuators, B, 113 (2006) 963.
- 13. E.Y.Z. Frag, G.G. Mohamed, F.A. Nour El-Dien and M.E. Mohamed, Analyst, 136 (2011) 332.
- 14. http://csrg.ch.pw.edu.pl/tutorials/ise/,2005.
- 15. http://www.dropsens.com/en/screen_printed_electrodes_pag.html.2011.
- 16. IUPAC, Pure Appl. Chem. 72 (2000) 1852.17.
- 17. (a) Y. Umezawa, P. Buhlmann, K. Umezawa, K. Tohda and S. Amemiya, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 72 (2000) 1851. (b) Y. Umezawa, K. Umezawa and H. Sato, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 67 (1995) 507.
- 18. L.I. Antropov, Theoretical Electrochemistry, Mir Publisher, Moscow, (1977).
- 19. B.P. Richard, L. Erno. Recommendations for nomenclature of ion-selective electrodes (IUPAC Recommendations 1994) 27599-3290.
- 20. British pharmacopeia, Stationary Office London, 630, 2008.
- 21. R.P. Buck and E. Lindner, Pure Appl. Chem. 66 (1994) 527-536.
- 22. Mehmet Gumustas and Sibel A. Ozkan, The Open Anal. Chem. J., 5 (2011) 1.
- 23. ICH Harmonized Tripartite Guideline: Validation of Analytical Procedures. Text and Methodology, Q2(R1). 2005. http://www.bioforum.org.il/Uploads/Editor/karen/q2_r1_step4.pdf], Current Step 4 Version, Parent Guidelines on methodology.

© 2012 by ESG (<u>www.electrochemsci.org</u>)